Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure: Table 7.7: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell A"

				Damage	e Analysis of "Süleymanpa	şa Bath"					
				Cons	ruction Characteristics		Cracking and Instability				
Damaged Part Number	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Quality o	of Masonry	Structural Discontinuities	Degradation structural elements	Of Conditions	General Description	Physical Damage	Geometrical Modifications	Loss of verticality	General Description
Cell "A"		Out of Plane	Qualitative method of for evaluation -rated, "C" in vertica out of plane and i plane actions.	is I,	Fig: All	Fig: All	Between the masonry walls there is a consistency of the structure. The masonry structure is three leafed.	Fig: 2,3			In figure 1,2,3: The most of the structure is collapsed. However some parts of the structure is still standing. Cell "A" is part of this structures. The masonry walls were deteriorated highly.
		In the Plane A B C	In detail cell "A' masonry structure composed with various sizes an irregular shapes of stones. On the sections of the masonry wall, smapebbles and stone could be seen. The fabric is chotic. It was not respected to the horizontal rows of offset the vertical joints. Diatone stone are not used inside of the masonry stone wall.	s h d f e e III s e s e s f							
		7 3									

Table 7.8: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell B"

				Damage	Analysis of "Süleymanpaş	a Bath"					
						uction Characteristics			Crac	cking and Instability	
Damaged Part Number	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Quality of		Structural Discontinuities	Degradation o structural elements	Conditions	General Description	Physical Damage	Geometrical Modifications	Loss of verticality	General Description
Damaged Part Number	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Vertical A B C Out of Plane A B C In the Plane A B C	Qualitative methods of for evaluation is rated, "C" in vertical, out of plane and ir plane actions. In detail cell "B", masonry structure is composed with various sizes and irregular shapes of stones. On the sections of the masonry wall, small pebbles and stones could be seen. The fabric is chotic. It was not respected to the horizontal rows or offset the vertical joints. Diatone stones are not used inside of the masonry stone wall.	Discontinuities Fig: All	Degradation o		Between the masonry walls there a consistency of the structure. The masonry walls of the cell"B" constructed by stones. The masons structure is three leafed. Transitional elements of the structure is made up of brick material.	is Fig: 3,4 lee is y al	Geometrical		In figure 1,2,3: The closure of the cell"B" is broken down. Top of the masonry walls is deteriorated and partly collapsed. The top of the wall structures is not on the plumb. There are some cracks on the top of these walls. In figure 4: The section of the masonry wallis seen. The adhesion of the stones and the mortar is very weak. The seperation of the leaves of the masonry wall is started.

Table 7.9: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell C"

			Damage	Analysis of "Süleymanpa	a Bath"					
				Constr	uction Characteristics			C	racking and Instability	
Damaged Part Number	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Quality of Masonry	Structural Discontinuities	Degradation o structural elements	f Conditions	General Description	Physical Damage	Geometrical Modifications	Loss of verticality	General Description
Cell "C"	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Out of Plane Out of Plane A B C Out of Plane A B C In the Plane A B C In detail cell "C" masonry structure is composed with various sizes and irregular shapes of stones. On the sections of the masonry wall, small pebbles and stones could be seen. The fabric is chotic. It was not respected to the horizontal rows of offset the vertical joints. Diatone stones are not used inside of the masonry stone wall.	Discontinuities Fig: All	Degradation o	£	General Description Between the masonry walls there is a consistency of the structure. The masonry walls of the is constructed by stones. The masonry structure is three leafed. Transitional elements of the structure is made up of brick material. The dome rested on the vault structure is supported by masonry walls and the brick arches.	Fig. 1,2,3,4	Geometrical		General Description In figure 1,2,3: There are big cracks on the structure cell "C". Side wall of the structure is damaged highly. The cracks is started from the wall and continue to the vault. Part of the wall was collapsed. The brick arhes which were supporting the vault is also damaged. In figure 4,5: There are some localized damages on the cell. The walls partly damaged, the slab structure is partly collapsed.
	3 4									

Table 7.10: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell D, C" elevation

				Damage	Analysis of "Süleymanpaş	sa Bath"					
				Construction Characteristics			Cracking and Instability				
Damaged Part Number	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Quality	of Masonry	Structural Discontinuities	Degradation o structural elements	f Conditions	General Description	Physical Damage	Geometrical Modifications	Loss of verticality	General Description
Cell "D,C" Elevation		Vertical A B C Out of Plane A B C In the Plane C	Qualitative method of for evaluation i rated, "C" in vertical out of plane and it plane actions. In detail cell elevation "D,C"; masonn structure is composed with various sizes and irregular shapes of stones. On the sections of the masonry wall, small pebbles and stone could be seen. The fabric is chotic. It wanot respected to the horizontal rows of offset the vertical joints. Diatone stone are not used inside of the masonry stone wall.	s, n n n y d d f e e III s e s e r i II s f		Fig. 1	Between the masonry walls there is a consistency of the structure. The masonry walls of the is constructed by stones. The masonry structure is three leafed. Transitional element of the structure is made up of brick material. The dome rested on the valut structure and the valus tructure is supported by masonrials and the brick arches.	e d d s s s k e t Fig. 2			In figure 1: On the elevation of "D,C" the joints of the stone masonry is deteriorated. The top of the masonry structure was damaged highly. There is no adhesion between the stone and mortar. In figure 2: The corner of the masonry wall is partly collapsed.

Table 7.11: Damage analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, "Cell C, B, A" elevation

				Damage	e Analysis of "Süleymanpa	şa Bath"					
			Construction Characteristics					Cracking and Instability			
Damaged Part Number	Damaged Parts of Case Studies	Quality of N	Masonry	Structural Discontinuities	Degradation o structural elements	Conditions	General Description	Physical Damage	Geometrical Modifications	Loss of verticality	General Description
Cell "C,B,A" Elevation		Out of Plane A B C In the Plane In the Plane C St St In the Plane In the Pla	Qualitative method of for evaluation is rated, "C" in vertica out of plane and isolane actions. In detail cell elevation 'C,B,A"; masonstructure is compose with various sizes an output of the masonry wall, small between the masonry wall, small could be seen. The fabric is chotic. It was not respected to the masonry of the vertical oints. Diatone stone are not used inside of the masonry stone wall.	is I, n Y d d offee Blisee III see III	Fig: 1	Fig: All	Between the masonry walls there a consistency of the structure. The masonry walls of the is constructed by stones. The masonry structure three leafed. Transitional element of the structure is made up of brid material.	e d d is is	Fig: 2		In figure 1: On the elevation of "C,B,A" the joints of the stone masonry is deteriorated. The top of the masonry structure was damaged highly. There is no adhesion between the stone and mortar. In figure 2:The part of the wall is collapsed. The wall is out of plumb. In figure 3: Deteriorated stones and joints were seen on the figure.

Collapsed analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure: Table 7.12: Collapsed analysis of "Süleymanpa a" case study bath structure, Section A-A

	Collapse Analysis of Critic	al Damaged Parts of "Süleymanpaşa" b	ath							
Plan and sections										
"Cell C" "Cell B" "Cell A"	Details									
	De	tail 1	Det	ail 2						
Section A-A	Symbolic Description of Action Analysis Symbolic Collaps Mechanism	e Description of Collapse Analysis	Symbolic Description of Action Analysis Symbolic Collapse Mechanism	Description of Collapse Analysis						
"Call D" "Call C"	Middle risk of collapse if this element is under vertical actions.	Detail 1; Inside of the cell, on the side masonry walls damaged by users. Especially the damage happen near the door enterence could be starting mechanism for the collapse of the door entrance and also the stability of the structure. The crack on the door entrance is big. However it is on the jamb of the door opening. The possible collapse mechanism shown in symbolic description.	collapse if this element is under vertical and out of plane actions.	Detail 2; The outside corner of the masonry wall, stones and mortar adhesion is very weak. Some pieces are broken down. On the other hand big cracks are divided inside of the wall surface. On base corner of the masonry wall, partial part is collapsed. The cracks which are started inside of the wall surface are continued to the vault structure. The possible symbolic collapse mechanism is shown.						
	De	tail 3	Det	ail 4						
Section B-B	Symbolic Description of Action Analysis Symbolic Collaps Mechanism	e Description of Collapse Analysis	Symbolic Description of Action Analysis Symbolic Collapse Mechanism	Description of Collapse Analysis						
**Coll A* "Coll F* "Coll C*	No risk of collapse.	Detail 3; The closure and the part of the surrounding walls of the structure are collapsed. Is assumed that the front wall of the structure is collapsed according to the collapsed mechanism of the dome. The emptiness of the structure will affected the stability of "Cell A" and "Cell C".	collapse if this element is under out of plane actions.	Detail 6; The corraption out side of the masonry wall, lose of adhesion of the stone and the mortar and the high thickness plantation or soil on the upper dome made the structure unstable for the out of plane actions.						