PUBLIC SPACE AND URBAN LANDCAPE

RECONNECTION, REGENERATION AND RESTRUCTURING.....

TRAKIA, PLOVDIV, BULGARIA .....

CLASSIFICATION OF OPEN SPACES

Space typology	Scale / Morphology	Location	Public facilities	Level of maintenance	Quality of design elements	Sense of ownership
Entrance space	10 – 15m diameter	Next to the block	---	Adequate - With whatever materials possible -Residents initiative	Basic / self-made	+ + +
"Local Yards"	Distance btw. the blocks 30 – 70 m	Along the internal streets	---	Existing, not enough -With whatever materials possible -Residents + public initiative	Very low Lack of design elements	++
Public nuclei /small scale services/	10 – 15m diameter	Along the main streets, next to the bus stops	---	Adequate / good -Only in the diameter of the nuclei - initiative by the owners of the nuclei	Adequate Eclectic design elements	+++
Public commercial promenades / New commercial build-up	Width/diameter max 25m incl. the buildings	Along mains streets, Transport junctions	✓	Adequate / good	Bad / Adequate Eclectic design elements	+ -
Transition spaces	Distance btw. the blocks 145 - 200 m	Periphery Open spaces btw. blocks	---	Abandoned	Very low Lack of design elements, no functions	---
New residential built-up	Distance btw. the buildings 15 - 25 m High density	Periphery	✓	Existing, not enough	Very low Lack of design elements, no green	+ -

QUALITY OF THE URBAN EBVIRONMENT

LEGENDA

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

OPEN SPACE STRUCTURE

POLITECNICO DI MILANO, SEDE DI PIACENZA
MArch. SUSTAINABLE ARCHITETURE AND MULTISCALE DESIGN
ACCADEMIC YEAR 2013/2014

AUTHOR: MARKSB GERGANA FEODOROVA
RELATORE: DAGLIOLAURA
CORRELATORE: MARCO TRISCOGLIO