LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 4: Methodologies

Table 4.1. Type of action on a plane surface with the coefficient parameters (Borri, 2011)	. 118
Table 4.2. Type of action on a plane surface and the IQM ratios related to the category of the	
masonry (Borri, 2011)	. 118
Table 4.3: Curve of Correlation between fm (min, max values) and vertical IQM (Borri, 2011)	
Table 4.4: Curve of Correlation between fm (average value) and vertical IQM (Borri, 2011)	
Table 4.5: Curve of Correlation between To (min and max value) and vertical IQM (Borri, 2011).	
Table 4.6: Curve of Correlation between To (average value) and vertical IQM (Borri, 2011)	
Table 4.7: Curve of Correlation between E (min and max value) and vertical IQM (<i>Borri</i> , 2011)	
Table 4.8: Curve of Correlation between E (average value) and vertical IQM (Borri, 2011)	
Table 4.9: Proposal of symbolism for the representation of the masonry (<i>Borri</i> , 2011)	
Table 4.10: Proposal symbolism for the representation of the structural characteristics and	. 100
stratigraphic (Structural Discontinuities) (Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 156
Table 4.11: Proposal symbolism for the representation of the structural characteristics and	. 100
stratigraphic (Degradation of structural elements) (Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 157
Table 4.12: Proposal symbolism for the representation of the structural characteristics and	. 107
stratigraphic (Conditions) (Borri, 2011)	. 158
Table 4.13: Proposal of symbolism for the representation of the mechanisms of collapse.	. 100
Each mechanism can be described as a set of actions, and displacements induced damage to the	
masonry walls (Borri, 2011)	. 159
Table 4.14: Proposal symbolism for the representation of the crack and collapse	
(Description of the effects of the collapse of the construction on the matter (physical damage)	
(Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 161
Table 4.15: Proposal symbolism for the representation of the crack and collapse	
(Description of the effects of the collapse of the construction on the matter	
(geometrical modifications) (Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 162
Table 4.16: Proposal symbolism for the representation of the crack and collapse	
(Description of the effects of the collapse of the construction on the matter	
(Loss of verticality– entities of the 'tilt') (Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 163
Table 4.17: Mechanism of collapse of the masonry walls with their function of the boundary	
conditions (Borri, 2011)	. 167
Table 4.18: Different failure mechanisms that are subjected to seismic action found in buildings,	
under vertical loads (Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 168
Table 4.19: Different failure mechanisms that are subjected to seismic action found in buildings,	
under horizontal loads in plane action and horizontal loads out of plane action (Borri, 2011),	
(Doglioni, 1999)	. 169
Table 4.20: Different failure mechanisms that are subjected to seismic action found in buildings,	
under horizontal loads out of plane action (Borri, 2011), (Doglioni, 1999)	. 170
Chapter 5: Earthquakes in Anatolia	
Chapter 3. Latinquakes in Anatona	
Table 5.1: Earthquakes during historical periods (2100BC – 1900 AD) in and around znik	
(Adatepe, Erel 2006)	. 177
Table 5.2: Historical earthquakes (Turkey'.T.C. Basbakanlik Afet ve Acil Durum Yönetimi	
Baskanlı ı, Deprem Dairesi Baskanlı ı)	. 185
Table 5.3: Historical earthquakes (Turkey'.T.C. Basbakanlik Afet ve Acil Durum Yönetimi	
Baskanlı ı, Deprem Dairesi Baskanlı ı)	. 186

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 7: Case Studies

Table 7.1: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Emirler bath: A	. 239
Table 7.2: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Emirler bath: B	240
Table 7.3: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Emirler bath: C	. 241
Table 7.4: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Süleymanpa a bath: A	. 245
Table 7.5: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Süleymanpa a bath: B	246
Table 7.6: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Süleymanpa a bath: C	. 247
Table 7.7: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell A"	. 249
Table 7.8: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell B"	. 250
Table 7.9: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell C"	. 251
Table 7.10: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure,	
"Cell D, C" elevation	. 252
Table 7.11: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure,	
"Cell C, B, A" elevation	. 253
Table 7.12: Collapsed analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, Section A-A	. 254
Table 7.13: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in smail Bey bath: A	. 257
Table 7.14: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in smail Bey bath: B	. 258
Table 7.15: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in smail Bey bath: C	. 259
Table 7.16: Damage analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell A"	. 261
Table 7.17: Damage analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell B"	. 262
Table 7.18: Damage analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell C"	. 263
Table 7.19: Damage analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell D"	. 264
Table 7.20: Damage analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell E"	. 265
Table 7.21: Collapsed analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure, Section A-A	. 266
Table 7.22: Collapsed analysis of "smail Bey" case study bath structure,	
Section B-B / C-C / D-D	. 267
Table 7.23: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Beylerbeyi bath: A	. 270
Table 7.24: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Beylerbeyi bath: B	. 271
Table 7.25: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Beylerbeyi bath: C	. 272
Table 7.26: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in	
Gazi Mihal Bey bath: A	. 276
Table 7.27: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in	
Gazi Mihal Bey bath: B	. 277
Table 7.28: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in	
Gazi Mihal Bey bath: C	
Table 7.29: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell A"	. 280
Table 7.30: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell B"	. 281
Table 7.31: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell C"	. 282
Table 7.32: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell D"	. 283
Table 7.33: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell E"	. 284
Table 7.34: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell F"	. 285
Table 7.35: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell K"	. 286
Table 7.36: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell B, A, K"	
elevation	. 287
Table 7.37: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell E, D, C, B"	
elevation	. 288

LIST OF TABLES

Table 7.38: Damage analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, "Cell K, J, I, H"	
elevation	. 289
Table 7.39: Collapsed analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure, Section A-A	. 290
Table 7.40: Collapsed analysis of "Gazi Mihal Bey" case study bath structure,	
Section B-B / C-C	. 291
Table 7.41: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Havsa Sokollu bath: A .	. 295
Table 7.42: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Havsa Sokollu bath: B	. 296
Table 7.43: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Havsa Sokollu bath: C	. 297
Table 7.44: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Ke an bath: A	. 300
Table 7.45: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Ke an bath: B	. 301
Table 7.46: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Ke an bath: C	. 302
Table 7.47: Damage analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, "Cell A"	. 304
Table 7.48: Damage analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, "Cell B"	. 305
Table 7.49: Damage analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, "Cell C"	. 306
Table 7.50: Damage analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, "Cell C, D, E" elevation	. 307
Table 7.51: Damage analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, "Cell C, B, E" elevation	. 308
Table 7.52: Damage analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, "Cell F"	. 309
Table 7.53: Collapsed analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, Section A-A	. 310
Table 7.54: Collapsed analysis of "Ke an" case study bath structure, Section B-B / C-C	. 311
Table 7.55: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Yeniçeri bath: A	. 314
Table 7.56: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Yeniçeri bath: B	. 315
Table 7.57: Qualitative methods for evaluating the quality of the walls in Yeniçeri bath: C	. 316
Chapter 8: Conclusion	
Table 8.1: Comparison table of the bath structures and their specifications with reference of	
chapters and pages	. 320