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## Summary

Framework: This thesis deals with the free vibration analysis of beams, composite plates and shells with different boundary conditions. A spectral collocation technique is adopted for the numerical solution of the differential problem. Solutions are sought in the form of interpolating polynomials over 1D and 2D grid of Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto points. Such approximation is substituted into the equations of motion and the resulting residual is enforced to vanish at the interior collocation points. The same approximation is also put into the set of boundary conditions which are enforced to be satisfied at the boundary points. The implementation of the method is performed in an efficient and elegant matrix notation by using the Chebyshev differentiation matrix and the Kronecker product.

Motivation: In most engineering areas, such as aerospace, naval and automotive industries, the use of plate-and shell-like structural elements having anisotropic properties through the thickness has considerably increased in recent years. This work should be able to give accurate results for structures exhibiting non-classical behavior of transversely anisotropic structures (sandwich plates with soft core, laminated plates and shells). Anisotropic multi-layered structures often posses higher transverse shear and normal flexibility than traditional isotropic one-layer construction. An accurate description of the stress and strain fields of these structures requires theories that are able to describe the so-called zig-zag form of displacement fields in the thickness direction as well as inter-laminar continuous transverse shear and normal stresses. Transverse and inplane anisotropy of multi-layered structures make it difficult to find closed-form solutions when these structures are subjected to the dynamical loading. Combination of spectral collocation method which is showing very good convergence and having straight forward implementation, and also (CUF) technique which is a power full 3D quasi technique for solving composite plates and shells with considering real behavior through the thickness in structures is so helpful and is a good framework.

Approach: In this thesis, a relatively new modeling technique will be adopted. It is known as Carrera's Unified Formulation (CUF). The proposed technique meets all the requirement introduced thus far since it allows to generate arbitrarily accurate solutions from a large variety of refined theories by properly expanding so-called fundamental nuclei of the mass, stiffness and load matrices. The fundamental nuclei are invariant with respect to the order of theory and thus any theoretical development and software coding is needed when the order is changed.

Novelty: CUF was already implemented in standard finite element [173, 174], Ritz [180, 181] and Galerkin [190] methods based on the weak form and recently, using GDQ [183] method. The aim of this work is to build a power full and general numerical framework into which CUF modeling is associated with spectral methods. The proposed method is based on strong form.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction and Literature review

## Review of Chebyshev collocation method

The Chebyshev collocation method has been applied to different applications due to its high rate of convergence and accuracy. Gottlieb and Orszag [1] surveyed application of spectral methods for solving hyperbolic and advection-diffusion equations and studied these methods not only on solid mechanics but also on incompressible fluid dynamics. Yagci et.al [2] used a spectral Chebyshev technique for solving linear and nonlinear beam equations, and the method was applied for Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko beams, in which the spectral-Chebyshev technique incorporates the boundary conditions into the derivation, thereby enabling the utilization of the solution for any linear boundary conditions without re-derivation. Furthermore, Lin and Jen [3] applied the collocation method on the laminated anisotropic plates in which the solution of the problem is assumed to be a set of Chebyshev polynomials with unknown constants. A set of collocation points, also called Gauss-Lobatto points, are selected to be substituted into those polynomials. Also, Lin and Jen [4] applied the collocation method on non-rectangular anisotropic plates, and the results were verified by comparing them with the finite element method. Moreover, Deshmukh [5] applied the method with quadratic optimization for parameter estimation in nonlinear time varying systems, and the results were accurate. Trefethen [6] obtained the natural frequencies of a clamped square Kirchhoff plates by defining a function that satisfies the boundary conditions in the $x$ and $y$ directions. Luo [7] used the Chebyshev collocation method to solve a wave propagation problem in a three-dimensional cube with all sides constrained with homogeneous Dirichlet boundaries, by stacking two-dimensional $(x, y)$ slice matrices along the $z$ direction. Ehrenstien and Peyret [8] applied the Chebyshev collocation method for solving the unsteady two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in vorticity-stream function variables. Furthermore, the method was applied to a double diffusive convection problem concerning the stability of a fluid stratified by salinity and heated from below, and the results showed excellent agreement when compared to other results available in the literature. Deshmukh et.al [9] applied the Chebyshev spectral collocation method to reduce the dimensions of nonlinear delay differential equations with periodic coefficients. Regarding the Chebyshev polynomials, Zhou et al. [10] used Chebyshev polynomials for studying the three-dimensional vibration of thick rectangular plates. Also, Sinha and Butcher [11] used Chebyshev polynomials to study the stability of systems with parametric excitation and structures with time-dependent loads. In these works, the product and integration operational matrices associated with the shifted Chebyshev polynomials are utilized. The advantages of this method are, it is easily em-
ployed in a symbolic form and, the number of polynomials may be adjusted to attain convergence. Gourgoulhon [12] implemented basic principles of spectral methods, Legendre and Chebyshev polynomials with their behavior and some examples. Ferreira [13] presented vibration solution of thin composite plates using combination of radial basis function and Pseudospectral method for more accuracy. Karageorghis [14] reported the grid collocation points distribution on the boundary conditions of horizontal and vertical sides in rectangular plate. Several researchers [15, 16, 17] published books about spectral methods for solving differential equations.

## Review of Timoshenko beam

Vibration analysis of beams has an important role in applications of mechanical, aerospace and civil engineering. Two models for beams include Euler beams and Timoshenko beams. In the Euler beam model (classical model), the ratio of the beam thickness to its length is relatively small $\left(\frac{h}{L} \sim \frac{1}{20}\right)$, and thus the transverse shear and the rotary inertia are neglected. The Timoshenko beam, on the other hand, transverse shear and rotary inertia are not neglected as in the classical model. Thomas and Abbas [18] and Friedman and Kosmatka [19] applied the finite element method to obtain the natural frequencies for the Timoshenko beam. Laura and Gutierrez [20] applied the differential quadrature method for the free vibration of non-uniform Timoshenko beams. The free vibration of Timoshenko beams with elastically restrained boundaries were studied by Abbas [21] using the finite element method, where the effects of the translational and rotational springs on the natural frequencies were investigated. Stafford and Giurgiuti [22] applied a semi-analytical approach by assuming converged power series to obtain the eigenvalues for a rotating Timoshenko beam. Yokoyama [23] analyzed in-plane and out-of-plane free vibrations by the finite element technique. Moreover, Lee and Kuo [24] derived the upper bound of the fundamental frequency of a rotating Timoshenko beam with elastically restrained boundaries by Rayleigh's principle. Banerjee [25] applied the dynamic stiffness matrix to obtain the natural frequencies of the rotating Timoshenko beam, where the author showed that other researchers $[24,26]$ had incorrect results due to omitting the term related to the rotational speed in the governing equations. It was shown that at higher rotational speeds the previous results are not accurate. Recently, Kaya [27] applied the differential transform method to solve the natural frequencies of a uniform Timoshenko beam with constant rotating speed. Lee and Schultz [28] used the Chebyshev Pseudospectral method to solve the natural frequencies of Timoshenko beam for many boundary conditions and thickness ratio. Sprague and Geers [29] studied and compared Spectral, hierarchical and h-type finite elements in the context of their applications to structural vibration and plotted the eigenvalues convergence. Coskun et al. [30] evaluated eigenvalues of Euler-Bernoulli beam using several methods such as adomain decomposition, variational iteration and homotopy perturbation method with constant cross-section and also variable cross section and compared all these methods with exact solution.

## Review of classical plate theory

Vibration analysis of plates has an important role in applications of mechanical, aerospace and civil engineering. Studying the free vibration of plates has a wide range of interest in the literature. For the Kirchoff plate, the ratio of the plate thickness to edge
lengths is relatively small, and thus the transverse shear and rotary inertia are neglected. The well known paper by Leissa [31] is considered as the main reference for studying the free vibration of rectangular plates, in which exact characteristic equations are obtained when two opposite edges are simply supported, and the Ritz method is applied to the remaining cases. Dickinson and Li [32] used the Rayleigh-Ritz method with simply supported plate functions to solve the free vibration problem. Cupial [33] and Bhat [34] used the Rayleigh-Ritz method with orthogonal polynomials to study the free vibration of composite and isotropic plates respectively. Dozio [35] studied free in-plane vibration analysis of rectangular plates with edge elastic restrained varying linearly and parabolically both against the rotational and translational direction based on the classical theory of plate using Ritz method via trigonometric functions. Li et al. [36] applied two-dimensional Fourier series supplemented with several one- dimensional Fourier series to solve the free vibration problem of plates with general elastic boundary supports. Shu and Du [37] applied the differential quadrature method to solve the free vibration problem. Bert et al. [38] applied the differential quadrature method with $\delta$-technique to solve the static and free vibration problems of anisotropic plates. Due to their importance in the design of structural elements in aerospace, ocean and naval structures, orthotropic plates are widely used in the fields of structural engineering where the use of such structures requires an understanding of the vibration features of orthotropic plates. The free vibration analysis of orthotropic plates was analyzed by many researchers. Hearmon [39] applied the Rayleigh method with characteristic beam functions for the free vibrations of the orthotropic plates. Dickinson and Di Blasio [40] studied the free vibration and buckling of rectangular isotropic and orthotropic plates with various boundary conditions using orthogonal polynomials in the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Rossi et al. [41] analyzed the rectangular orthotropic plates with one or more free edges through the optimized Rayleigh-Ritz method and a pseudo-Fourier expansion, in which the obtained results showed excellent agreement with those obtained by analytical and numerical methods. Gorman and Ding extended the method based on the superposition of appropriate Levy type solutions for the analysis of rectangular plates to the free vibration analysis of clamped orthotropic [42] and free orthotropic plates [43, 44]. Yu and Cleghorn [45] obtained the natural frequencies for orthotropic rectangular plates with combinations of clamped and simply supported boundary conditions by applying the superposition method. Dalaei and Kerr [46] applied the Kantorovich method [47] of reducing a partial differential equation to an ordinary differential equation to obtain natural frequencies of orthotropic thin plates with clamped edges. Sakata et al. [48] analyzed the free vibration of orthotropic rectangular plates by applying the successive reduction of the plate partial differential equations and assuming an approximate solution which satisfies the boundary conditions along one direction while employing the Kantorovich method. Ramkumar et al. [49] studied the free vibration analysis of clamped orthotropic plates through the Lagrange multiplier method. Huang et al. [50] studied the free vibration of orthotropic rectangular plates with variable thickness and general boundary conditions by applying a discrete method in which the Green function is used to establish the characteristic equation of the free vibration. Xing and Liu [51] obtained new exact solutions for free vibrations of thin orthotropic rectangular plates through a novel separation of variables method. Bert and Malik [52] applied the differential quadrature method to study the free vibration analysis of tapered isotropic and orthotropic rectangular plates having two opposite edges simply supported. Sari et al. [53] reported the solution of Kirchhoff plate's vibration using spectral collocation technique with and without damage boundary conditions and
compared the results with perturbation method. Zhao and Wei [54] compared the results obtained for thin isotropic plates using discrete singular convolution (DSC) method with exact solution which is called Levy solution in high frequencies and showed that DSC method is so applicable for this analysis. Shu and Wang [55] dealed with the treatment of mixed and non-uniform boundary conditions using generalized differential quadrature method for thin plates. Ashour [56] applied the finite strip transition technique to angleply laminated thin plates with edge elastically restrained against rotation and translation and studied the effect of edge conditions on the natural frequencies and mode shapes. Chow et al. [57], Leissa and Narita [58] applied Ritz method using the admissible 2D orthogonal polynomials for solving vibration of composite thin plates considering the effect of material properties, number of layers and fiber orientation. Ng et al. [59] compared DSC-LK method with GDQ method in vibration analysis of thin isotropic plates in many cases such as mixed boundary conditions, edge constrained and non-uniform boundary conditions. Also Wei et al. [60] applied DSC for the same problem. Leissa et al. [61] presented vibration analysis of rectangular thin plates with elastic edge constraints for first time using two methods, one is exact solution and the other one is extension of Ritz method. Karami et al. [62] reported solving the vibration and stability analysis of laminated skew and trapezoidal thin plates using differential quadrature method. Yousefi et al. [63] used a semi analytical method based on the Rayleigh-Ritz method for thin composite laminated plates in various shape such as square, triangular, circular and rectangular by cut off quarter circle. Catanial and sorrentino [64] adopted Ritz method using Trigonometric and polynomial interpolation functions for mapping the shape of the skew and trapezoidal plate with curved edge, annular and sector annular plate and triangular plate. Secgin and Sarigul [65] considered vibration analysis of composite laminated of thin plates using discrete singular convolution for clamped and simply-supported cases.

## Review of in-plane vibration

Kim et al. [66] presented the in-plane vibration of circular plates with edge restrained elastically both radial and tangential. Mode shapes are presented by trigonometric functions with a number of nodal diameters in the circumferential direction and mode functions in the radial directions. Singh and Muhammad [67] studied free in-plane vibration of skew and sector annular plate for isotropic plates using energy functional. Plate is defined by four curved boundaries using eight points and natural coordinates to map the geometry. Each displacement nodes has two degrees of freedom. Du et al. [68] considered the free in-plane vibration of isotropic rectangular plates with edge elastically restrained using analytical solution. Dozio [69] solved free vibration of rectangular plates with elastic boundaries using Ritz method with a set of trigonometric functions. Irie et al. [70] considered the in-plane vibration of annular isotropic plates using Runge-Kutta-Gill integration method and assumed that the in-plane displacements are periodic in circumferential direction. Gorman [71] solved in-plane vibration of plates for fully free boundary conditions using superposition method as an analytical solution and compared it with in the literature which is done by Ritz method. Ravari and Forouzan [72] present the vibration analysis of orthotropic circular plate using Helmholtz decomposition method for uncoupling the equations of motion and separation of variable. Wave equations are assumed in a harmonic type. Park [73] studied in-plane vibration analysis of clamped circular plates using kinetic and potential energy and applying Hamilton's principle on them and after that the same as previous author used Helmholts decomposition for solving differential
equations and also separation of variable. Liu and Xing [74] solved in-plane vibration of rectangular plates analytically for simply-supported condition at two opposite edges and other type of conditions for other two edges. The characteristic equations for obtaining eigenvalues are solved by Newton-Raphson method. Bashmal et al. [75] reported inplane modal characteristic of circular annular disk under classical boundary conditions. The boundary characteristic orthogonal polynomials are employed in the RayleighRitz method to obtain the natural frequencies and associated mode shapes. Fantuzzi et al. [76, 77, 78] considered decomposition method for solving composite laminated arbitrary shape plates using differential quadrature method.

## Review of first and higher-order theories of the plates

Beams and plates usually are modeled as either Kirchhoff or Mindlin theory. For the Kirchhoff plate, the ratio of the thickness to the lengths is relatively small, and thus the transverse shear and rotary inertia are neglected, unlike in the Mindlin model where this ratio is no longer considered small. Mindlin [79] developed a theory of the transverse vibration of thick plates including the effect of transverse shear and rotary inertia, in which few analytical solutions were obtained for plates with free edges. Levinson [80] obtained an exact solution for free vibrations of a simply supported rectangular plate. Srinivas and Rao [81] obtained analytical solutions for the problem of bending, buckling and vibration of simply supported thick orthotropic plates. Chen and Doong [82] applied the Galerkin method to study the vibration of simply supported rectangular plates initially stressed by a uniform bending stress. Dawe and Roufaeil [83] applied the Rayleigh-Ritz method for the free vibration problem of Mindlin plates by using Timoshenko beam functions as the trial functions. Greimann and Lynn [84] applied the finite element method to study the plate bending with transverse shear deformation. Moreover, Rock and Hinton [85] applied the finite element method to study the transient response and free vibration of both thin and thick plates. Liew et al. [86] used boundary characteristic orthogonal polynomials. Liew et al. [87] applied pb-2 Ritz method to obtain the natural frequencies of skew plates based on shear deformation theory. Chung et al. [88] applied the Rayleigh-Ritz method with Timoshenko beam functions to study the free vibrations of orthotropic Mindlin plates with non-classical boundary conditions where the edges were elastically restrained against rotation. Saha et al. [89] studied the free vibration analysis of Mindlin plates with elastic restraints by a variational method. Many researchers studied the free vibration of annular plates using different techniques. Rao and Prasad [90] derived the frequency equations in explicit form for nine sets of boundary conditions, and they concluded that the shear deformation has a larger effect on the natural frequencies than does the rotary inertia effect. Furthermore, Irie et al. [91] studied the free vibration of Mindlin annular plates of radially varying thickness using the transfer matrix approach in which the governing equations of an annular plate are written as a coupled set of first-order differential equations using the transfer matrix of the plate. Irie et al. [92] obtained the natural frequencies for uniform annular plates under nine combinations of boundary conditions by assuming the displacements in terms of Bessel functions of the first and the second kind. They were then substituted back into the governing equations after applying the boundary conditions to obtain the frequency equation. Moreover, Sinha [93] calculated the natural frequencies of a thick spinning annular disk (modeled as a Mindlin plate) using the Rayleigh-Ritz method with trial functions obtained numerically by an iterative scheme. In a like manner, Nayar et al. [94] applied
the finite element method to calculate the buckling loads and the natural frequencies for moderately thick annular plates uniformly compressed at the inner edge. Han and Liew [95] obtained the first five non-symmetric natural frequencies of thick annular plates for different thickness and radii ratios by the differential quadrature method. Civalek and Giirses [96] studied the free vibration analysis of annular Mindlin plates with free edges by the discrete singular convolution method. Regarding circular Mindlin plates, Pardoen [97] studied the vibration and buckling analysis of non-symmetric circular plates using the finite element method. Liew et al. [98] applied the differential quadrature method to study the free vibration problem. Lee and Schultz [99] studied the same problem by the Chebyshev pseudospectral method. Both of these studies showed excellent results. Mindlin plates can have different geometries; for example, there are rectangular, circular, circular annular, triangular, and annular sector plates, and the later type of plate is one of the most widely used structural components in engineering applications. Vibration analysis of annular sector plates is of principal importance in practical design. Many researchers have analyzed the free vibration of the annular sector plates. Guruswamy and Yang [100] developed 24 degrees of freedom sector finite element for the static and dynamic analysis of thick circular plates, where the flexibility of the proposed method was validated by performing free vibration analysis of full clamped sector plates with different sectoral angles and various thicknesses. In the same manner, Cheung and Chan [101] proposed two and three-dimensional finite strips for the analysis of thin and thick sectoral plates, in which the displacement functions for the finite strips are expressed in terms of beam eigenfunctions and polynomial shape functions. In their developed method, the two- dimensional finite strips were derived based on plate bending theory, while three- dimensional finite strips were formulated using three-dimensional elasticity constitutive equations. Srinivasan and Thiruvenkatachari [102] applied the integral equation technique to study the free vibration problem of fully clamped plates. Mizusawa [103] studied the free vibration problem for thick annular sector plates with simply supported straight edges through the semi analytical finite strip method and finite prism method. Xiang et al. [104] applied the Rayleigh-Ritz method for the analysis of the same problem. Liew and Liu [105] obtained the natural frequencies for the annular sector plates by applying the differential quadrature method, in which six different boundary conditions were considered. Lanhe et al. [106] presented vibration analysis of non-symmetric angle-ply laminated composite thick plate based on first-order shear deformation theory using moving least square differential quadrature method. Xiang et al. [107] proposed a nth-order shear deformation theory for solving vibration analysis of general composite laminated plate. This theory can satisfies the zero transverse shear stress boundary conditions on the top and bottom surface of the plate and Reddy's plate theory can be considered as a special case of this theory. Natural frequencies are computed by a mesh less radial point collocation method based on the thin plate spline radial basis function. Liew and Liu [108] presented free vibration analysis of moderately thick annular sector isotropic plates for various boundary conditions, sector angle and thickness ratio based on the Mindlin first-order shear deformation theory using differential quadrature method. And also Liew et al. [109] solved the free vibration analysis of circular Mindlin plates using the same method. Viswanathan and Kim [110] applied point collocation and spline function method for solving non-symmetric laminated thick plate. Tai and Kim [111] proposed a refined plate theory which accounts for parabolic distribution of the transverse shear strains through the plate thickness and satisfies the zero traction boundary conditions on the surfaces of the plate without using shear correction factors. Equations
were derived using Hamilton's principle and Navier solution was applied for obtaining closed-form solution. Results are compared with three-dimensional elasticity, first- and third-order shear deformation theory. Gurses et al. [112] presented free vibration analysis of symmetric laminated trapezoidal using first-order shear deformation theory of plate by discrete singular convolution method. Kant and Swaminathan [113, 114] presented a comparison between several theories for thick plates including theory of Kant and Manjunatha (1988), Pandya and Kant (1988), Reddy (1984), Senthilnathan et al. (1987) and Whitney and Pagano (1970). Equation of motion for all displacements were derived using Hamilton's principle and solutions are obtained in closed-form Navier type solution. Karami et al. [115] considered differential quadrature method for solving cross-ply and angle-ply with and without elastic edges for laminated composite thick plates based on Mindlin theory. Wang [116] considered the free vibration analysis of skew composite laminated plates using B-spline Rayleigh-Ritz method for symmetric and antisymmetric, skew and rectangular Mindlin plate for clamped and simply-supported cases. Daia et al. [117] presented a mesh-free formulation for the static and free vibration of composite Mindlin plates via a linearly conforming radial point interpolation method. The radial and polynomial basis functions were employed to construct the shape functions bearing Delta functions property. A strain smoothing stabilization technique for nodal integration was employed to restore comformability and to improve the accuracy and the rate of convergence. Civalek [118] was developed Discrete singular convolution for vibration analysis of moderately thick symmetrically laminated composite plates based on the first-order shear deformation. Regularized Shannon's delta (RSD) kernel was selected as singular convolution. Kant and Mallikarjuna [119] reported a refined higher-order theory for free vibration analysis of non-symmetrically multi-layered plates using a simple $c^{o}$ finite element formulation and nine-coded Lagrangian element with seven degrees of freedom. The theory accounted for parabolic distribution of the transverse shear strains through the thickness of the plate and rotary inertia effects. Ngo-Cong et al. [120] presented a new effective radial basis function (RBF) collocation technique for the free vibration analysis of laminated plates using Mindlin plate theory. In this method instead of using conventional differential RBF networks, one-dimensional integrated RBF networks (1D-IRBFN) were employed on grid lines to approximate the field variables. Plates can be rectangular or non-rectangular but could simply discretized by means of Cartesian grids. Nguyen-Van et al. [121] presented a method based on a novel four-node quadrilateral element namely MISQ20 within the framework of the first-order shear deformation theory for vibration analysis of composite laminated plates. The element was built by incorporating a strain smoothing method into the bilinear four-node quadrilateral finite element where the strain smoothing operation is based on mesh-free conforming nodal integration. The bending and membrane stiffness matrices were based on the boundaries of smoothing cells while the shear term is evaluated with two by two Gauss quadrature. Liew et al. [122] adopted first shear deformable theory in the moving least squares differential quadrature (MLSDQ) for vibration analysis of composite laminated symmetrically rectangular and circular plates. The transverse deflection and two rotations of the lamina are independently approximated by moving least squares (MLS). The weighting coefficients approximated through the fast computation of the MLS shape functions and their partial derivatives. Asadi and Fariborz [123] considered a higher-order shear deformation theory to obtain the governing equations of composite plates non-symmetrically in various boundary conditions and lay-up under dynamic excitation. The time-harmonic solution leads to an eigenvalue problem and it is converted to a set of homogeneous al-
gebraic equations using differential quadrature method. Liew et al. [124, 125] employed vibration analysis of rectangular laminated Mindlin plates with various boundary conditions. In this method a set of boundary beam characteristic orthogonal polynomials is used as admissible functions in the Ritz minimization procedure. Han and Liew [126] reported the non-symmetric free vibration of moderately thick annular plates using differential quadrature method for various combination of boundary conditions. Sari [127] presented vibration analysis of isotropic rectangular and annular Mindlin plates with and without damaged boundary conditions using spectral collocation technique. Khdeira and Reddy [128] presented a second-order shear deformation theory for vibration analysis of generally composite laminated plates using generalized Levy type solution in conjunction with the state space concept. The exact analytical solutions were obtained for thick and moderately thick plates as well as thin plates and strip plates. Hosseini-Hashemi [129] studied free vibration of moderately thick plates with several internal line support. Potential and kinetic energy are based on Mindlin plate theory. Ritz method assumed in two-dimensional polynomial functions as admissible displacement functions. Zamani et al. [130] reported vibration analysis of moderately thick trapezoidal, skew and triangular symmetrically laminated Mindlin plates using generalized differential quadrature method. Effect of various parameters such as geometry, thickness, boundary conditions and lay-up configuration was considered on the natural frequencies. Naginoa et al [131] considered three-dimensional analysis of thin and thick isotropic rectangular plates using B-spline Ritz method based on the theory of elasticity. The geometry boundary conditions were numerically satisfied by the method of artificial spring and the proposed method formulated by a triplicated series of B-spline functions as amplitude displacement components. Zhoua et al. [132] studied free vibration analysis of isotropic sector annular plates using Chebyshev-Ritz method based on three-dimensional theory. Sharma et al. [133] studied free vibration analysis of non-symmetric composite laminated sector annular plate using analytical method and Chebyshev polynomials based on first-order shear deformation theory.

## Review of shells

Mochida et al. [134] presented vibration analysis of isotropic thin doubly curved shallow shells of rectangular platform using the Superposition-Galerkin method for seven sets of boundary conditions. Monterubbio [135] presented the Rayleigh-Ritz and penalty function method for solving free vibration of isotropic thin shallow shells of rectangular platform with spherical, cylindrical and hyperbolic paraboloidal geometries. Liew and Lim [136] considered the vibration analysis of thin doubly-curved shallow shells of rectangular platform in many boundary conditions and with many Gaussian curvatures. The pb-2 Ritz energy based approach along with in-plane and transverse deflections assumed in the form of a product of mathematically complete two-dimensional orthogonal polynomials and a basic function, was employed to model of vibration. Ruotolo [137] compared Donnell's, Love's, Sander's and Flugge's thin shell theories in the evaluation of natural frequency of cylindrical shells based on Kirchhoff Hypothesis. He used energy function for soling the equation of motion and levy solution for satisfaction of boundaries. Civalek $[138,139]$ presented free vibration analysis of laminated conical and cylindrical shell using discrete singular convolution approach. This study carried out using Love's first approximation thin shell theory. Free vibration of isotropic cylindrical shell and annular plates are solved as special cases. The effects of circumferential wave number and number of
layers on natural frequencies were considered. Lim et al. [140] presented the vibration analysis of composite shallow conical shells including the effects of pretwist using energy method for symmetric, non-symmetric and various number of layers. Kabir [141, 142, 143] presented an analytical solution to the boundary value problem for free vibration analysis of antisymmetric angle-ply laminated cylindrical panels. The solution is based on a boundary-continuous double Fourier series. Equations including rotary and in-plane inertias. The characteristic equations of the panel are defined by five highly coupled second and third-order partial differential equations in five unknowns. Noseir and Reddy [144] presented Donnell shear deformation type theory and Donnell's classical theory for vibration and stability of cross-ply laminated circular cylindrical shells using analytical solution based on Levy-type. Soldatos [145] reported vibration analysis of composite laminated thin cylindrical shallow shell panels based on thin hypothesis theory of shell and compared four types of theory of shell including Flugge, Sanders, Love and Donnell. Soldatos [146] considered vibration analysis of laminated shells either circular or non-circular using refined shear deformation theory. This theory accounts for parabolic variation of transverse shear strains and it is capable of satisfying zero shear traction boundary conditions at the external shell surfaces, and make no use of transverse shear correction factor. Shu [147, 148] applied generalized differential quadrature method for vibration analysis of isotropic and composite laminated conical shells based on Love's first approximation thin shell theory. The displacement fields were expressed as product of unknown functions along the axial direction and Fourier functions along the circumferential direction. The same author [149] considered vibration analysis of laminated cylindrical shells using the same method and based on the same theory. Ganapathi et al. [150, 151] characterized free vibration of thick laminated composite non-circular shells using higher-order theory. The formulation accounts for the variation of the in-plane and transverse displacements through the thickness, abrupt discontinuity in slope of the in-plane displacements at the interfaces, and includes in-plane, rotary inertia terms, and also the inertia contributions due to the coupling between the different order displacement terms. The energy method and finite element procedure used for solving the governing equations. Leissa et al. [152] considered vibration analysis of circular cylindrical cantilevered thin shallow shells of rectangular platform using Ritz method. Leissa [153] presented a closedform solution for vibration analysis of shallow shells and studied the effects of shallowness on the natural frequencies. Soldatos and Hadjigeorgiou [154] studied three-dimensional vibration analysis of isotropic cylindrical thick shells and panels according to Levy solution. Asadi and Qatu [155, 156] presented the vibration analysis of generally moderately thick deep composite laminated cylindrical shells with various lay-up using differential quadrature method based on the first-order shear deformation theory. Hosseini-Hashemi and Fadaee [157] presented an exact closed-form procedure using a new auxiliary and potential functions for free vibration analysis of moderately thick spherical shell panels based on the first-order shear deformation theory. The strain-displacements relations of both Donnell and Sanders theories were used and compared with 3D finite element analysis. Shell has two opposite simply supported (Levy-type). The effects of various stretchingbending coupling on the frequency parameters were discussed. Hosseini-Hashemi et al. [158] dealed with closed-form solution for in-plane and out-plane free vibration of moderately thick laminated transversely isotropic spherical shell panels based on Sanders theory without any approximation. The governing equations of motion and boundary conditions were derived using Hamilton's principle. The highly coupled governing equations were recast to uncoupled equations introducing four potential functions. According to the
proposed analytical solution both Levy and Navier type solutions were developed for this problem. Qatu [159, 160] reviewed many papers 1989-2009 for many shell theories and shell problems. Liew et al. [161] studied three-dimensional vibration analysis of thin and thick doubly curved shell panels of rectangular platform in various boundary conditions using p-Ritz method. Chen and Ding [162] also used three-dimensional vibration analysis for spherical isotropic shells using a state-space method. They introduced three displacement functions and two stress functions for derivation of two independent state equations with varying coefficients. Taylor expansion theorem employed to obtain solutions to the two state equations and relationships between the state variables at the upper and lower surfaces of each lamina. Liew and Lim [163] presented vibration analysis of thick rectangular shallow shells using Ritz method based on a refined first-order theory. Displacement formulation followed the first-order shear deformation but Lame parameters for the transverse shear strain through shell thickness was considered and they multiplied by in-plane displacement of mid surface of the shell. Chern and Chao [164] studied three-dimensional composite shallow spherical, cylindrical, plates and saddle (hyperbolic) panels in rectangular platform using Ritz method and Levy solution. Yu et al. [165] studied the free vibration analysis of thin shallow shells based on Donnell Mushtary Vlasov theory analytically using generalized Navier solution. Considering different boundary conditions leads to different analytical solutions. Buchanan and Rich [166] reported the vibration analysis of thick isotropic spherical and toroidal shells using nine-node Lagrangian finite elements. Viola et al. [167, 168] investigated static vibration analysis of doubly-curved composite laminated shells and panels based on the 2D Higher-order shear deformation theory using generalized differential quadrature method. The HSDT is based on nine parameters kinematic hypothesis in a unified form. Strains and stresses are corrected after the recovery to satisfy the top and bottom boundary conditions of the laminated composite shells or panels. They compared the results for plotting the displacements and stresses distribution through the thickness in FSDT case and reported the frequencies for various types of doubly-curved composite shells such as conical, elliptical, catenoidal and toroidal in many kinds of boundary conditions. Tornabene [169] applied generalized differential quadrature method on the composite laminated doubly-curved shells and panels such as toroidal shell, parabolic panel, elliptic panel, cycloidal shell, catenary panel, hyperbolic panel and shell based on the first-order shear deformation theory. He produced the geometries of shells and panels through the revolution of curved line about one axis. Bardell et al.[170] considered free vibration analysis of isotropic conical shells using hirarcial finite element based on thin shallow shells theory. Cheung et al. [171] considered free vibration analysis of conical shells using spline finite strip method. Zhoa et al. [172] considered free vibration analysis of conical shells using 2D Ritz method.

## Review of CUF technique

Carrera $[173,174]$ proposed a new technique for vibration analysis of plates and shells which is suitable for every thickness such as thin and thick and suitable for any kinds of lay-up for laminated composite structures. He presented this procedure based on weak form using finite element method, stiffness and mass matrices were derived by integration. He satisfied the simply supported boundary conditions using Navier solution. He presented also his method $[175,176,177,178]$ in strong form using finite element and Navier solution. Demasi [179] applied Carrera's Unified Formulation technique for vibration analysis of generally composite plates based on Reissner's mixed variational theorem
(RMVT) which allows one to assume two independent fields for displacements and transverse stress variables. The inter-laminar continuous transverse shear and normal stress fields, so called $C_{z}^{0}$-requirements can be satisfied. Results were validated by NASTRAN software. Dozio [180, 181] applied CUF model on the rectangular and quadrilateral, isotropic and composite plates respectively. He considered many boundary conditions and skew angles. He used Trigonometric Ritz formulation for implementing this model. The same author [182] presented vibration analysis for annular isotropic plates using CUF model and Trigonometric Ritz formulation. Tornabene et al. [183] presented a general formulation of a 2D Higher-order equivalent single layer theory for free vibration of thin and thick doubly-curved composite laminated shells and panels using generalized differential quadrature method with different curvature, geometry and boundary conditions. General displacement field was based on the Carrera's Unified Formulation (CUF), including the stretching and zig-zag effects. The order of the expansion along the thickness direction is taken as a free parameter. The fundamental operator can be used not only for equivalent single layer approach, but also for layer-wise approach. Ferreira et al. [184, 185, 186] applied CUF model on the laminated shells using radial basis functions collocation according to a sinusoidal shear deformation theory (SSDT). Displacements were assumed in sinusoidal forms and used Navier solution for satisfaction of the boundary conditions. Carrera [187, 188] presented some solutions for thickness locking because in some boundary conditions considering thin plates and shells, natural frequencies are not very accurate. For overcoming this problem by using new coefficients in the Nuclei, quasi-3D analysis recovers to the Mindlin plate and accurate results. Carrera [189] considered the effects of transverse normal stress $\sigma_{z z}$ on vibration of multilayered structures using Reissner's mixed theorem. The evaluations of transverse stress effects have been conducted by comparing constant, linear and higher-order distributions of transverse displacement components in the plate thickness directions. Fazzolari and Carrera [190] applied Ritz, Galerkin and generalized Galerkin method on the CUF model and compared all of them for nonlinear analysis vibration analysis in two problem, one is nonlinear strains considered in Von-karman theory and the other to exact nonlinear strains.

## Chapter 2

## Implementation of Method

### 2.1 Spectral Methods

This method is based on the Chebyshev spectral collocation technique for directly solving high-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs). Consider the PDE with boundary condition

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Lu}(x)=s(x) & x \in U \subset R^{d} \\
B u(x)=0 & x \in \partial U \tag{2.1}
\end{array}
$$

where $L$ and $B$ are linear differential operators.
The answer is a function $\bar{u}$ which satisfies the boundary condition and makes the residual $R:=L \bar{u}-s$. Search for solutions $\bar{u}$ in a finite-dimensional sub-space $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ of some Hilbert space $\mathcal{W}$ (typically a $L^{2}$ space) $[12,17]$.

Expansion functions $=$ trial functions: basis of $\mathcal{P}_{N}:\left(\phi_{0}, \ldots, \phi_{N}\right), \bar{u}$ is expanded in terms of the trial functions: $\bar{u}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{u}_{n} \phi_{n}(x)$

Test functions: family of functions $\left(\mathcal{X}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{N}\right)$ to define the smallness of the residual $R$, by means of the Hilbert space scalar product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \in\{0, \ldots, N\}, \quad\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}, R\right)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Classification according to the trial functions $\phi_{n}$ :

Finite difference: trial functions = overlapping local polynomials of low-order Finite element: trial functions $=$ local smooth functions (polynomial of fixed degree which are non-zero only on sub domains of U )
Spectral methods : trial functions = complete family of smooth global functions

## Classification spectral methods according to the test functions $\chi_{n}$ :

Galerkin method: test functions $=$ trial functions: $\chi_{n}=\phi_{n}$ and each $\phi_{n}$ satisfies the boundary condition : $B \phi_{n}(y)=0$.
Tau method: (Lanczos 1938) test functions $=($ most of $)$ trial functions: $\chi_{n}=\phi_{n}$ but the $\phi_{n}$ does not satisfy the boundary conditions; the latter are enforced by an additional set of equations.
Collocation or pseudospectral method: test functions $=$ delta functions at special points,
called collocation points: $\chi_{n}=\delta\left(x-x_{n}\right)$.

## Collocation method

The integral of the weighted residual over the domain of the problem is set equal to zero where the weighting function is the same as one of the comparison functions used in the series solution. The weighting functions are spatial Dirac delta functions. Thus, for a one-dimensional eigenvalue problem, an approximate solution is assumed in the form of a linear sum of trial functions $\phi_{i}(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}^{(n)}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \phi_{i}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are unknown coefficient and the $\phi_{i}(x)$ are the trial functions. Depending on the nature of the trial functions used, The collocation method may be classified in one of the following three types:

1. Boundary methods: used when the functions $\phi_{i}(x)$ satisfy the governing differential equation over the domain but not all the boundary conditions of the problem.
2. Interior method: used when the functions $\phi_{i}(x)$ satisfy all the boundary conditions but not the governing differential equation of the problem.
3. Mixed method: used when the functions $\phi_{i}(x)$ do not satisfy either the governing differential equation or the boundary conditions of the problem.

When the integral of the weighted residual is set equal to zero, the collocation method yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{l} \delta\left(x-x_{i}\right) R\left(\bar{\phi}^{(n)}(x)\right) d x=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Dirac delta function and $x_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are the known collocation points where the residual is specified to be equal to zero. Due to the sampling property of the Dirac delta function, above equation require no integration and hence can be expressed

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\bar{\phi}^{(n)}(x)\right)=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This amounts to setting the residue at $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ equal to zero. Above equation denote a system of $n$ homogeneous algebraic equations in the unknown coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ and the parameter $\lambda$. In fact, they represent the algebraic eigenvalue problem of order $n$. It can be seen that the selection of the collocation points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is important in obtaining a well-conditioned system of equations and a convergent solutions. The location of the collocation points should be selected as evenly as possible in the domain and/or boundary of the system to avoid ill-conditioning of the resulting equations.

The main advantage of the collocation method is simplicity. Evaluation of the stiffness and mass coefficients involves no integration. The main disadvantage of the method is that the stiffness and mass matrices, are not symmetric although the system is conservative. Hence, the solution of the non-symmetric eigenvalue problem is not simple. In


Figure 2.1: Reference scheme of spectral methods
general we need to find both right and left eigenvectors of the system in order to find the system response.
(Figure 2.1) shows how to deal with the differential problems through spectral methods in strong and weak forms.

## Solving PDE with Tau method:

Since $\phi_{n}=\chi_{n}$, but the $\phi_{n}$ does not satisfy the boundary condition: $B \phi_{n}(y) \neq 0$. Let $\left(g_{p}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis of $M+1<N+1$ functions on the boundary $\partial U$ and let us expand $B \phi_{n}(y)$ upon it.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \phi_{n}(y)=\sum_{p=0}^{m} b_{p n} g_{p}(y) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary condition then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
B u(y)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{p=0}^{M} \tilde{u}_{k} b_{p k} g_{p}(y)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the $M+1$ conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{p k} \tilde{u}_{k}=0 \quad 0 \leq p \leq M \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of linear equations for the $N+1$ coefficients $\tilde{u}_{n}$ is then taken to be the $N-M$ first raws of the Galerkin system plus the $M+1$ equations above:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} L_{n k} \tilde{u}_{k}=\left(\phi_{n}, s\right) & 0 \leq n \leq N-M-1 \\
\sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{p k} \tilde{u}_{k}=0 & 0 \leq p \leq M \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

The solution $\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)$ of this system gives rise to a function $\tilde{u}=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \tilde{u}_{k} \phi_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \tilde{u}(x)=s(x)+\sum_{p=0}^{M} \tau_{p} \phi_{N-M+p}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Solving a PDE with a Pseudospectral (collocation) method

Since $\chi_{n}(x)=\delta\left(x-x_{n}\right)$, where the $\left(x_{n}\right)$ constitute the collocation points. The smallness condition for the residual reads, for all $n \in 0, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}, R\right)=0 & \Longleftrightarrow\left(\delta\left(x-x_{n}\right), R\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow R\left(x_{n}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow L u\left(x_{n}\right)=s\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{N} L \phi_{k}\left(x_{n}\right) \tilde{u}_{k}=s\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary condition is imposed as in the tau method. One then drops $M+1$ raws in the above linear system and solve the system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} L \phi\left(x_{n}\right) \tilde{u}_{k}=s\left(x_{n}\right) & 0 \leq n \leq N-M-1 \\
\sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{p k} \tilde{u}_{k}=0 & 0 \leq p \leq M \tag{2.12}
\end{array}
$$

There are two choices for trial functions $\phi_{n}$, Periodic problem: $\phi_{n}=$ trigonometric polynomials (Fourier series) Non-periodic problem: $\phi_{n}=$ orthogonal polynomials.

### 2.2 Discretization of 1D problem

Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k+1}=2 x T_{k}(x)-T_{k-1}(x) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}(x)=1$ and $T_{1}(x)=x$, and relation between differentiation of two polynomials is as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 T_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{k+1} T_{k+1}^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{k-1} T_{k-1}^{\prime}(x) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some properties of the Chebyshev polynomials are as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|T_{k}(m)\right| \leq 1, \quad-1 \leq x \leq 1 \\
T_{k}( \pm 1)=( \pm 1)^{k} \\
\left|T_{k}^{\prime}(m)\right| \leq k^{2}, \\
T_{k}^{\prime}( \pm 1)=( \pm 1)^{(k+1)} k^{2} \\
\int_{-1}^{1} T_{k}^{2}(x) \frac{d x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x^{2}\right)}}=c_{k} \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
c_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
2 & i=0 \text { or } N  \tag{2.20}\\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eigenfunctions of the singular Sturm-Liouville problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}} \frac{d T_{n}}{d x}\right)=-\frac{n^{2}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} T_{n}(x) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

are orthogonal family in the Hilbert space $L_{\omega}^{2}[-1,1]$, equipped by the weight $w(x)=$ $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g):=\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) g(x) w(x) d x \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w$ be a Jacobi weight and let $\phi_{k}$ be the corresponding system of orthogonal polynomials. Gauss-type quadrature formula are in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N} f\left(x_{j}\right) w_{j} \sim \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) w(x) d x \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

formula (2.23) allows for the approximate computation of the Jacobi coefficients of a continuous function $u$ defined in the interval $[1,1]$. The coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{k}=\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\left(u, \phi_{k}\right)_{n} \quad k=0, \ldots, N \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the discrete Jacobi coefficients of $u$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \tilde{u}_{k} \phi_{k}\left(x_{j}\right) \quad k=0, \ldots, N \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

equations (2.24) and (2.25) enable one to transform between physical space $u\left(x_{j}\right)$ and transform space $\tilde{u}_{k}$. Chebyshev expansion of function $u \in L_{\omega}^{2}(-1,1)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u_{k}} T_{k}(x), \quad \hat{u_{k}}=\frac{2}{\pi c_{k}} \int_{-1}^{1} u(x) T_{k}(x) w(x) d x \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of function $u$ expanded in Chebyshev polynomials according to above equation can be represented formally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_{k}^{(1)} T_{k} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq. (2.14) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k \hat{u}_{k}=c_{k-1} \hat{u}_{k-1}^{(1)}-\hat{u}_{k+1}^{(1)} \quad k \geq 1 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k} \hat{u}_{k}^{(1)}=\hat{u}_{k+2}^{(1)}+2(k+1) \hat{u}_{k+1} \quad k \geq 0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{k}^{(1)}=\frac{2}{c_{k}} \sum_{\substack{p=k+1 \\ p+k \text { odd }}}^{\infty} p \hat{u}_{p} \quad k \geq 0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto (CGL) points which are used for construction of differentiation matrix for solving differential equations are as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=\cos \left(i \frac{\pi}{N}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic Lagrange polynomials for the Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto points are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{l+1}\left(1-x^{2}\right) \dot{T}_{N}(x)}{c_{l} N^{2}\left(x-x_{l}\right)} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By eq. (2.25), the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} u=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \tilde{u}_{k} \phi_{k} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} u\left(x_{j}\right)=u\left(x_{j}\right), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another expression of $I_{N} u$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} u=\sum_{l=0}^{N} u\left(x_{l}\right) \phi_{l} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{l}$ denoted the $l$ th characteristic Lagrange polynomial relative to the given set of nodes, i.e., the unique polynomial that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l} \in P_{N}(-1,1), \quad \phi_{l}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{j l} \quad \text { for } \quad j=0, \ldots, N \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation in physical space is accomplished by replacing truncation by interpolation. Given a set of $(N+1)$ Gaussian nodes in $[1,1]$, the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{N} u=\left(I_{N} u\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Jacobi interpolation derivative of $u$ relative to the chosen set of quadrature nodes. The physical values of the interpolation derivative can be expressed as linear combinations of the physical values of the function, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{N} u\right)\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{N}\left(D_{N}\right)_{j l} u\left(x_{l}\right), \quad j=0, \ldots, N \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By eq. (2.35), the coefficients are given by $\left(D_{N}\right)_{j l}=\phi_{l}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$ they form the entries of the first-derivative interpolation matrix $D_{N}$.

Differentiation matrix is as $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ order. The first derivative matrix (Gottlieb, Hussaini and Orszag (1984)) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\quad\left(D_{N}^{(1)}\right)_{j l}= \begin{cases}\frac{c_{j}}{c_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{(j+l)}}{x_{j}-x_{l}} & j \neq l \\
-\frac{x_{l}}{2\left(1-x_{l}^{2}\right)} & 1 \leq j=l \leq N-1 \\
\frac{2 N^{2}+1}{6} & j=l=0 \\
-\frac{2 N^{2}+1}{6} & j=l=N\end{cases} \\
\mathbf{D}_{N}^{(2)}=\left(\mathbf{D}_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \quad \mathbf{D}_{N}^{(3)}=\left(\mathbf{D}_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{3} \quad \mathbf{D}_{N}^{(4)}=\left(\mathbf{D}_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{4} \tag{2.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.3 Euler-Bernoulli Beam

Perpendicular surface to the mid surface before and after deformation remains normal and strains except $\epsilon_{x x}$ all are zero and shear deformation is neglected. Pure bending moment is just analyzed in this theory. Displacements ( $u, w$ ) for Euler-Bernoulli theory of beam (see Figure 2.2) are assumed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=u_{0}-z \frac{d w_{0}}{d x} \\
& w=w_{0} \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Strain-displacement relation is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{x x}=\frac{d u}{d x}=\frac{d u_{0}}{d x}-z \frac{d^{2} w_{0}}{d x^{2}} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Deriving the equilibrium equations using Newton's second law of motion are as follow

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sum F_{x}=-\rho A \frac{d^{2} u_{0}}{d t^{2}} & \rightarrow & -\frac{d N}{d x}-f(x)=-\rho A \frac{d^{2} u_{0}}{d t^{2}} \\
\sum F_{z}=-\rho A \frac{d^{2} w_{0}}{d t^{2}} & \rightarrow & -\frac{d V}{d x}-q(x)=-\rho A \frac{d^{2} w_{0}}{d t^{2}} \\
\sum M_{y}=0 & \rightarrow & V=\frac{d M}{d x} \tag{2.42}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& N(x)=\int_{A} \sigma_{x x} d A=E \frac{d u_{0}}{d x} \int_{A} d A=E A \frac{d u_{0}}{d x} \\
& M(x)=\int_{A} \sigma_{x x} z d A=E \int_{A}\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x}-z \frac{d^{2} w_{0}}{d x^{2}}\right) z d A=-E I \frac{d^{2} w_{0}}{d x^{2}} \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $(E)$ modulus elasticity, $(I)$ inertia moment and $(A)$ cross section of the beam are constant by changing $x$. After substitution eqs. (2.43) into eqs. (2.42) yield,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E A \frac{d^{2} u_{0}}{d x^{2}}=f(x)+\rho A \frac{d^{2} u_{0}}{d t^{2}} & \text { (Rod problem) } \\
E I \frac{d^{4} w_{0}}{d x^{4}}=q(x)-\rho A \frac{d^{2} w_{0}}{d t^{2}} \quad \text { (Euler-Bernoulli beam) } \tag{2.44}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 2.2: Bending of beams. (a) Kinematics of deformation of Euler-Bernoulli beam, (b) Equilibrium of a beam element, (c) Definitions of stress resultants
where $f(x)$ is axial force correspond to the rod problem and $q(x)$ is shear force correspond to the Euler-Bernoulli beam, which both are zero in the free vibration analysis.

Euler-Bernoulli beam's displacement is assumed in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t)=w(x) e^{i w t} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substitution above equation into the second part of eq. (2.44) and neglecting $q$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
E I \frac{d^{4} w}{d x^{4}}=\omega^{2} \rho A w \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the range of displacement is given by $x \in[0, L]$, where $L$ is the length of the beam, one non-dimensional variable is introduced as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{x}{L} \in[0,1] \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto points in this range are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(i \frac{\pi}{N}\right)\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, differentiation matrix based on these CGL points are as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(D_{N}\right)_{00}=\frac{2 N^{2}+1}{3} & \left(D_{N}\right)_{N N}=-\frac{2 N^{2}+1}{3} \\
\left(D_{N}\right)_{j j}=-\frac{x_{j}}{\left(1-x_{j}^{2}\right)} & j=1, \ldots, N-1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(D_{N}\right)_{i j}=-\frac{c_{i}}{c_{j}} \frac{2(-1)^{(i+j)}}{x_{i}-x_{j}} & i \neq j \quad j=1, \ldots, N-1 \\
c_{i}=2 \quad(i=0, N) & \text { otherwise } \quad c_{i}=1 \tag{2.49}
\end{array}
$$

Equation of motion is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} w=\lambda^{2} w \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{2}=L^{4} \omega^{2} \rho A / E I$ and $\mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial X^{4}}$.
Displacement implementation of Euler-Bernoulli beam in spectral collocation method is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathbf{u}_{j} \phi_{j}(x) \rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathbf{u}_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial \zeta}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} D_{(i, j)}^{(1)} \mathbf{u}_{j} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{j}$ which includes displacements is the vector of all unknown values at the grid points and $\mathbf{u}_{j}=\mathbf{u}^{N}\left(\zeta_{j}\right), \mathbf{u}^{N}$ is vector of displacement of the problem and $\phi_{l}(l=0, \ldots, N)$ is the Lagrange interpolating polynomial corresponding to the Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto (CGL) points, thus $\phi_{j}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $(i=0, \ldots . N)$.

Vector of displacements at grid points $\left(w \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left\{w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots w_{N}\right\} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of motion should be satisfied for interior points $(i=3, \ldots, N-1) . \quad Z_{I} \in$ $R^{(N-3) \times(N+1)}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{3}^{T}  \tag{2.53}\\
e_{4}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N-1}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 . Matrix $Z_{B} \in R^{4 \times(N+1)}$ corresponding to the border point and a point before the border point.

$$
Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T}  \tag{2.54}\\
e_{2}^{T} \\
e_{N}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Displacements and their derivatives at the CGL points are expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=I, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial X}=D^{(1)}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial X^{2}}=D^{(2)} \\
& \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial X^{3}}=D^{(3)}, \quad \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial X^{4}}=D^{(4)} \tag{2.55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is identity matrix by order $(N+1)$ and $D$ is a matrix for implementing differentiation with respect to the length based on the CGL points, thus eq. (2.50) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{(4)}=\lambda^{2} I \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion for interior points can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{B} s_{B}+L_{I} s_{I}\right)=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{B}^{T}\right] \quad L_{I}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $K$ is left hand side of eq. (2.56).

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{I}=\left\{w_{I}\right\} \quad s_{B}=\left\{w_{B}\right\} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{I}$ and $s_{B}$ denote interior and boundary points, respectively.

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}  \tag{2.60}\\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}
\end{array}\right]\{w\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { (each end }\right)
$$

$\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}$ is applied on the border point at each end and $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}$ is applied on the point before the border point at each end.

Analysis of problem is summarized in the form below as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{B}_{w}\right]\{w\}=\{0\} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{w}$ is a matrix which is included $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}$ and are correspond to the displacement variable $w$.

The boundary equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B} s_{B}+B_{I} s_{I}=0 \rightarrow s_{B}=-B_{B}^{-1} B_{I} s_{I} \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B}=\left[B_{w} Z_{B}^{T}\right], \quad B_{I}=\left[B_{w} Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of equation (3.62) to (2.57) results into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{I}-L_{B} B_{B}^{-1} B_{I}\right) s_{I}=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary conditions:

Clamped: $w=0, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0$
Pined: $w=0, \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}=0$
Sliding: $\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0, \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}}=0$
Free: $\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}}=0$

## Boundary conditions implementation at $\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$

Clamped

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=e_{1}^{T} I \quad \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pined

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=e_{1}^{T} I \quad \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sliding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(3)} \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(3)} \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Describing boundary conditions at $\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$ is similar, and it is easily done by replacing $e_{1}$ with $e_{N+1}$.

Table 2.1: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Euler-Bernoulli beam, $\lambda=$ $\omega L^{2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{E I}}$

| EI |  |  |  |  | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BCs | Method | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |  |  |  |
| C-C | Present | 4.73004 | 7.85320 | 10.9956 | 14.1371 | 17.2787 | 20.4203 |
|  | $[28]$ | 4.73004 | 7.85320 | 10.9956 | 14.1372 | 17.2788 | 20.4204 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P-P | Present | 3.14159 | 6.28318 | 9.42477 | 12.5663 | 15.7079 | 18.8495 |
|  | $[28]$ | 3.14159 | 6.28318 | 9.42477 | 12.5664 | 15.7080 | 18.8496 |
| P-S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $[28]$ | 1.57080 | 4.71239 | 7.85398 | 10.9956 | 14.1373 | 17.2788 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-P | Present | 3.92660 | 7.06858 | 10.2101 | 13.3517 | 16.4933 | 19.6349 |
|  | $[30]$ | 3.92660 | 7.06858 | 10.2101 |  |  |  |
| C-F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $[30]$ | 1.87510 | 4.69409 | 7.85475 |  |  |  |
| C-S | Present | 1.87510 | 4.69409 | 7.85475 | 10.9955 | 14.1371 | 17.2787 |
|  | $[30]$ | 2.36502 | 5.49780 | 8.63937 | 11.7809 | 14.9225 | 18.0641 |
|  |  |  | 5.49780 | 8.63938 |  |  |  |

For Euler-Bernoulli beam the eigenvalues in some boundary conditions are the same. CC, C-P, C-S and P-P are the same as F-F, P-F, F-S and S-S without considering rigid mode or modes, respectively. Among 10 types of boundary conditions which fourth of them are similar and fourth of them has rigid mode or modes. F-F has two rigid modes and S-S, F-S and P-F has one rigid mode.

### 2.4 Rod problem

Axial behavior of all beam theories is called rod problem. According to the first part of eq. (2.44) equation of motion for rod problem is as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[E(x) A(x) \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}\right]+f(x, t)=\rho(x) A(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the young modulus and cross area are constant by neglecting the axial force, equation of motion becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E A\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Displacement of the rod problem is assumed in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=u(x) e^{i \omega t} \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the exponential transformation on time into the equation of motion, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x) A(x) u(x, t)^{\prime \prime}=-\rho(x) A(x) \omega^{2} u(x, t) \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

For non-dimensional equation of motion with respect to the $x \in[0, L]$, where $L$ is the length of the rod, introduce the non-dimensional parameter as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{x}{L} \in[0,1] \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x) A(x) \frac{4}{L^{2}} u(x, t)^{\prime \prime}=-\rho(x) A(x) \omega^{2} u(x, t) \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (') denotes the differentiation with respect to $X$.
Assuming $E$ and $A$ are constant, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{L} u=\lambda^{2} u \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial X^{2}}, \lambda^{2}=\rho L^{2} \omega^{2} / 4 E$.
Vector of displacements at grid points $\left(u \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots u_{N}\right\} \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of motion should be satisfied for interior points $(i=2, \ldots, N) . Z_{I} \in R^{(N-1) \times(N+1)}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{2}^{T}  \tag{2.77}\\
e_{3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 . Similar matrix $Z_{B} \in R^{2 \times(N+1)}$ corresponding to the border point.

$$
Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T}  \tag{2.78}\\
e_{(N+1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Displacements and their derivatives at the CGL points are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=I, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial X}=D^{(1)}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial X^{2}}=D^{(2)} \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is identity matrix by order $(N+1)$ and $D$ is a matrix for implementing differentiation with respect to the length based on the CGL points, thus eq. (2.75) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D^{(2)}=\lambda^{2} I \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion for interior points can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{B} s_{B}+L_{I} s_{I}\right)=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{B}^{T}\right] \quad L_{I}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $K$ is left hand side of eq. (2.80).

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{I}=\left\{u_{I}\right\} \quad s_{B}=\left\{u_{B}\right\} \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{I}$ and $s_{B}$ denote interior and boundary points, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{B}_{u}\right]\{u\}=\{0\} \quad(\text { each end }) \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{B}_{u}$ is applied on the first point at each end.
The boundary equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B} s_{B}+B_{I} s_{I}=0 \rightarrow s_{B}=-B_{B}^{-1} B_{I} s_{I} \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B}=\left[B_{u} Z_{B}^{T}\right], \quad B_{I}=\left[B_{u} Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of equation (2.85) to (2.81) results into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{I}-L_{B} B_{B}^{-1} B_{I}\right) s_{I}=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary conditions

Clamped: $\mathrm{u}=0$
Free: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0$

## Boundary conditions implementation at $\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$

Clamped

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{u}=e_{1}^{T} I \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{u}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$ describing boundary conditions are similar, and it is easily done by replacing $e_{1}$ with $e_{N+1}$.

Table 2.2: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the rod problem, $\lambda=\omega L^{2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{E I}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-C | Present | 3.141592 | 6.283183 | 9.424777 | 12.566370 |
|  | Exact | $\pi$ | $2 \pi$ | $3 \pi$ | $4 \pi$ |
| C-F | Present | 1.570796 | 4.712388 | 7.853981 | 10.995574 |
| F-F | Present | 3.141592 | 6.283185 | 9.424777 | 12.566370 |

Table (2.1) is shown natural frequencies of Euler-Bernoulli beam for many boundary conditions and results are compared with pseudospectral method using tau method. Table (2.2) is shown C-C boundary condition of the rod problem which the results are similar to P-P of Euler-Bernoulli beam. Exact solution in this case is $\pi, 2 \pi, 3 \pi, \ldots$ which is exactly similar to the above table. C-F and F-F boundary conditions of the rod problem also are shown in this table, results are similar to P-S and S-S of Euler-Bernoulli beam, respectively. The F-F case has one rigid mode.

### 2.5 Timoshenko Beam

Transverse normals do not remain perpendicular to the mid surface after deformation and this theory of beam includes pure bending and shear deformation. Displacements $(u, w)$ of Timoshenko theory of beam (see Figure 2.3) are assumed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=u_{0}+z\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0}=u_{0}+z \theta \\
& w=w_{0} \tag{2.90}
\end{align*}
$$

After using principle of virtual displacements, equilibrium equations are as follow

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial N_{x x}}{\partial x}+f=\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} & \text { (Rod problem) } \\
\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial x}+q=\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} & \text { (Timoshenko beam) } \\
\frac{\partial M_{x x}}{\partial x}+Q_{x}=\rho I \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial t^{2}} & \text { (Timoshenko beam) } \tag{2.91}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 2.3: Timoshenko beam
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{x}=K \int_{A} \sigma_{x z} d A=K G \int_{A} \gamma_{x z} d A=K G \int_{A}\left(-\theta+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right) d A=K G A\left(-\theta+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right) \\
& M_{x x}=\int_{A} \sigma_{x x} z d A=E \int_{A}\left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x}+z \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right) z d A=E I \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \tag{2.92}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting of eqs. (2.92) into the second and third parts of eqs. (2.91) and elimination of time with exponential transformation, yield governing equations of Timoshenko beam as follow

$$
\begin{align*}
& -E I \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}+K G A \theta-K G A \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}=\rho I \omega^{2} \theta \\
& K G A \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}-K G A \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}=\rho A \omega^{2} w_{0} \tag{2.93}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I=b h^{3} / 12$ is moment of area, $A=b h$ is cross sectional area of beam, $h$ is thickness of beam, $b$ is width, $\rho$ is density, $K$ is shear coefficients and it is assumed $5 / 6, M$ is bending moment, $Q$ is shear force and $G$ is shear modulus and equal to $1 / 2(1+\nu)$.

Applying non-dimensional parameter $z=\frac{x}{L} \in[0,1]$, spectral collocation method and considering the parameters $\chi=2(1+\nu) / K, \mu=I / A L^{2}$, equation of motion on matrix form can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{11} & K_{12}  \tag{2.94}\\
K_{21} & K_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta \\
w
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}=1 / \chi \mu^{2}\left(-\chi \mu \frac{4}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+1\right)=1 / \chi \mu^{2}\left(-\chi \mu \frac{4}{L^{2}} D^{(2)}+I\right) \\
& K_{12}=-1 / \chi \mu^{2} \frac{2}{L} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=-1 / \chi \mu^{2} \frac{2}{L} D^{(1)} \\
& K_{21}=1 / \chi \mu \frac{2}{L} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=1 / \chi \mu \frac{2}{L} D^{(1)} \\
& K_{22}=-1 / \chi \mu \frac{4}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=-1 / \chi \mu \frac{4}{L^{2}} D^{(2)} \tag{2.95}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda^{2}=\rho A L^{4} \omega^{2} / E I$ and matrix $I$ is identity matrix by order $(N+1)$, matrix $\mathbf{0}$ is zeros matrix by order $(N+1)$.

Vector of displacements at grid points $\left(w \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left\{w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots w_{N}\right\} \tag{2.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of motion should be satisfied for interior points $(i=2, \ldots, N) . Z_{I} \in R^{(N-1) \times(N+1)}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{2}^{T}  \tag{2.97}\\
e_{3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 . Similar matrix $Z_{B} \in R^{2 \times(N+1)}$ corresponding to the boundary points.

$$
Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T}  \tag{2.98}\\
e_{N+1}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The equation of motion for interior points can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{B} s_{B}+L_{I} s_{I}\right)=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{2.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{I} K_{11} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{12} Z_{B}^{T}  \tag{2.100}\\
Z_{I} K_{21} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{22} Z_{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad L_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{I} K_{11} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{12} Z_{I}^{T} \\
Z_{I} K_{21} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{22} Z_{I}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and interior and boundary points are

$$
s_{I}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{I}  \tag{2.101}\\
w_{I}
\end{array}\right\} \quad s_{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{B} \\
w_{B}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For other end $(\zeta=1)$ describing boundary conditions are similar to the end $(\zeta=0)$ and it is easily done by replacing $e_{1}$ with $e_{N+1}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{\theta \theta} & \mathcal{B}_{\theta w}  \tag{2.102}\\
\mathcal{B}_{w \theta} & \mathcal{B}_{w w}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { (each end) }
$$

Analysis of problem is summarized in the form below as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{\theta} & \mathcal{B}_{w}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta  \tag{2.103}\\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\theta}$ is a matrix which is include $B_{\theta \theta}$ and $B_{w \theta}$ and are correspond to rotation variable $\theta, \mathcal{B}_{w}$ also is a matrix which is include $B_{\theta w}$ and $B_{w w}$ and are correspond to displacement variable $w$.

The boundary equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B} s_{B}+B_{I} s_{I}=0 \rightarrow s_{B}=-B_{B}^{-1} B_{I} s_{I} \tag{2.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\theta} Z_{B}^{T} & B_{w} Z_{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\theta} Z_{I}^{T} & B_{w} Z_{I}^{T} \tag{2.105}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Substitution of equation (2.104) to (2.99) results into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{I}-L_{B} B_{B}^{-1} B_{I}\right) s_{I}=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{2.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary conditions:

Clamped:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=0, w=0 \\
& M=0, w=0 \\
& \theta=0, N=0 \\
& M=0, N=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Pined:
Sliding:
Free:

## Boundary condition implementation at $\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$

Clamped

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\theta \theta}=e_{1}^{T} I, \quad B_{\theta w}=e_{1}^{T} \mathbf{0}, \quad B_{w \theta}=e_{1}^{T} \mathbf{0}, \quad B_{w w}=e_{1}^{T} I \tag{2.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pined

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\theta \theta}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}, \quad B_{\theta w}=e_{1}^{T} \mathbf{0}, \quad B_{w \theta}=e_{1}^{T} \mathbf{0}, \quad B_{w w}=e_{1}^{T} I \tag{2.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sliding

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\theta \theta}=e_{1}^{T} I, \quad B_{\theta w}=e_{1}^{T} \mathbf{0}, \quad B_{w \theta}=-e_{1}^{T} I, \quad B_{w w}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{2.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\theta \theta}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}, \quad B_{\theta w}=e_{1}^{T} \mathbf{0}, \quad B_{w \theta}=-e_{1}^{T} I, \quad B_{w w}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{2.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Describing boundary conditions at $\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$ is similar, and it is easily done by replacing $e_{1}$ with $e_{N+1}$.

Table (2.3) is shown natural frequencies of Timoshenko beam which is applied on many boundaries and result are compared with pseudospectral method. As seen with increasing the ratio of thickness with respect to length of the beam, frequencies are decreased. And also in table (2.4) other boundary conditions for Timoshenko beam are tabulated.

Among all the boundary conditions for Timoshenko beam theory, for SS and PF cases there is one rigid mode and for FF there are two rigid modes.

Table 2.3: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Timoshenko beam, $\lambda=\omega L^{2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{E I}}$

| BCs | $h / L$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-C | 0.1 | Present | 4.57954 | 7.33121 | 9.85611 | 12.1453 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 4.57955 | 7.33122 | 9.85611 | 12.1454 |
|  | 0.2 | Present | 4.24201 | 6.41793 | 8.28531 | 9.90372 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 4.24201 | 6.41794 | 8.28532 | 9.90372 |
| P-P | 0.1 | Present | 3.11568 | 6.09066 | 8.84051 | 11.3431 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 3.11568 | 6.09066 | 8.84052 | 11.3431 |
|  | 0.2 | Present | 3.04533 | 5.67155 | 7.83951 | 9.65709 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 3.04533 | 5.67155 | 7.83952 | 9.65709 |
| P-S | 0.1 | Present | 1.56749 | 4.62768 | 7.49632 | 10.1223 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 1.56749 | 4.62769 | 7.49632 | 10.1223 |
|  | 0.2 | Present | 1.55784 | 4.42025 | 6.80658 | 8.78524 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 1.55784 | 4.42026 | 6.80658 | 8.78525 |
| F-F | 0.1 | Present | 4.64849 | 7.49718 | 10.1254 | 12.5076 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 4.64849 | 7.49719 | 10.1255 | 12.5076 |
|  | 0.2 | Present | 4.44957 | 6.80257 | 8.77286 | 10.4093 |
|  |  | $[28]$ | 4.44958 | 6.80257 | 8.77287 | 10.4094 |

Table 2.4: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Timoshenko beam, $\lambda=\omega L^{2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{E I}}$

| BCs | $h / L$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS | 0.1 | 3.1157 | 6.0907 | 8.8405 | 11.343 | 13.613 | 15.679 | 17.570 | 19.314 |
|  | 0.2 | 3.0453 | 5.6716 | 7.8395 | 9.6571 | 11.222 | 12.602 | 13.444 | 13.843 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF | 0.1 | 1.8677 | 4.5724 | 7.4154 | 9.9873 | 12.322 | 14.445 | 16.388 | 18.176 |
|  | 0.2 | 1.8466 | 4.2853 | 6.6113 | 8.5186 | 10.158 | 11.572 | 12.782 | 13.349 |
| CP | 0.1 | 3.8518 | 6.7306 | 9.3659 | 11.758 | 13.933 | 15.920 | 17.750 | 19.446 |
|  | 0.2 | 3.6656 | 6.0727 | 8.0744 | 9.7862 | 11.286 | 12.623 | 13.141 | 13.784 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CS | 0.1 | 2.3450 | 5.3201 | 8.0795 | 10.591 | 12.871 | 14.948 | 16.853 | 18.613 |
|  | 0.2 | 2.2898 | 4.9281 | 7.1162 | 8.9607 | 10.559 | 11.973 | 13.229 | 13.461 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PF | 0.1 | 3.8770 | 6.8020 | 9.4906 | 11.932 | 14.147 | 16.163 | 18.010 | 19.711 |
|  | 0.2 | 3.7486 | 6.2538 | 8.3179 | 10.048 | 11.522 | 12.750 | 13.148 | 13.643 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FS | 0.1 | 2.3544 | 5.3666 | 8.1775 | 10.741 | 13.066 | 15.178 | 17.106 | 18.877 |
|  | 0.2 | 2.3242 | 5.0627 | 7.3341 | 9.2187 | 10.814 | 12.173 | 13.193 | 13.567 |

## Chapter 3

## Plates

### 3.1 Discretization of 2D problem

A grid of Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto (CGL) points $\left(\zeta_{i}, \eta_{j}\right),(i, j=0, \ldots, N)$ over twodimensional problem such as plate or shells is defined as (see Figure 3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{i} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(i \frac{\pi}{N}\right)\right) \\
\eta_{j} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(j \frac{\pi}{N}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The discrete solution of the problem is sought in the form of tensor product of onedimensional expansions as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}(\xi, \eta)=\mathcal{I}_{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}=\sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{(m, n)} L_{m}(\xi) L_{n}(\eta) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{m n}$ is the vector of unknown values at the grid points and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{m n}=\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}\left(\zeta_{m}, \eta_{n}\right)$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}$ is vector of displacements and rotations of the problem and $L_{l}(l=0, \ldots, N)$ is the Lagrange interpolating polynomial corresponding to the given set of CGL points, thus $L_{m}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=\delta_{m i}$ and $L_{n}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\delta_{n j}$ for $(i, j=0, \ldots . N)$.

Approximation should satisfy the differential equation of the problem along with the boundary conditions at the CGL points. The resulting residual is enforced to vanish at the interior collocation points

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\mathcal{L} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}{ }_{\xi=\xi_{i}, \eta=\eta_{j}}=\lambda^{2} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}{ }_{\xi=\xi_{i}, \eta=\eta_{j}} \\
& -L_{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}=\lambda^{2} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{(i, j)} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{N}$ is the pseudo-spectral operator obtained by approximating each derivative by the corresponding interpolation derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}=\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{I}_{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining equations are prescribed by enforcing the satisfaction of the boundary conditions.


Figure 3.1: Two dimensional grid of (CGL) points on the computational domain $(N=8)$

The interpolation derivatives are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \xi=\xi_{i}, \eta=\eta_{j} & =\sum_{m=0}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{m j} \frac{\partial^{2} L_{m}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{N} D_{N(i, m)}^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{(m, j)}=\left(D_{(N)}^{2} \otimes I_{(N)}\right) \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \xi=\xi_{i}, \eta=\eta_{j} & =\sum_{n=0}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{i n} \frac{\partial^{2} L_{n}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} D_{N(j, n)}^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{(i, n)}=\left(I_{(N)} \otimes D_{(N)}^{2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{N}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \xi=\xi_{i}, \eta=\eta_{j} & =\sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{m n} \frac{\partial L_{m}}{\partial \xi}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \frac{\partial L_{n}}{\partial \eta}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} D_{N(i, m)}^{(1)} D_{N(j, n)}^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{(m, n)}=\left(D_{(N)} \otimes D_{(N)}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The following interpolation derivative matrices are introduced as

$$
D_{N}^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
D_{N}^{(1)}(0,0) & D_{N}^{(1)}(0,1) & \cdots & D_{N}^{(1)}(0, N)  \tag{3.6}\\
D_{N}^{(1)}(1,0) & D_{N}^{(1)}(1,1) & \cdots & D_{N}^{(1)}(1, N) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
D_{N}^{(1)}(N, 0) & D_{N}^{(1)}(N, 1) & \cdots & D_{N}^{(1)}(N, N)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Chebyshev differentiation matrix with CGL points is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(D_{N}\right)_{00}=\frac{2 N^{2}+1}{3} & \left(D_{N}\right)_{N N}=-\frac{2 N^{2}+1}{3} \\
\left(D_{N}\right)_{j j}=-\frac{x_{j}}{\left(1-x_{j}^{2}\right)} & j=1, \ldots, N-1 \\
\left(D_{N}\right)_{i j}=-\frac{c_{i}}{c_{j}} \frac{2(-1)^{(i+j)}}{x_{i}-x_{j}} & i \neq j \quad j=1, \ldots, N-1 \\
c_{i}=2 \quad(i=0, N) & \text { otherwise } \quad c_{i}=1
\end{array}
$$

The Kronecker product $\otimes$ in two-dimensional domain is as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial x^{n}}=\left(D_{N}^{(n)} \otimes I_{N}\right) & \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial y^{n}}=\left(I_{N} \otimes D_{N}^{(n)}\right) \quad n=1,2,3,4 \\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}=\left(D_{N}^{(1)} \otimes D_{N}^{(1)}\right) & \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}=\left(D_{N}^{(2)} \otimes D_{N}^{(2)}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{array}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix by $(N+1)$ order $D^{(1)}, D^{(2)}, D^{(3)}, D^{(4)}$ are the first-, second-, third- and fourth-order derivatives of the interpolation at the CGL nodes, respectively. Kronecker product tensor works as follows

### 3.2 Classical plate theory

Consider a plate with length $a$, width $b$, thickness $h$ and number of layers $N_{l}$ which can be isotropic or orthotropic is defined in the orthogonal coordinates $\left(x_{1}^{k}, x_{2}^{k}, x_{3}^{k}\right)$ of the $k$ th lamina oriented at an angle $\theta_{k}$. Take $x y$-plane of the problem in the undeformed mid plane $\Omega_{0}$ of the laminate. The $z$-axis is taken positive downward from the mid plane. The $k$ th layer is located between the points $z=z_{k}$ and $z=z_{k+1}$ in the thickness direction.

Assumption of theory of thin plates which is called classical plate theory (CLPT) or Kirchhoff plate theory are similar to Euler-Bernoulli beam theory in two-dimension. Assumptions are in the following

1- Thickness of the plate is small compared to other dimensions.
2- The mid plane of the plate does n't have any in-plane deformation after loading.
3 - The displacement components of the mid surface of the plate are small compared to the thickness.
4- Transverse shear deformation is neglected. Perpendicular surface to the mid surface before and after deformation remains normal and leads to $\epsilon_{x z}=\epsilon_{y z}=0$.
5- The transverse normal strain $\epsilon_{z z}$ under transverse loading can be neglected and also $\sigma_{z z}$ is small and is negligible compared with the other stress components.

### 3.2.1 Out-of-plane vibration of rectangular plates

In the Kirchhoff hypothesis displacements $(u, v, w)$ are assumed as (see Figure 3.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, y, z, t)=u_{0}(x, y, t)-z \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x} \\
& v(x, y, z, t)=v_{0}(x, y, t)-z \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y} \\
& w(x, y, z, t)=w_{0}(x, y, t) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.2: Undeformed and deformed geometry in thickness direction under Kirchhoff hypothesis
where $u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}$ are displacements of the mid surface. $x, y$ are in-plane coordinates and $z$ is the thickness direction.

The linear three-dimensional strain-displacement relations are written in below as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{x x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
& \epsilon_{x y}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \\
& \epsilon_{y y}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\
& \epsilon_{x z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right) \\
& \epsilon_{y z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right) \\
& \epsilon_{z z}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the strains $\left(\epsilon_{x x}, \epsilon_{y y}, \gamma_{x y}\right)$ are linear through the thickness, considering assumptions of Kirchhoff plate theory while $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}=-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial z}=-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}$ the transverse shear strains $\left(\epsilon_{x z}, \epsilon_{y z}\right)$ are zero and also $\epsilon_{z z}=0$ in this theory of laminated plates.

Substituting eq. (3.10) into the eq. (3.11), strain-displacement relations yield as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}  \tag{3.12}\\
\epsilon_{y y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y_{y}}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+z\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \partial_{0}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x_{0}} \\
-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
-2 \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)}, \epsilon_{y y}^{(0)}, \gamma_{x y}^{(0)}$ are the membrane strains and $\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)}, \epsilon_{y y}^{(1)}, \gamma_{x y}^{(1)}$ are the flexural (bending) strains which is known as the curvature.

Based on the principle of virtual work, dynamic equation is written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}(\delta U+\delta V-\delta K) d t=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta U$ is virtual strain energy, $\delta V$ is virtual work done by applied forces and the virtual kinetic energy $\delta K$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta U= & \int_{\Omega_{0}} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left(\sigma_{x x} \delta \epsilon_{x x}+\sigma_{y y} \delta \epsilon_{y y}+\sigma_{x y} \delta \gamma_{x y}+\sigma_{x z} \delta \gamma_{x z}+\sigma_{y z} \delta \gamma_{y z}+\sigma_{z z} \delta \epsilon_{z z}\right) d z d x d y \\
\delta V= & -\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left(q_{b}(x, y) \delta w_{0}\left(x, y, \frac{h}{2}\right)+q_{t}(x, y) \delta w_{0}\left(x, y,-\frac{h}{2}\right)\right) d x d y \\
& -\int_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left(\sigma_{n n} \delta u_{n}+\sigma_{n s} \delta u_{s}+\sigma_{n z} \delta u_{z}\right) d z d s \\
\delta K= & \int_{\Omega_{0}} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \rho_{0}(u \delta u+v \delta v+w \delta w) d z d x d y \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Above equations are general form of principle of virtual work. Virtual strain energy for classical plate theory just has first three terms, First-order shear deformation theory has first five terms and complete form of $\delta U$ is used for three-dimensional theory of plates. $\sigma_{n n}, \sigma_{n s}, \sigma_{n z}$ are specified stress components on the portion of $\Gamma_{\sigma} . q_{b}$ and $q_{t}$ are distributed forces on the bottom and top surfaces of plate, respectively.

Substituting eqs. (3.10) and (3.12) into the eq. (3.14) and integration by part, Eulerlagrange equations of the theory are obtained by setting the coefficients of $\delta u_{0}, \delta v_{0}$, and $\delta w_{0}$ equal to zero separately as follow

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta u_{0}: & \frac{\partial N_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial y}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right) \\
\delta v_{0}: & \frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{y y}}{\partial y}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right) \\
\delta w_{0}: & \frac{\partial^{2} M_{x x}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} M_{x y}}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} M_{y y}}{\partial y^{2}}+q=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \\
& +I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial y}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{array}
$$

where $q$ is transverse force, $M_{x x}, M_{y y}, M_{x y}$ are moments resultants and $N_{x x}, N_{y y}, N_{x y}$ are force resultants as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{x x} \\
N_{y y} \\
N_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{x x} \\
M_{y y} \\
M_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and $I_{0}, I_{1}, I_{2}$ are mass moment of inertia as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z \quad i=0,1,2 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stress resultants are as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha \beta}  \tag{3.18}\\
M_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_{\alpha \beta}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
z
\end{array}\right\} d z
$$

$A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}$ are extensional stiffness, bending-extensional coupling stiffness and bending stiffness, respectively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z \quad(i, j)=1,2,6 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{C}_{i j}=T^{\prime} C_{i j} T=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{C}_{11} & \tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{16}  \tag{3.20}\\
\tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{22} & \tilde{C}_{26} \\
\tilde{C}_{16} & \tilde{C}_{26} & \tilde{C}_{66}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is the elastic coefficients of the $k$ th lamina taking into account the angle of orthotropy $\theta^{(k)}$ in each layer. $C_{i j}$ is the engineering parameters as

$$
C_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0  \tag{3.21}\\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{66}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{11} & =\frac{E_{1}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}} \\
C_{12} & =\frac{\nu_{12} E_{2}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}}=\frac{\nu_{21} E_{1}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}} \\
C_{22} & =\frac{E_{2}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}}, C_{66}=G_{12} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

and also $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are Young's moduli in first and second material coordinates, $\nu_{i j}$ are poisson's ratio, defined as the ratio of transverse strains in the $j$ direction to the axial strain in the $i$ th direction when stressed in the $i$ th direction and $G_{i j}$ are shear moduli in the $x-y$ plane.

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos ^{2} \theta & \sin ^{2} \theta & 0  \tag{3.23}\\
\sin ^{2} \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

is transformation matrix. Complete matrix and relations which is for three-dimensional theory is expressed by eqs. (5.9) to (5.12). In Kirchhoff hypothesis $C_{i j}$ and $T$ matrices
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are $3 \times 3$ matrix, in first-order shear deformation theory are $5 \times 5$ and finally for threedimensional theory are $6 \times 6$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{x}=\frac{\partial M_{x x}}{\partial x}+2 \frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial y} \\
& V_{y}=2 \frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{y y}}{\partial y} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

are transverse force resultants. Complete form of the above equation is mentioned in equation (4.10) for shells structure.

Substituting eq. (3.12) and second part of eq. (3.16) into the third part of eq. (3.15), yields the governing equation for out-of-plane vibration of Kirchhoff plate as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{11} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}+4 D_{16} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+4 D_{26} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x \partial y^{3}} \\
& +D_{22} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial y^{4}}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Vector of displacements at grid points $\left(w \in R^{(N+1)^{2} \times 1}\right)$ are

$$
w=\left\{\begin{array}{llllllllll}
w_{(0,0)} & w_{(0,1)} & \ldots & w_{(0, N)} & w_{(1,0)} & w_{(1,1)} & \ldots & w_{(1, N)} & \ldots & \ldots \tag{3.26}
\end{array} w_{(N, 0)} w_{(N, 1)} \ldots w_{(N, N)}\right\}
$$

Equation of motion should be satisfied only for interior points $(i, j=2, \ldots, N-2) . Z_{I} \in$ $R^{(N-3)^{2} \times(N+1)^{2}}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{2(N+1)+3}^{T}  \tag{3.27}\\
\vdots \\
e_{3(N+1)-2}^{T} \\
e_{3(N+1)+3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-2)(N+1)-2}^{T} \\
e_{(N-2)(N+1)+3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-1)(N+1)-2}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1)^{2} \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 . Matrices $Z_{B_{\bar{w}}} \in R^{4 N \times(N+1)^{2}}$ and $Z_{B_{\hat{w}}} \in R^{(4 N-8) \times(N+1)^{2}}$ corresponding to the border points and set of points before the border points, respectively. (see Figure 3.3)

$$
Z_{B}^{C L P T}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
Z_{B_{\bar{w}}}  \tag{3.28}\\
Z_{B_{\hat{w}}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
Z_{B_{\bar{w}}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T}  \tag{3.29}\\
e_{(N+1)+1}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)+1}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N)(N+1)+1}^{T} \\
e_{(N)(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N)(N+1)+N}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N+1)(N+1)}^{T} \\
e_{2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad Z_{B_{\hat{w}}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-1)(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
e_{(N-1)(N+1)+3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-1)(N+1)+N-1}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)-1}^{T} \\
e_{3(N+1)-1}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N)(N+1)-1}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)+3}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)+4}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{2(N+1)-2}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The equation of motion for interior points can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R}^{-1}\left(L_{B} s_{B}+L_{I} s_{I}\right)=-\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{B}^{T}\right] \quad L_{I}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{I}^{T}\right] \quad L_{R}=\left[Z_{I} M Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is the left hand side of eq. (3.25) and also $M$ is the right hand side of the this equation which the second term is neglected because it is very negligible in compared with the first term in the right hand side and $L_{R}$ is what does we have in the right.

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{I}=\left\{w_{I}\right\} \quad s_{B}=\left\{w_{B}\right\} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{I}$ and $s_{B}$ are displacement vector variable of interior and boundary points, respectively.

Displacements and their derivatives at the CGL points along $\zeta=0$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) w & w_{\zeta \eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) w \\
w_{\zeta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) w & w_{\zeta \zeta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes I\right) w \\
w_{\eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) w & w_{\eta \eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(2)}\right) w \\
w_{\zeta \zeta \eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) w & w_{\zeta \eta \eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(2)}\right) w \\
w_{\zeta \zeta \zeta \zeta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(4)} \otimes I\right) w & w_{\eta \eta \eta \eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(4)}\right) w \tag{3.33}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, for edge $\eta=0$ it is written as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w & w_{\zeta \eta}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) w \\
w_{\zeta}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w & w_{\zeta \zeta}=\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w \\
w_{\eta}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) w & w_{\eta \eta}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right) w \\
w_{\zeta \zeta \eta}=\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) w & w_{\zeta \eta \eta}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right) w \\
w_{\zeta \zeta \zeta \zeta}=\left(D^{(4)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w & w_{\eta \eta \eta \eta}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(4)}\right) w
\end{array}
$$

For the edges $(\zeta, \eta=1)$ describing boundary conditions and equation of motion are similar to the previous formula and it is easily done by replacing $e_{1}$ with $e_{N+1}$. For each edge, there are two boundary conditions, $B_{\bar{w}}$ is applied on $\bar{w}$ and $B_{\hat{w}}$ is applied on $\hat{w}$.

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}  \tag{3.35}\\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}
\end{array}\right]\{w\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { (each edge }\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}$ matrices should implemented on four edges correspond to displacement vector $w$.

The boundary equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B} s_{B}+B_{I} s_{I}=0 \rightarrow s_{B}=-B_{B}^{-1} B_{I} s_{I} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{B}=\left[B_{w} Z_{B}^{T}\right], \quad B_{I}=\left[B_{w} Z_{I}^{T}\right], \quad B_{w}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}  \tag{3.37}\\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$B_{w}$ is evaluated for all four edges considering which points are included or not. Substitution of equation (3.36) to (3.30) results into

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R}^{-1}\left(L_{I}-L_{B} B_{B}^{-1} B_{I}\right) s_{I}=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.4: Boundary conditions at $\zeta=0$

Boundary conditions at $\zeta=0$
Clamped $\left(w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=w=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) w & (i=0, j=0, \ldots, N) \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) w & (i=1, j=1, \ldots, N-1) \tag{3.39}
\end{array}
$$

Simply-supported ( $w=M_{x x}=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=w=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) w \quad(i=0, j=0, \ldots, N) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=M_{x x}=\left(D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+2 D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(2)}\right)\right) w \quad(i=1, j=1, \ldots, N-1) \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(M_{x x}=V_{x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=M_{x x}=\left(D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+2 D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(2)}\right)\right) w \quad(i=0, j=0, \ldots, N) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=V_{x}=\left(D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(3)} \otimes I\right)+4 D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right)\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(2)}\right)+2 D_{26}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(3)}\right) w \quad(i=1, j=1, \ldots, N-1) \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$
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Boundary conditions at $\eta=0$
Clamped $\left(w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=w=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w & (j=0, i=1, \ldots, N-1) \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w & (j=1, i=2, \ldots, N-2) \tag{3.42}
\end{array}
$$

Simply supported $\left(w=M_{y y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=w=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w \\
& \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=M_{y y}=\left(D_{12}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+2 D_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right)\right) w \quad(j=1, i=2, \ldots, N-2) \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(M_{y y}=V_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w}}=M_{y y}=\left(D_{12}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+2 D_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right)\right) w \quad(j=0, i=1, \ldots, N-1) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w}}=V_{y}=\left(D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(3)}\right)+4 D_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right)\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+2 D_{16}\left(D^{(3)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)\right) w \quad(j=1, i=2, \ldots, N-2) \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.6: Boundary conditions at $\zeta=1$

At corners for interaction of two free edges $M_{x y}=0$ just for one point in the angle. It can be assumed that these corners are belong to $(\zeta=0$ or 1$)$ or ( $\eta=0$ or 1 ). Thus, if corner is at $\zeta=0$, boundary condition is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+D_{26}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(2)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 D_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)\right) w=0 \quad(i=0, j=0 \text { or } N \text { or } j=0, N) \tag{3.45}
\end{align*}
$$

and for corner at $\eta=0$ boundary condition is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{16}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 D_{66}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)\right) w=0 \quad(j=0, i=0 \text { or } N \text { or } i=0, N) \tag{3.46}
\end{align*}
$$

For describing boundary conditions at $\zeta=1$ and $\eta=1$, inside of formula it is enough to replace $e_{1}$ with $e_{(N+1)}$ and for points which are imposed by the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=0:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i=0, j=0, \ldots, N) \\
(i=1, j=1, \ldots, N-1)
\end{array} \rightarrow \zeta=1:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i=N, j=0, \ldots, N) \\
(i=N-1, j=1, \ldots, N-1)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \eta=0:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(j=0, i=1, \ldots, N-1) \\
(j=1, i=2, \ldots, N-2)
\end{array} \rightarrow \eta=1:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(j=N, i=1, \ldots, N-1) \\
(j=N-1, i=2, \ldots, N-2)
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

and at corners

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta=0:(i=0, j=0 \text { or } N \text { or } j=0, N) \rightarrow \zeta=1:(i=N, j=0 \text { or } N \text { or } j=0, N) \\
\eta=0:(j=0, i=0 \text { or } N \text { or } i=0, N) \rightarrow \eta=1:(j=N, i=0 \text { or } N \text { or } i=0, N) \tag{3.48}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3.7: Boundary conditions at $\eta=1$

For isotropic plate $D=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}, D_{22}=D_{11}=D, D_{12}=\nu D, D_{16}=D_{26}=0$ and $D_{66}=(1-\nu) \frac{D}{2}$.

Displacement assumed as $w(x, y, z, t)=w(x, y, z) e^{i \omega t}$, substituting this assumption into the equation of motion for isotropic plate, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial y^{4}}=-\frac{\rho h \omega^{2}}{D} w \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using non-dimensional parameter $\zeta=\frac{x}{a}, \eta=\frac{y}{b}$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \zeta^{4}}+2 \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \zeta^{2} \partial \eta^{2}}+\beta^{4} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \eta^{4}}=-\lambda^{2} w \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\frac{a}{b}, \lambda^{2}=\frac{\rho h a^{4}}{D} \omega^{2}$.

Displacement is assumed in the Lagrangian form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\zeta, \eta)=\sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{m n} T_{m}\left(\zeta_{i}\right) T_{n}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substitution the displacement into the equation of motion, yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{m n}\left[T_{m}^{(4)}\left(\zeta_{i}\right) T_{n}\left(\eta_{j}\right)+2 \beta^{2} T_{m}^{(2)}\left(\zeta_{i}\right) T_{n}^{(2)}\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.\beta^{4} T_{m}\left(\zeta_{i}\right) T_{n}^{(4)}\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right]=-\lambda^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{m n} T_{m}\left(\zeta_{i}\right) T_{n}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Spectral form using Kronecker product is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{(4)} \otimes I\right)+2 \beta^{2}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes D^{(2)}\right)+\beta^{4}\left(I \otimes D^{(4)}\right)=-\lambda^{2}(I \otimes I) \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3.1: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Kirchhoff plate, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | $\beta$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 27.004 | 41.703 | 66.124 | 66.521 | 79.804 | 100.81 |
|  | 1 | 35.985 | 73.393 | 73.393 | 108.21 | 131.58 | 132.20 |
|  | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 60.761 | 93.833 | 148.77 | 149.67 | 179.56 | 226.82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SFSF | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 9.6983 | 12.981 | 22.953 | 39.105 | 40.356 | 42.684 |
|  | 1 | 9.6313 | 16.134 | 36.725 | 38.944 | 46.738 | 70.740 |
|  | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 9.5581 | 21.619 | 38.721 | 54.844 | 65.792 | 87.626 |
| SSSS | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 12.337 | 19.739 | 32.076 | 41.945 | 49.348 | 49.348 |
|  | 1 | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.956 | 98.696 | 98.696 |
|  | 2 | 49.348 | 78.956 | 128.30 | 167.78 | 197.39 | 197.39 |
|  | 5 | 256.60 | 286.21 | 335.56 | 404.65 | 493.48 | 602.04 |

where left hand side of above equation is $K$ for isotropic plates in eq. (3.31).
Table (3.1) is shown results for fully clamped, fully simply-supported and SFSF boundary conditions in terms of different aspect ratios which are related to the dimensions. Natural frequencies of fully clamped and simply supported boundaries with increasing the aspect ratio, increased except SFSF case, which is behaved in vice versa.

Table 3.2: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Classical laminated composite plate $\xlongequal{(\beta,-\beta, \beta,-\beta, \beta) \text { for E-glass/epoxy, } \lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D_{11}}}}$

| BCs | $\beta^{o}$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | 0 | Present | 29.104 | 50.828 | 67.286 | 85.680 | 87.142 | 118.56 |
|  |  | $[65]$ | 29.087 | 50.792 | 67.279 | 85.629 | 87.112 | 118.50 |
|  |  | $[192]$ | 29.13 | 50.82 | 67.29 | 85.67 | 87.14 | 118.6 |
|  | 45 | Present | 28.643 | 56.342 | 59.946 | 86.477 | 102.996 | 104.85 |
|  |  | $[65]$ | 28.624 | 56.308 | 59.917 | 86.486 | 102.95 | 104.81 |
|  |  | $[192]$ | 28.68 | 56.34 | 59.94 | 86.48 | 103.0 | 104.9 |
| SSSS | 0 | Present | 15.194 | 33.299 | 44.418 | 60.778 | 64.529 | 90.301 |
|  |  | $[65]$ | 15.171 | 33.248 | 44.387 | 60.682 | 64.457 | 90.145 |
|  |  | $[193]$ | 15.19 | 33.30 | 44.42 | 60.77 | 64.53 | 90.29 |
|  | 45 | Present | 16.387 | 38.374 | 41.379 | 64.353 | 77.941 | 79.198 |
|  | $[65]$ | 16.480 | 38.436 | 41.478 | 64.563 | 77.958 | 79.223 |  |
|  | $[193]$ | 16.40 | 38.37 | 41.40 | 64.41 | 77.94 | 79.23 |  |

In table (3.2) presented results are compared with two methods, discrete singular convolution method (DSC) [65] and CLPT [192, 193] based on the finite element analysis both for fully clamped and fully simply supported. Results are shown natural frequencies of five layers symmetric composite laminated thin plate in terms of angle orientation. Increasing angle cause to increasing the frequencies.

For some engineering applications, constrained boundary conditions with constant or varying coefficients are mostly used. each edge may be constrained with translational
spring which is a constrained coefficient multiply by displacement, or constrained with torsional spring which is a constrained coefficient multiply by differentiation of displacement with respect to perpendicular coordinate. Sign of these coefficients for two opposite edges should be different for equilibrium. For varying constrained coefficients a polynomial in terms of perpendicular to edge with arbitrary order is considered. If all coefficients are zero, boundary condition becomes free condition and if they are infinity boundary condition becomes clamped condition. If torsional coefficients are zero and translational coefficients are infinity, boundary condition becomes simply supported condition.

Constrained boundary conditions with constant coefficients after normalization:
at $\zeta=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\nu \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right)-\frac{k_{1 T} a}{D} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}}+(2-\nu) \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2} \partial x}\right)+\frac{k_{1 L} a^{3}}{D} w=0 \tag{3.54}
\end{align*}
$$

at $\zeta=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\nu \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+\frac{k_{2 T} a}{D} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}}+(2-\nu) \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2} \partial x}\right)-\frac{k_{2 L} a^{3}}{D} w=0 \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

at $\eta=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\nu \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}\right)-\frac{k_{3 T} a \beta}{D} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}=0 \\
& \left(\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial y^{3}}+(2-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}\right)+\frac{k_{3 L} a^{3}}{\beta D} w=0 \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

and at $\eta=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\nu \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{k_{4 T} a \beta}{D} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}=0 \\
& \left(\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial y^{3}}+(2-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}\right)-\frac{k_{4 L} a^{3}}{\beta D} w=0 \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(k_{1 T}, k_{2 T}, k_{3 T}, k_{4 T}\right)$ are torsional and $\left(k_{1 L}, k_{2 L}, k_{3 L}, k_{4 L}\right)$ are translational constrained coefficients and each group of coefficients can be equal with themselves or not.

Table (3.3) is shown results for isotropic thin rectangular plate with fully constrained boundaries and with not fully constrained boundaries. Increasing aspect ratio for fully constrained boundaries cause to increasing the frequency and for other boundaries increasing the elastic coefficients is shown the increasing in the frequencies.

Table 3.3: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Kirchhoff plate with constrained boundaries, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | $K_{L}$ | $K_{T}$ | $c$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EEEE | 100 | 1000 | 1 | 17.509 | 25.295 | 25.295 | 33.901 | 46.285 | 46.862 |
|  | 100 | 1000 | 4 | 30.815 | 35.655 | 52.784 | 95.250 | 161.34 | 162.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSES | 10 | 0 | 1 | 13.931 | 33.676 | 42.088 | 63.297 | 72.682 | 90.794 |
|  | 100 | 10 | 1 | 19.398 | 40.718 | 44.810 | 67.042 | 81.051 | 92.521 |
| CFEE | 10 | 100 | 1 | 7.9254 | 12.504 | 30.250 | 34.414 | 37.683 | 61.224 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 8.5237 | 27.599 | 30.281 | 57.153 | 73.321 | 103.216 |

Table 3.4: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the classical plate with elastic support of parabolically varying stiffness along the four edges both parallel and normal to the edges, $\xlongequal{\lambda=\frac{\omega a}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{E}}}$

| $a / b$ | $K$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Present | 0.9521 | 0.9521 | 1.3307 | 1.5296 | 1.7866 | 1.9089 |
|  |  | [69] | 0.9521 | 0.9521 | 1.3307 | 1.5296 | 1.7866 | 1.9090 |
|  | 100 | Present | 1.7563 | 1.7563 | 2.1073 | 2.5532 | 2.9002 | 2.9318 |
|  |  | [69] | 1.7564 | 1.7564 | 2.1074 | 2.5535 | 2.9002 | 2.9319 |
| 0.5 | 1 | Present | 0.7799 | 0.8262 | 0.9561 | 1.1607 | 1.2204 | 1.3465 |
|  |  | [69] | 0.7800 | 0.8262 | 0.9562 | 1.1607 | 1.2205 | 1.3465 |
|  | 100 | Present | 1.1894 | 1.5767 | 1.6626 | 1.7478 | 1.8865 | 2.0209 |
|  |  | $[69]$ | 1.1895 | 1.5769 | 1.6628 | 1.7481 | 1.8867 | 2.0211 |

Varying constrained coefficients are written as following

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{(T, L)}(x) & =k_{0(T, L)} x(a-x) \rightarrow k_{(T, L)}(\zeta)=k_{0(T, L)} \operatorname{diag}\left(\zeta_{i}-\zeta_{i}^{2}\right) \\
k_{(T, L)}(y) & =k_{0(T, L)} y(b-y) \rightarrow k_{(T, L)}(\eta)=k_{0(T, L)} \operatorname{diag}\left(\eta_{j}-\eta_{j}^{2}\right) \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Tables (3.4) and (3.5) are shown results for varying fully elastic support parallel and normal to edges parabolic ally and linearly for isotropic thin plate with two aspect ratios, respectively. As seen with increasing the elastic coefficients, natural frequencies increased.

## Bulking of plates under in-plane loads

Since plate is subjected to in-plane compressive load (normal forces) ( $\hat{N}_{x x}, \hat{N}_{y y}<0$ ) and sufficiently small, and $\hat{N}_{x y}=0$ (shear forces), plate is stable and remains flat until critical load. This load is called bulking load and plate is not stable at that load. Equilibrium configuration of plate will be an other one considering load deflection behavior. This phenomenon without magnificent deformation of plate is called bifurcation. The load-deflection curve of bulked plates is often bilinear. The magnitude of bulking load depends on geometry and material properties. For bulking analysis under normal in-plane load, it is assumed other thermal and mechanical loads are zero. The governing equation

Table 3.5: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the classical plate with elastic support of linearly varying stiffness along the four edges both parallel and normal to the edges,

| $\lambda=\frac{\omega a}{2} \underline{\sqrt{\frac{\rho\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{E}}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a / b$ | K | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| 1 | 1 | Present | 0.8535 | 0.8535 | 1.1783 | 1.4294 | 1.7146 | 1.7404 |
|  |  | [69] | 0.8537 | 0.8537 | 1.1786 | 1.4295 | 1.7149 | 1.7405 |
|  | 100 | Present | 1.7467 | 1.7467 | 2.1041 | 2.5285 | 2.8934 | 2.9259 |
| 0.5 |  | [69] | 1.7468 | 1.7468 | 2.1041 | 2.5289 | 2.8935 | 2.9260 |
|  | 1 | Present | 0.7064 | 0.7360 | 0.8508 | 1.0830 | 1.1031 | 1.2126 |
|  |  | [69] | 0.7066 | 0.7362 | 0.8509 | 1.0831 | 1.1033 | 1.2128 |
|  | 100 | Present | 1.1857 | 1.5698 | 1.6545 | 1.7409 | 1.8774 | 2.0134 |
|  |  | [69] | 1.1858 | 1.5700 | 1.6547 | 1.7412 | 1.8776 | 2.0137 |

of bulking (under in-plane load) for orthotropic Kirchhoff plate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{11} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+D_{22} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial y^{4}}=\hat{N}_{x x} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\hat{N}_{y y} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{x x}=N_{0}, \quad \hat{N}_{y y}=-k N_{0}, \quad k=\frac{\hat{N}_{y y}}{\hat{N}_{x x}} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=0$, plate in under bulking with uniform compression and with $k=1$ is under biaxial compression. Governing equation of bulking (under shear in-plane load) for orthotropic Kirchhoff plate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{11} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+D_{22} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial y^{4}}=2 \hat{N}_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{x y}=N_{0}$.

Table 3.6: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the SCSC Kirchhoff plate subjected to uniform compressive in-plane load at the simply supported edges, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D_{11}}}$

| $a / b$ | $N_{0} / N_{c r}$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.5 | Present | 21.530 | 38.709 | 66.570 | 84.123 | 86.301 | 127.61 |
|  |  | $[35]$ | 21.53 | 38.708 | 66.571 | 84.123 | 86.303 | 127.61 |
|  | 0.8 | Present | 15.452 | 24.481 | 64.860 | 71.090 | 80.925 | 119.42 |
|  |  | $[35]$ | 15.452 | 24.479 | 64.861 | 71.090 | 80.926 | 119.43 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | Present | 9.677 | 21.575 | 37.934 | 47.912 | 86.987 | 95.974 |
|  |  | $[35]$ | 9.677 | 21.575 | 37.934 | 47.913 | 86.988 | 95.977 |
|  | 0.8 | Present | 6.120 | 20.231 | 34.846 | 45.506 | 84.030 | 93.303 |
|  |  | $[35]$ | 6.120 | 20.231 | 34.846 | 45.507 | 84.031 | 93.305 |

Table (3.6) is shown natural frequencies for SCSC boundary condition of isotropic thin plate which is subjected to uniform compressive in-plane load. As seen with increasing
parameter $\frac{N_{0}}{N_{c r}}$ which is being in-plane load divided by critical bulking load, natural frequency is decreased. Natural frequencies of thin rectangular plate for fully clamped and fully simply supported subjected to in-plane shear load is shown in table (3.7). In both tables results are compared with Trigonometric Ritz method.

Table 3.7: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Square Kirchhoff plate, subjected to in-plane shear load $\frac{N_{x y} a^{2}}{D_{11}}, \lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D_{11}}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSSS | Present | 18.867 | 44.277 | 53.066 | 76.636 | 98.336 | 100.10 |
|  | $[35]$ | 18.868 | 44.277 | 53.066 | 76.636 | 98.337 | 100.10 |
| CCCC | Present | 33.507 | 63.136 | 79.618 | 102.08 | 130.38 | 135.63 |
|  | $[35]$ | 33.508 | 63.138 | 79.620 | 102.09 | 130.39 | 135.64 |

### 3.2.2 Non-symmetric composite laminated rectangular plates

In the case of Non-symmetric composite laminated plate using classical plate theory, inplane displacements $(u, v)$ and out-of-plane displacement $(w)$, are coupling together. Because in symmetric case reaction among all layers are in equilibrium but in non-symmetric case residual stress can be vanished using in-plane reaction of the layers. Equation of motion after normalization using these parameters $\zeta=x / a, \eta=y / b, \beta=a / b, \delta=h / a, \gamma=$ $h / b, W=w / h$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+A_{12} \beta \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{66}\left(\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}\right)-B_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{3} W}{\partial x^{3}}-3 B_{16} \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} \\
& -B_{26} \beta^{2} \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} W}{\partial y^{3}}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{1} h \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x \partial t^{2}} \\
& A_{12} \beta \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{22} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+A_{66}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}\right)+B_{11} \gamma \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} W}{\partial y^{3}}-B_{16} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{3}} \\
& -3 B_{26} \gamma \beta \frac{\partial^{3} W}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{1} h \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y \partial t^{2}} \\
& B_{11}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}-\beta^{3} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial y^{3}}\right)+B_{16}\left(3 \beta \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{3}}\right)+B_{26}\left(3 \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+\beta^{3} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial y^{3}}\right) \\
& -D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{4}}-D_{22} \gamma \beta^{3} \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial y^{4}}-\left(2 D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right) \gamma \beta \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+q+\hat{N}_{x x} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}} \\
& +\hat{N}_{y y} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}+2 \hat{N}_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x \partial y}=I_{0} h \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial t^{2}}-I_{2} h \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \\
& +I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

In non-symmetric case $B_{i j}$ coefficients connect in-plane equations including $A_{i j}$ coefficients and out-of-plane equations with $D_{i j}$ coefficients. Equation (3.62) is non-symmetric
for both cross-ply with $B_{11}$ coefficient, and angle-ply with $B_{16}$ and $B_{26}$ coefficients because for non-symmetric cross-ply laminated plates all $B_{i j}$ are zero except $B_{11}$ and $B_{22}$ where $B_{22}=-B_{11}$, and also for non-symmetric angle-ply laminated plates all $B_{i j}$ are zero except $B_{16}$ and $B_{26}$.

Similar to section (3.2.1) we need $L_{B}, L_{I}$ and $L_{R}$, in this case they are obtained as following

$$
L_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{11} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{12} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{13} Z_{B}^{C L P T^{T}}  \tag{3.63}\\
Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{21} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{22} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{23} Z_{B}^{C L P T^{T}} \\
Z_{I}^{C L P T} K_{31} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{C L P T} K_{32} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{C L P T} K_{33} Z_{B}^{C L P T^{T}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
L_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{11} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{12} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{13} Z_{I}^{C L P T^{T}}  \tag{3.64}\\
Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{21} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{22} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{F S D T} K_{23} Z_{I}^{C L P T^{T}} \\
Z_{I}^{C L P T} K_{31} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{C L P T} K_{32} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & Z_{I}^{C L P T} K_{33} Z_{I}^{C L P T^{T}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and also $L_{R}$ is the same as $L_{I}$ but instead of stiffness components, mass components will be replaced.

These matrices components are presenting methods which are used for solving out-of-plane classical plate theory (section (3.2.1)) and in-plane analysis which is like summarized method where will be mention in section (3.3.1) with two variables instead of three variables in first-order shear deformation theory. Therefore FSDT in equations of this section does not meaning that they are following this theory, it means that computationally they are similar. Because for both in-plane analysis and FSDT theory for each edge there is just one condition applied on each variable for all edges but in CLPT theory there are two conditions applied on $w$ displacement variable. For these reasons $L_{B}$ and $L_{I}$ matrix components are combination of interior and boundary displacements vectors of both classical plate theory (CLPT) and first-order shear deformation plate theory (FSDT).

$$
s_{I}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{I}^{F S D T}  \tag{3.65}\\
v_{I}^{F S D T} \\
w_{I}^{C L P T}
\end{array}\right\} \quad s_{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{B}^{F S D T} \\
v_{B}^{F S D T} \\
w_{B}^{C L P T}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $s_{I}$ and $s_{B}$ are displacement vector variable of interior and boundary points, respectively. For applying boundary conditions four equations should be applied on each edge as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{u u} & \mathcal{B}_{u v} & \mathcal{B}_{u \bar{w}}  \tag{3.66}\\
\mathcal{B}_{v u} & \mathcal{B}_{v v} & \mathcal{B}_{v \bar{w}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} u} & \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} v} & \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} \bar{w}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} u} & \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} v} & \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} \hat{w}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { (each edge) }
$$

The whole set of discretized boundary conditions are expressed in below

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{u} & B_{v} & B_{w}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u  \tag{3.67}\\
v \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $B_{u}$ collects the $\mathcal{B}_{u u}, \mathcal{B}_{v u}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} u}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} u}$ matrices of the four edges correspond to displacement vector $u$. $B_{v}$ puts to gether the $\mathcal{B}_{u v}, \mathcal{B}_{v v}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} v}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} v}$ matrices of the four edges correspond to displacement vector $v$ and $B_{w}$ groups the $\mathcal{B}_{u \bar{w}}, \mathcal{B}_{v \bar{w}}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} \bar{w}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} \hat{w}}$ matrices of the four edges correspond to displacement vector $w$. i.e., $B_{u}$ can be written as

$$
\quad B u=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{B}_{u u}^{(0, \eta)}(0: N,:)  \tag{3.68}\\
\mathcal{B}_{v u}^{(0, \eta)}(0: N,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{w u}^{(0, \eta)}(0: N,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{w u}^{(0, \eta)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\\
\mathcal{B}_{u}^{(\zeta, 0)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{v u}^{(\zeta, 0)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{w u}^{(\zeta, 0)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} u}^{(\zeta, 0)}(2: N-2,:) \\
\\
\mathcal{B}_{u, n}^{(1, \eta)}(0: N,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{v, \eta}^{(1, \eta)}(0: N,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{w u}^{(1, n)}(0: N,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} u}^{(1, \eta)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\\
\mathcal{B}_{u}^{(\zeta, 1)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{v u}^{(\zeta, 1)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{w u}^{(\zeta, 1)}(1: N-1,:) \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} u}^{(\zeta, 1)}(2: N-2,:)
\end{array}\right]
$$

First four terms are corresponded to $\zeta=0$, and second, third and fourth groups are corresponded to $(\eta=0),(\zeta=1)$ and $(\eta=1)$, respectively. As in programming languages zero for rows or columns does n't have meaning, we can add 1 to rows or columns, i.e., $(0: N,:)$ can be written as ( $1: N+1,:)$.
$B_{B}$ and $B_{I}$ matrices for obtaining natural frequencies are written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{u} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & B_{v} Z_{B}^{F S D T^{T}} & B_{w} Z_{B}^{C L P T^{T}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& B_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{u} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & B_{v} Z_{I}^{F S D T^{T}} & B_{w} Z_{I}^{C L P T^{T}}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.69}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions for cross-ply lay-up at $\zeta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0$ )
Simply supported ( $N_{x x}=v=w=M_{x x}=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{x x}=A_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{12} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-B_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \\
& M_{x x}=D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{12} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}-B_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \tag{3.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x x}=N_{x y}=M_{x x}=V_{x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{x x}=A_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{12} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-B_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \\
& N_{x y}=A_{66}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \\
& M_{x x}=D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{12} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}-B_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
& V_{x}=D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right) \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}-B_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{3.71}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions for cross-ply lay-up at $\eta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}=0$ )
Simply supported ( $u=N_{y y}=w=M_{y y}=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{y y}=A_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{22} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+B_{11} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& M_{y y}=D_{12} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{22} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+B_{11} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \tag{3.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x y}=N_{y y}=M_{y y}=V_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{y y}=A_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{22} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+B_{11} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& N_{x y}=A_{66}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \\
& M_{y y}=D_{12} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{22} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+B_{11} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\
& V_{y}=D_{22} \beta^{2} \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial y^{3}}+\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right) \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+B_{11} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{3.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions for angle-ply lay-up at $\zeta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0$ )
Simply supported ( $u=N_{x y}=w=M_{x x}=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{x y}=A_{66}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)-B_{16} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}-B_{26} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& M_{x x}=D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{12} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}-B_{16}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x x}=N_{x y}=M_{x x}=V_{x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{x x} & =A_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{12} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-2 B_{16} \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} \\
N_{x y} & =A_{66}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)-B_{16} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}-B_{26} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \\
M_{x x} & =D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{12} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}-B_{16}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \\
V_{x}= & D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right) \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}-B_{16}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+3 \beta \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}\right) \\
& -2 B_{26} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{3.75}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions for angle-ply lay-up at $\eta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$ )
Simply supported ( $N_{x y}=v=w=M_{y y}=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{x y}=A_{66}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)-B_{16} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}-B_{26} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& M_{y y}=D_{12} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{22} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}-B_{26}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \tag{3.76}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x y}=N_{y y}=M_{y y}=V_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{y y} & =A_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{22} \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-2 B_{26} \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} \\
N_{x y} & =A_{66}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)-B_{16} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}-B_{26} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \\
M_{y y} & =D_{12} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{22} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}-B_{26}\left(\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \\
V_{y}= & D_{22} \beta^{2} \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial y^{3}}+\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right) \gamma \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}-B_{26}\left(\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+3 \beta \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}\right) \\
& -2 B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{3.77}
\end{align*}
$$

As more transparency, free boundary condition in angle-ply case for $\zeta=0$ is explained.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{u u}=A_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \mathcal{B}_{u v}=A_{12} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \mathcal{B}_{u \bar{w}}=-2 B_{16} \gamma \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\mathcal{B}_{v u}=A_{66} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \mathcal{B}_{v v}=A_{66} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \mathcal{B}_{v \bar{w}}=-B_{16} \delta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-B_{26} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} u}=-B_{16} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} v}=-B_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \mathcal{B}_{\bar{w} \bar{w}}=D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{12} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} u}=-3 B_{16} \beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} & \mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} v}=-B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-2 B_{26} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{\hat{w} \hat{w}}=D_{11} \delta \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(D_{12}+4 D_{66}\right) \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} & \tag{3.78}
\end{array}
$$

Position of the points which are imposed by boundary conditions in this case is a combination of what is shown in Figs. (3.13) and (3.14) in section (3.3.1) for displacements $u$ and $v$ and Figs. (3.4) and (3.5) in section (3.2.1) for displacement $w$.

### 3.2.3 Out-of-plane vibration of annular and circular plates

Consider an annular plate with $r$ radius, inner radius $b$, outer radius $a$ and $\beta=b / a$ is radius ratio. For annular plate $(b \leq r \leq a)$ after normalization with parameter $R=r / a$, range of non-dimensional radius is ( $\beta \leq R \leq 1$ ). In this case which is similar to EulerBernoulli beam, $w$ is displacement variable in the thickness direction based on Kirchhoff hypothesis. Collocation points which are distributed on the annular and circular plates are shown in Figs (3.8). Interior points are in black and boundary points are in red color. Figs (3.8) shows distribution of interior and boundary points for annular (left) and circular (right) plate. The location of these points are not really based on Chebyshev location points and this figure is a schematic figure but Figs (3.9) and (3.10) show the real location of collocation points in 1-D dimensional geometry like beam. In the case of annular plate for inner and outer radius two collocation points are needed. For circular plate one collocation point for implementing center point and two points for outer radius are needed.

Governing equation of motion for both annular and circular plates are as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial r^{4}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial r^{3}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}=\frac{q(r)}{D} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is bending stiffness and $q(r)$ is the axisymmetric load on the plate.
Bending moment and shear force are as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r r}=-D\left(\frac{d^{2} w}{d r^{2}}+\frac{\nu}{r} \frac{d w}{d r}\right), \quad Q_{r}=-D \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} w}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d w}{d r}\right) \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

For annular plate $b \leq r \leq a$ after doing dimensionless with $R=r / a$ parameter, $\beta \leq R \leq 1$ and for circular plate which is $0 \leq r \leq a$, yields $\leq R \leq 1$. Equation of motion in matrix


Figure 3.8: 2-D distribution of interior and boundary points of annular and circular plate


Figure 3.9: 1-D distribution of interior and boundary points of circular plate


Figure 3.10: 1-D distribution of interior and boundary points of annular plate
form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K w=-\lambda^{2} M w \tag{3.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where stiffness and mass matrices after multiplying by suitable power of $R$ avoiding singularity is as

$$
\begin{align*}
K & =R^{3} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial R^{4}}+2 R^{2} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial R^{3}}-R \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \\
M & =\frac{q(R a) R^{3} a^{4}}{D} \tag{3.82}
\end{align*}
$$

and also moment and force resultants are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{R R}=R \frac{d^{2} w}{d R^{2}}+\nu \frac{d w}{d R} \\
& Q_{R}=R^{2} \frac{d^{3} w}{d R^{3}}+R \frac{d^{2} w}{d R^{2}}-\frac{d w}{d R} \tag{3.83}
\end{align*}
$$

For annular plate equation of motion should be satisfied for interior points $(i=3, \ldots, N-$ 1). $Z_{I} \in R^{(N-3) \times(N+1)}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{3}^{T}  \tag{3.84}\\
e_{4}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T} \\
e_{2}^{T} \\
e_{N}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 . Matrix $Z_{B} \in R^{4 \times(N+1)}$ corresponding to the boundary points.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{B}^{T}\right] \quad L_{I}=\left[Z_{I} K Z_{I}^{T}\right] \quad L_{T}=\left[Z_{I} M Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

For both annular and circular geometry, boundary condition on outer radius is as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{B}_{1}  \tag{3.86}\\
\mathcal{B}_{2}
\end{array}\right]\{w\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is applied on the first point and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ is applied on the second point of outer radius.
Finally for evaluation of natural frequencies we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B}=\left[B_{w} Z_{B}^{T}\right] \quad B_{I}=\left[B_{w} Z_{I}^{T}\right] \tag{3.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{w}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{B}_{1} \\ \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right]$.

Boundary conditions at $R=1$
Clamped: $\left(w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial R}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{1} & =e_{(N+1)}^{T} \\
\mathcal{B}_{2} & =e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{3.88}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply supported: $\left(w=M_{R R}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=e_{(N+1)}^{T} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=R_{(N+1)} I e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(2)}+\nu e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{3.89}
\end{align*}
$$

Free: $\left(M_{R R}=Q_{R}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{1} & =R_{(N+1)} I e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(2)}+\nu e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(1)} \\
\mathcal{B}_{2} & =R_{(N+1)}^{2} I e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(3)}+R_{(N+1)} I e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(2)}-e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{3.90}
\end{align*}
$$

Distribution of the collocation points for annular plates are as: $\beta+\frac{(1-\beta)}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{i \pi}{N}\right) \quad(i=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots N)$ and in the case of distribution pattern for circular plate $\beta=0$. For applying boundary conditions on $R=\beta$ in the case of annular plate the same procedure is done and it is needed to replace $e_{(N+1)}$ with $e_{1}$ and also $R_{(N+1)}$ with $R_{1}$.

For circular plate which is $(0 \leq R \leq 1)$ equation of motion should be satisfied again for interior points $(i=2, \ldots, N-1)$.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{2}^{T}  \tag{3.91}\\
e_{3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T} \\
e_{N}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$Z_{I} \in R^{(N-2) \times(N+1)}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points and similar matrix $Z_{B} \in$ $R^{3 \times(N+1)}$ corresponding to the boundary points. $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. Boundary condition on center point for circular plate is $\frac{\partial w}{\partial R}$ or $\mathcal{B}_{c}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}$.

Table 3.8: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Kirchhoff circular plate, $\lambda=$ $\omega R^{2} / \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | Present | 10.214 | 39.770 | 89.103 | 158.18 | 247.01 | 355.57 | 483.87 | 631.91 |
|  | $[99]$ | 10.215 | 39.771 | 89.104 | 158.18 | 247.01 | 355.56 | 483.87 | 631.91 |
|  | $[98]$ | 10.216 | 39.771 | 89.102 | 158.18 | 246.99 | 355.54 | 483.82 | 631.83 |
| S | Present | 4.9288 | 29.716 | 74.156 | 138.32 | 222.22 | 325.85 | 449.22 | 592.33 |
|  | $[99]$ | 4.977 | 29.76 | 74.20 | 138.34 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $[98]$ | 4.9351 | 29.720 | 74.155 | 138.31 | 222.21 | 325.83 | 499.18 | 592.27 |
| F | Present | 9.0017 | 38.442 | 87.750 | 156.82 | 245.63 | 354.19 | 482.49 | 630.53 |
|  | $[99]$ | 9.084 | 38.55 | 87.80 | 157.0 | 245.9 | 354.6 | 483.1 | 631.0 |
|  | $[98]$ | 9.0031 | 38.443 | 87.749 | 156.81 | 245.62 | 354.17 | 482.45 | 630.46 |

Natural frequencies for thin circular plate with three boundaries such as fully clamped, simply supported and free are shown in table (3.8) and results are compared with pseudospectral [99] and differential quadrature [98] methods, respectively. For fully clamped case the presented results are very close to both methods but for other cases presented results are in a good agreement with results of GDQ method.

### 3.3 First-order shear deformation plate theory

In first-order shear deformation theory the transverse normals do not remain perpendicular to the mid surface after deformation and it leads to exist $\epsilon_{x z}, \epsilon_{y z}$. Displacement in the thickness direction $w$ is not a function of the thickness coordinate $z$ like thin plate theory. The transverse normal strain $\epsilon_{z z}$ under transverse loading can be neglected and still $\sigma_{z z}$ is small and negligible compared with the other stress components like thin plate theory. Thickness of the plate is not small compared to other dimensions, it's started from $h / a$ equal to 0.1 which is called moderately thick to greater which is called thick and $a$ is one dimension of the plate.


Figure 3.11: Undeformed and deformed in the thickness direction under first-order shear deformation assumption

### 3.3.1 Out-of-plane vibration of rectangular plates

In the first-order shear deformation theory, displacements $(u, v, w)$ are assumed as (see Figure 3.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, y, z, t)=u_{0}(x, y, t)+z \phi_{x}(x, y, t) \\
& v(x, y, z, t)=v_{0}(x, y, t)+z \phi_{y}(x, y, t) \\
& w(x, y, z, t)=w_{0}(x, y, t) \tag{3.92}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}, \phi_{x}, \phi_{y}\right)$ are unknown functions should be determined. $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right)$ are the displacements of a point on the plane $z=0$. It is to be noted that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0}, \quad \phi_{y}=\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}$ are the rotations of a transverse normal about the $y$ and $x$ axes, respectively. For thin plates when the in-plane characteristic dimension to thickness ratio is on the order 50 or greater, the rotation functions $\phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ should approach the respective slopes of the transverse deflection as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{x}=-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}, \quad \phi_{y}=-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y} \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stress resultants which are correspond to generalized displacements $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}, \phi_{x}, \phi_{y}\right)$ are as follow

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{x x} \\
N_{y y} \\
N_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{x x} \\
M_{y y} \\
M_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{x} \\
Q_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{55} & A_{45} \\
A_{45} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.95}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{x x}, M_{y y}, M_{x y}$ are bending resultants, $N_{x x}, N_{y y}, N_{x y}$ are force resultants, and $Q_{x}, Q_{y}$ are transverse force resultants. Stress resultants are as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha \beta}  \tag{3.96}\\
M_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_{\alpha \beta}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
z
\end{array}\right\} d z \quad\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_{\alpha z}\{1\} d z
$$

Stiffness coefficients are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z \quad(i, j)=1,2,6 \\
& A_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \kappa^{2} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z \quad(i, j)=4,5 \tag{3.97}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting eq. (3.92) into the eq. (3.11), strain-displacement relations yield as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}  \tag{3.98}\\
\epsilon_{y y} \\
\gamma_{x y} \\
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x z}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{y z}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+z\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{x z}^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{y z}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\phi_{x} \\
\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\phi_{y}
\end{array}\right\}+z\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial x} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the strains $\left(\epsilon_{x x}, \epsilon_{y y}, \gamma_{x y}\right)$ are linear through the thickness, while the the transverse shear strains $\left(\gamma_{x z}, \gamma_{y z}\right)$ are constant through the thickness of the laminate in the firstorder laminated plate theory.

Substituting eqs. (3.92) and (3.98) into the eq. (3.14) and integration by part, Eulerlagrange equations of the theory are obtained by setting the coefficients of $\delta u_{0}, \delta v_{0}, \delta w_{0}$, $\delta \phi_{x}$ and $\delta \phi_{y}$ equal to zero separately as follow

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta u_{0}: & \frac{\partial N_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial y}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
\delta v_{0}: & \frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{y y}}{\partial y}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
\delta w_{0}: \quad \frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial Q_{y}}{\partial y}+q=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
\delta \phi_{x}: \quad \frac{\partial M_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial y}-Q_{x}=I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
\delta \phi_{y}: \quad \frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{y y}}{\partial y}-Q_{y}=I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{3.99}
\end{array}
$$

Since the transverse shear strains are presented as constant through the laminate thickness, it follows that the transverse shear stresses will also be constant. It is well known from elementary theory of homogeneous beams that the transverse shear stress varies parabolically through the beam thickness. For composite laminated beams and plats, the transverse shear stresses vary at least quadratically through layer thickness. The discrepancy between the actual stress state and the constant stress state predicted by the first-order theory if often corrected in computing the transverse shear force resultants $\left(Q_{x}, Q_{y}\right)$ by multiplying with a parameter $\kappa^{2}$ which is called shear correction factor coefficients.

This amounts to modifying the plate transverse shear stiffness. The factor $\kappa^{2}$ is computed such that the strain energy due to transverse shear stresses in above equations equals the strain energy due to the true transverse stresses predicted by the threedimensional elasticity theory. Shear correction factor computed in this way: actual shear stress distribution through the thickness of beam with rectangular cross section, width $b$ and height $h$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x z}^{c}=\frac{3 Q}{2 b h}\left(1-\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{2}\right) \quad-h / 2 \leq z \leq h / 2 \tag{3.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is transverse shear force. The transverse shear stress in the FSDT thoery is constant and $\sigma_{x z}^{f}=Q / b h$. The strain energies due to transverse shear stresses in the two theories are

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{s}^{c} & =\frac{1}{2 G_{13}} \int_{A}\left(\sigma_{x z}^{c}\right)^{2} d A
\end{aligned}=\frac{3 Q^{2}}{5 G_{13} b h}, ~ \begin{aligned}
& 2 G_{13} \\
& U_{s}^{f} \tag{3.101}
\end{align*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x z}^{f}\right)^{2} d A=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 G_{13} b h} .
$$

Shear correction factor is the ratio of $U_{s}^{f}$ to $U_{s}^{c}$ which gives $\kappa^{2}=5 / 6$. The shear correction factor for a general laminate depends on lamina properties and lamination scheme.

Substituting eq. (3.98) and second and third parts of eq. (3.95) into the third, fourth and fifth parts of eq. (3.99), yield out-of-plane equations of FSDT as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
K_{11} & K_{12} & K_{13}  \tag{3.102}\\
K_{21} & K_{22} & K_{23} \\
K_{31} & K_{32} & K_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\
M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\
M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Vector of displacements at grid points $\left(\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}, w \in R^{(N+1)^{2} \times 1}\right)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
\phi_{x(0,0)} & \phi_{x(0,1)} & \ldots & \phi_{x(0, N)} & \phi_{x(1,0)} & \phi_{x(1,1)} & \ldots & \phi_{x(1, N)} & \ldots & \ldots & \phi_{x(N, 0)} & \phi_{x(N, 1)} & \ldots & \phi_{x(N, N)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\phi_{y}=\left\{\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\phi_{y(0,0)} & \phi_{y(0,1)} & \ldots & \phi_{y(0, N)} & \phi_{y(1,0)} & \phi_{y(1,1)} & \ldots & \phi_{y(1, N)} & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array} \phi_{y(N, 0)}\right.
\end{array} \phi_{y(N, 1)} \ldots \phi_{y(N, N)}\right\},
$$

Equation of motion should be satisfied for interior points $(i, j=1, \ldots, N-1)$.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{(N+1)+2}^{T}  \tag{3.104}\\
\vdots \\
e_{2(N+1)-1}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N-1)(N+1)-1}^{T} \\
e_{(N-1)(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N(N+1)-1}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$Z_{I} \in R^{(N-1)^{2} \times(N+1)^{2}}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points and $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1)^{2} \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 , and similar matrix $Z_{B} \in R^{4 N \times(N+1)^{2}}$ corresponding to the boundary points.

$$
Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T}  \tag{3.105}\\
e_{(N+1)+1}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)+1}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N)(N+1)+1}^{T} \\
e_{(N)(N+1)+2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N)(N+1)+N}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)}^{T} \\
e_{2(N+1)}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{(N+1)(N+1)}^{T} \\
e_{2}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$L_{B}$ and $L_{I}$ matrices are as following

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{B} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
Z_{I} K_{11} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{12} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{13} Z_{B}^{T} \\
Z_{I} K_{21} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{22} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{23} Z_{B}^{T} \\
Z_{I} K_{31} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{32} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{33} Z_{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \\
L_{I} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
Z_{I} K_{11} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{12} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{13} Z_{I}^{T} \\
Z_{I} K_{21} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{22} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{23} Z_{I}^{T} \\
Z_{I} K_{31} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{32} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{33} Z_{I}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.106}
\end{align*}
$$

$L_{R}$ is obtained by replacing mass components in $L_{I}$ matrix from above equation.

$$
s_{I}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{x_{I}}  \tag{3.107}\\
\phi_{y_{I}} \\
w_{I}
\end{array}\right\} \quad s_{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{x_{B}} \\
\phi_{y_{B}} \\
w_{B}
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Figure 3.12: Interior and boundary points of the first-order shear deformation theory
where $s_{I}$ and $s_{B}$ are displacements vector variables of interior and boundary points, respectively.

The same formulation is applied for satisfaction of boundary conditions. Displacements and their derivatives at the CGL points along $\zeta=0$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_{x}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \phi_{x} & \phi_{y}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \phi_{y} & w=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) w \\
\phi_{x \zeta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \phi_{x} & \phi_{y_{\zeta}}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \phi_{y} & w_{\zeta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) w \\
\phi_{x_{\eta}}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \phi_{x} & \phi_{y_{\eta}}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \phi_{y} & w_{\eta}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) w \tag{3.108}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, for edge $\eta=0$ it is written as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_{x}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \phi_{x} & \phi_{y}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \phi_{y} & w=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w \\
\phi_{x_{\zeta}}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \phi_{x} & \phi_{y_{\zeta}}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \phi_{y} & w_{\zeta}=\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) w \\
\phi_{x_{\eta}}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \phi_{x} & \phi_{y_{\eta}}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \phi_{y} & w_{\eta}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) w \tag{3.109}
\end{array}
$$

For displacements and their derivatives of the edges $(\zeta, \eta=1)$ it is enough to write similar formula, respectively and replace $e_{1}$ with $e_{N+1}$. Equation (3.110) shows the discretized set of boundary conditions for each edge.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{11} & \mathcal{B}_{12} & \mathcal{B}_{13}  \tag{3.110}\\
\mathcal{B}_{21} & \mathcal{B}_{22} & \mathcal{B}_{23} \\
\mathcal{B}_{31} & \mathcal{B}_{32} & \mathcal{B}_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { (each edge) }
$$

The whole set of discretized boundary conditions are expressed in below

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{\phi_{x}} & B_{\phi_{y}} & B_{w}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x}  \tag{3.111}\\
\phi_{y} \\
w
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $B_{\phi_{x}}$ collects the $\mathcal{B}_{11}, \mathcal{B}_{21}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{31}$ matrices of the four edges which is corresponded to the displacement vector $\phi_{x}$, and $B_{\phi_{y}}$ groups the $\mathcal{B}_{12}, \mathcal{B}_{22}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{32}$ matrices of the four edges which is corresponded to the displacement vector $\phi_{y}$ and finally $B_{w}$ puts together the $\mathcal{B}_{13}, \mathcal{B}_{23}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{33}$ matrices of the four edges which is corresponded to the displacement vector $w$.
$B_{B}$ and $B_{I}$ matrices are as following

$$
B_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{\phi_{x}} Z_{B}^{T} & B_{\phi_{y}} Z_{B}^{T} & B_{w} Z_{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad B_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{\phi_{x}} Z_{I}^{T} & B_{\phi_{y}} Z_{I}^{T} & B_{w} Z_{I}^{T} \tag{3.112}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Using below equation for evaluating natural frequencies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{R}^{-1}\left(L_{I}-L_{B} B_{B}^{-1} B_{I}\right)\right) s_{I}=\lambda^{2} s_{I} \tag{3.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of motion for first-order shear deformation composite laminated plate is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & \mathbf{K}_{13}  \tag{3.114}\\
\mathbf{K}_{12} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} \\
\mathbf{K}_{31} & \mathbf{K}_{32} & \mathbf{K}_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w_{0}
\end{array}\right\}=-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{M}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{M}_{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{M}_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where stiffness matrix components are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{K}_{11}=D_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{66} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+2 D_{16} \beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}-A_{55} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{12}=\left(D_{12}+D_{66}\right) \beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{26} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}-A_{45} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{13}=-A_{45} \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-A_{55} \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{22}=D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{22} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+2 D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}-A_{44} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{23}=-A_{44} \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-A_{45} \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{31}=A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{32}=A_{44} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+A_{45} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{33}=A_{44} \beta \gamma \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+2 A_{45} \gamma \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{55} \delta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{3.115}
\end{align*}
$$

and mass matrix components are as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{11}=\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12} \quad \mathbf{M}_{33}=\rho h \delta \tag{3.116}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.13: Boundary condition at $\zeta=0$

Boundary conditions at $(\zeta=0)(i=0, j=0, \ldots, N)$
Clamped $\left(\phi_{x}=\phi_{y}=W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.117}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $2\left(M_{x x}=\phi_{y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=D_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{16} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+D_{16} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=D_{12} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{12} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.118}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply supported - type $1\left(M_{x x}=M_{x y}=w\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=D_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{16} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+D_{16} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=D_{12} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{12} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{66} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+D_{66} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{66} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{26} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+D_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.119}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(M_{x x}=M_{x y}=Q_{x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=D_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{16} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+D_{16} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=D_{12} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{12} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{66} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+D_{66} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{66} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{26} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+D_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=A_{55}=A_{55}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=A_{45}=A_{45}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \left.\mathcal{B}_{33}=\gamma A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+\delta A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=\gamma A_{45}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+\delta A_{55} e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.120}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.14: Boundary condition at $\eta=0$

Boundary conditions at $(\eta=0)(j=0, i=1, \ldots, N-1)$
Clamped $\left(\phi_{x}=\phi_{y}=W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.121}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $2\left(\phi_{x}=M_{y y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=D_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{26} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=D_{22} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+D_{26} \beta\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.122}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $1\left(M_{x y}=M_{y y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{66} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{16}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{66} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{66} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+D_{66} \beta\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=D_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{26} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=D_{22} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+D_{26} \beta\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.123}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(M_{x y}=M_{y y}=Q_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=D_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{66} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{16}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{66} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{66} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+D_{66} \beta\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=D_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}+D_{26} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=D_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{26} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=D_{22} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+D_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+D_{26} \beta\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=A_{45}=A_{45}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}=A_{44}=A_{44}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\gamma A_{44} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+\delta A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}=\gamma A_{44}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+\delta A_{45}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.124}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathbf{0}$ is a zeros matrix with order of $(N+1) \times(N+1)^{2}$. For describing boundary conditions at $\zeta=1$ and $\eta=1$, inside of formula it is enough to replace $e_{1}$ with $e_{(N+1)}$ and for points which are imposed by boundary conditions


Figure 3.15: Boundary condition at $\zeta=1$


Figure 3.16: Boundary condition at $\eta=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=0:(i=0, j=0, \ldots, N) \rightarrow \zeta=1:(i=N, j=0, \ldots, N) \\
& \eta=0:(j=0, i=1, \ldots, N-1) \rightarrow \eta=1:(j=N, i=1, \ldots, N-1) \tag{3.125}
\end{align*}
$$

For corner points at the cross of two free edges, there is no special condition at the angles like classical plate theory and fully free condition is like fully simply-supported condition.

### 3.3.2 Non-symmetric composite laminated rectangular plate

In the case of Non-symmetric composite laminated plates based on first-order shear deformation theory, in-plane displacements $(u, v)$ and out-of-plane displacement ( $w, \phi_{x}, \phi_{y}$ ) are coupling together. Equation of motion in matrix form of differential operator after normalization using these parameters $\zeta=x / a, \eta=y / b, \beta=a / b, \delta=h / a, \gamma=h / b, W=w / h$ can be written as
where stiffness components are as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{K}_{11}=A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} & \mathbf{K}_{12}=\left(A_{12}+A_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\mathbf{K}_{14}=B_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} & \mathbf{K}_{15}=B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\mathbf{K}_{22}=A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} & \mathbf{K}_{24}=B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\mathbf{K}_{25}=-B_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+2 B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} & \mathbf{K}_{33}=A_{55} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+A_{44} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\mathbf{K}_{34}=A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \mathbf{K}_{35}=A_{44} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
\mathbf{K}_{44}=D_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}-A_{55} & \mathbf{K}_{45}=\left(D_{12}+D_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\mathbf{K}_{55}=D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}-A_{44} &
\end{array}
$$

and mass components are as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{11}=I_{0} \quad \mathbf{M}_{44}=I_{2} \quad \mathbf{M}_{14}=I_{1} \quad \mathbf{M}_{25}=I_{1} \tag{3.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{11}$ is for cross-ply non-symmetric and $B_{16}, B_{26}$ are for angle-ply non-symmetric composite laminated plate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{0}, I_{1}, I_{2}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \rho\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z \tag{3.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i j}$ is extensional stiffness, $D_{i j}$ is bending stiffness, $B_{i j}$ is bending-extensional coupling stiffness and $I_{0}, I_{1}, I_{2}$ are the inertia terms. Hook's law for the first-order shear deformation isotropic plate is as

$$
\sigma=C \epsilon \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{11}  \tag{3.130}\\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{12} \\
\sigma_{13} \\
\sigma_{23}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{11} \\
\epsilon_{22} \\
\epsilon_{12} \\
\epsilon_{13} \\
\epsilon_{23}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For composite laminated plate, matrix of coefficients can be obtained as following

$$
\tilde{C}=T C T^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tilde{C}_{11} & \tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{16} & 0 & 0  \tag{3.131}\\
\tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{22} & \tilde{C}_{26} & 0 & 0 \\
\tilde{C}_{16} & \tilde{C}_{26} & \tilde{C}_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{55} & \tilde{C}_{45} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{45} & \tilde{C}_{44}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where transformation matrix is as

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\cos ^{2} \theta & \sin ^{2} \theta & -2 \sin \theta \cos \theta & 0 & 0  \tag{3.132}\\
\sin ^{2} \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta & 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}$ are the reduced elastic coefficients of the $k$ th lamina taking into account the angle of orthotropy $\theta^{(k)}$ in each layer.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{11}=\frac{E_{1}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}}, \quad C_{12}=\frac{\nu_{21} E_{1}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}}, \quad C_{22}=\frac{E_{2}}{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}} \\
& C_{66}=G_{12}, \quad C_{55}=G_{13}, \quad C_{44}=G_{23} \tag{3.133}
\end{align*}
$$

$C_{i j}$ are the engineering parameters.
Using these parameters $\zeta=x / a(0 \leq \zeta \leq 1), \eta=y / b(0 \leq \eta \leq 1), W=w_{0} / h, \delta=$ $w / a, \gamma=w / b, \beta=a / b$ for doing dimensionless.

At $\zeta=0,1$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
N_{x x} \mp K_{u} u_{0}=0 & N_{x y} \mp K_{v} v_{0}=0 \\
Q_{x} \mp K_{w} w_{0}=0 & M_{x x} \mp K_{\phi_{x}} \phi_{x}=0 & M_{x y} \mp K_{\phi_{y}} \phi_{y}=0 \tag{3.134}
\end{array}
$$

At $\eta=0,1$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
N_{x y} \mp K_{u} u_{0}=0 & N_{y y} \mp K_{v} v_{0}=0 \\
Q_{y} \mp K_{w} w_{0}=0 & M_{x y} \mp K_{\phi_{x}} \phi_{x}=0 & M_{y y} \mp K_{\phi_{y}} \phi_{y}=0 \tag{3.135}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 3.17: Error of natural frequencies for fully elastic restrained 4 layers cross-ply composite Mindlin plate

Constraint's coefficients for all boundary conditions and all edges are as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{[K]_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12
\end{array}\right] \quad[K]_{F}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
{[K]_{S 1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 & 0 \\
1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 \\
0 & 1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad[K]_{S 2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 & 0 \\
0 & 1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 & 1 e 12 \\
0 & 1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 \\
1 e 12 & 0 & 1 e 12 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \tag{3.136}
\end{array}
$$

which rows are corresponded to displacements variables and columns are related to the edges $\zeta=0, \eta=0, \zeta=1$ and $\eta=1$, respectively.

Solving non-symmetric first-order shear deformation composite plates with all displacements $u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}, \phi_{x}, \phi_{y}$ coupled, is similar to out-of-plane vibration of first-order composite laminated plate with variables. All the procedures are the same and just equation of motion and boundary conditions matrices is $5 \times 5$ instead of $3 \times 3$.

Fig (3.17) shows convergence error of the third natural frequencies of non-symmetric cross-ply composite laminated first-order shear deformation theory with four layers with respect to the converged value. As seen, convergence is so fast and with increasing the


Figure 3.18: Effect of variation of the stiffness parameter on natural frequencies with different thickness on angle-ply non-symmetric laminated Mindlin plate


Figure 3.19: Effect of variation of the number of layers on natural frequencies with different thickness on angle-ply non-symmetric laminated Mindlin plate


Figure 3.20: 2-D Distribution of interior and boundary points of circular and annular plate


Figure 3.21: 1-D distribution of interior and boundary points of circular and annular plate
stiffness parameter $K$ it becomes much more fast. If the value of all stiffness parameters are the same, increasing this parameter means the boundary condition is fully clamped and the convergence is fine. But lower quantities of the stiffness parameter means constrained boundary conditions and so the convergence is not as fast as clamped boundary condition. For the stiffness parameter values greater than $K=100$, there is no any significant change in the graph of variation of natural frequencies with respect to this parameter as seen in Fig (3.18). In this figure, first, second, fourth and fifth natural frequencies with two thickness ratio of angle-ply anti-symmetric first-order shear deformation composite laminated are shown. Also with increasing the thickness ratio, natural frequencies become smaller but remain in the similar behavior. Effect of changing the number of layers on natural frequencies are shown in Fig (3.19). In this composite laminated plate which is similar to previous composite in lay-up, with number of layers bigger than six one can not see remarkable change in variation of natural frequencies with respect to number of layers.

### 3.3.3 Out-of-plane vibration of annular and circular plates

Consider an annular plate with $r$ radius, inner radius b , outer radius a and $\beta=b / a$ is radius ratio. For annular plate ( $b \leq r \leq a$ ) after doing dimensionless with the parameter $R=r / a$, range of non-dimensional radius is $(\beta \leq R \leq 1)$. This case is similar to Timoshenko beam and $w$ is displacement variable in the thickness direction and $\psi_{r}$ is rotation. Collocation points which are distributed on the annular and circular plates are shown in Figs. (3.20), interior points are in black and boundary points are in red color, annular plate (left) and circular plate (right). The location of these points are not really based on Chebyshev location points and this figure is a schematic figure but Fig (3.21) shows the real location of collocation points in 1-D dimensional geometry like beam. For annular plate on inner radius one collocation points is needed and also for outer radius, and for
circular plate case one point is corresponded to the center point and one point is needed for outer radius. But it should be considered that in this problem there are two variables and boundary has four points.

The Governing equations of motion for both annular and circular plate is as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial M_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} M_{r}-Q_{r}=\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{r}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial Q_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} Q_{r}=\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{3.137}
\end{align*}
$$

where bending moment and shear force are

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{r}=D\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\nu}{r} \psi_{r}\right) \\
& Q_{r}=\kappa^{2} G h\left(\psi_{r}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\right) \tag{3.138}
\end{align*}
$$

After substitution and normalization with these parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{r}{a}, W=\frac{w}{a}, \delta=\frac{h}{a}, \beta=\frac{b}{a} \tag{3.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of motion in matrix form is as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{11} & K_{12}  \tag{3.140}\\
K_{12} & K_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{r} \\
W
\end{array}\right\}=-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M_{11} & 0 \\
0 & M_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{r} \\
W
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where stiffness components are as

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{11} & =\left(R^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+R \frac{\partial}{\partial R}-1\right)-\frac{6 \kappa^{2}(1-\nu) R^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \\
K_{12} & =-\frac{6 \kappa^{2}(1-\nu) R^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \\
K_{21} & =R \frac{\partial}{\partial R}+1 \\
K_{22} & =R \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \tag{3.141}
\end{align*}
$$

and mass components are as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{11}=R^{2} \quad M_{22}=\frac{2 R}{(1-\nu) \kappa^{2}} \tag{3.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also non-dimensional moment and force resultant are

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{R} & =D\left(R \frac{\partial \psi_{R}}{\partial R}+\nu \psi_{R}\right) \\
Q_{R} & =\kappa^{2} G h\left(\psi_{R}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial R}\right) \tag{3.143}
\end{align*}
$$

Avoiding singularity, it is better to multiply each formula by the biggest power of radius.
For annular and circular plate equation of motion should be satisfied for interior points, $Z_{I} \in R^{(N-1) \times(N+1)}$ is a matrix correspond to interior points.

$$
Z_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{2}^{T}  \tag{3.144}\\
e_{3}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
e_{N}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad Z_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{1}^{T} \\
e_{(N+1)}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{i} \in R^{(N+1) \times 1}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. This vector is zero in all entries expect for the $i$ th entry at which it is equal to 1 , and matrix $Z_{B} \in R^{2 \times(N+1)}$ corresponding to the boundary points.

$$
L_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{I} K_{11} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{12} Z_{B}^{T}  \tag{3.145}\\
Z_{I} K_{21} Z_{B}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{22} Z_{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad L_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{I} K_{11} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{12} Z_{I}^{T} \\
Z_{I} K_{21} Z_{I}^{T} & Z_{I} K_{22} Z_{I}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For both circular and annular geometry boundary conditions are implemented as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{11} & \mathcal{B}_{12}  \tag{3.146}\\
\mathcal{B}_{21} & \mathcal{B}_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{r} \\
W
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The whole set of discretized boundary conditions are expressed in below

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\psi_{r}} & B_{w}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{r}  \tag{3.147}\\
W
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $B_{\psi_{r}}$ collects the $\mathcal{B}_{11}, \mathcal{B}_{21}$ matrices which are corresponded to the displacement vector $\psi_{r}$ and $B_{W}$ groups the $\mathcal{B}_{12}, \mathcal{B}_{22}$ matrices which are corresponded to the displacement vector $W$ of the inner and outer radius in annular plate, also center point and outer radius for circular plate, respectively.

$$
B_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\psi_{r}} Z_{B}^{T} & B_{W} Z_{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \quad B_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\psi_{r}} Z_{I}^{T} & B_{W} Z_{I}^{T} \tag{3.148}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Boundary conditions for annular and circular plates on outer radius are similar.

Boundary conditions at $R=1$
Clamped: $\left(\psi_{r}=0, W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=e_{(N+1)}^{T}, \mathcal{B}_{12}=0 \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=0, \mathcal{B}_{22}=e_{(N+1)}^{T} \tag{3.149}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported: $\left(M_{R}=0, W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=e_{(N+1)}^{T}\left(R D^{(1)}\right)+\nu e_{(N+1)}^{T}, \mathcal{B}_{12}=0 \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=0, \mathcal{B}_{22}=e_{(N+1)}^{T} \tag{3.150}
\end{align*}
$$

Free: $\left(M_{R}=0, Q_{R}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=e_{(N+1)}^{T}\left(R D^{(1)}\right)+\nu e_{(N+1)}^{T}, \mathcal{B}_{12}=0 \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\kappa^{2} G h e_{(N+1)}^{T}, \mathcal{B}_{22}=\kappa^{2} G h e_{(N+1)}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{3.151}
\end{align*}
$$

Collocation points distribution for annular plates are as: $\beta+\frac{(1-\beta)}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{i \pi}{N}\right) \quad(i=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots N)$. For applying boundary conditions at $R=\beta$, it is enough to replace $e_{(N+1)}^{T}$ with $e_{1}$.

Boundary conditions for circular plate which is $(0 \leq R \leq 1)$ at center point is as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}=0, \psi_{r}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial R}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad R=0 \tag{3.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in spectral form is as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=e_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{B}_{12}=0 \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=e_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{B}_{22}=e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \tag{3.153}
\end{align*}
$$

and the collocation points distribution for circular plates are: $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{N}\right)\right) \quad(j=$ $0,1, \ldots N)$.

### 3.3.4 Out-of-plane vibration of sector annular plates

Sector annular plate geometry (see Figure 3.22) is like rectangular plate and all the procedure of solving is the same. Both of them has two rotations and one displacement. In this case $\psi_{r}, \psi_{\theta}, w$ are unknown variables. Governing equations for sector annular plate are following as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial M_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial M_{r \theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{r}\left(M_{r}-M_{\theta}\right)-Q_{r}=\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{r}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial M_{r \theta}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial M_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{2}{r} M_{r \theta}-Q_{\theta}=\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial Q_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial Q_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{r} Q_{r}=\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{3.154}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.22: Sector annular plate geometry
where $M_{r}, M_{\theta}$ and $M_{R \theta}$ are bending moments, and $Q_{r}$ and $Q_{\theta}$ are shear forces in radial and circumferential directions as

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{r r} & =D\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\nu}{r}\left(\psi_{r}+\frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)\right) \\
M_{\theta \theta} & =D\left(\frac{1}{r}\left(\psi_{r}+\frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\nu \frac{\partial \psi_{r}}{\partial r}\right) \\
M_{r \theta} & =\frac{D}{2}(1-\nu)\left(\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{r}}{\partial \theta}-\psi_{\theta}\right)+\frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial r}\right) \\
Q_{r} & =\kappa^{2} G h\left(\psi_{r}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\right) \\
Q_{\theta} & =\kappa^{2} G h\left(\psi_{\theta}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right) \tag{3.155}
\end{align*}
$$

Circumferential direction $\theta$ can be non-dimensional using $\Theta=\theta / \alpha$ and so $0 \leq \Theta \leq 1$, $\alpha$ is angle of the sector annular plate. Radius direction also be non-dimensional using $R=r / a$ and so $\beta \leq R \leq 1$. Substituting eq. (3.155) into eq. (3.154), components of eq. (3.114) are as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{11} & =\left(R^{2} D R^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right)-\left((I \otimes I)+F\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right)\right)+\frac{(1-\nu)}{2 \alpha^{2}}\left(I \otimes D^{(2)}\right) \\
K_{12} & =\frac{(1+\nu)}{2 \alpha}\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)-\frac{3-\nu}{2 \alpha}\left(I \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
K_{13} & =-F\left(R^{2} D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
K_{21} & =\frac{1+\nu}{2 \alpha}\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+\frac{3-\nu}{2 \alpha}\left(I \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
K_{22} & =\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left(I \otimes D^{(2)}\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(R^{2} D R^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}(I \otimes I)+F\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right)\right) \\
K_{23} & =-\frac{F}{\alpha}\left(R \otimes D^{(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{31}=\left(R^{2} D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+(R \otimes I) \\
& K_{32}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(R \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& K_{33}=\left(R^{2} D R^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left(I \otimes D^{(2)}\right) \tag{3.156}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{11}=\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right) \quad M_{22}=\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right) \quad M_{33}=\frac{2}{\kappa(1-\nu)}\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=6 \kappa^{2}(1-\nu) / \delta^{2}, \delta=h / a$ and $\kappa^{2}$ is shear correction factor. $D R^{(1)}, D R^{(2)}$ are first and second differentiation with respect to radial direction and $D^{(1)}, D^{(2)}$ are first and second differentiation with respect to circumferential direction, respectively.

Boundary conditions: at $\Theta=0$
Clamped $\left(\psi_{R}=\psi_{\Theta}=W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported $\left(\psi_{R}=M_{\Theta}=W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) & \mathcal{B}_{21}=\nu\left(e_{1}^{T} D R R 1 \otimes I\right)+\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{22}=(1 / \alpha)\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D 1\right) & \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.159}
\end{array}
$$

Free $\left(M_{R \Theta}=M_{\Theta}=Q_{\Theta}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=(1 / \alpha)\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D 1\right) & \mathcal{B}_{12}=-\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right)+\left(e_{1}^{T} D R R 1 \otimes I\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}=\nu\left(e_{1}^{T} D R R 1 \otimes I\right)+\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=(1 / \alpha)\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D 1\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{32}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \operatorname{diag}(R) \otimes I\right) & \mathcal{B}_{33}=(1 / \alpha)\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \tag{3.160}
\end{array}
$$

Boundary conditions at $R=\beta$
Clamped $\left(\psi_{R}=\psi_{\Theta}=W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported $\left(M_{R}=\psi_{\Theta}=W=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(D R R 1 \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+\nu\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{12}=\nu\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \tag{3.162}
\end{array}
$$

Free $\left(M_{R}=M_{R \Theta}=Q_{R}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(D R R 1 \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+\nu\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{12}=\nu\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=(1 / \alpha)\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D 1\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=-\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+\left(D R R 1 \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(D R^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.163}
\end{align*}
$$

$D R R 1=D R^{(1)} \times \operatorname{diag}(R)$. Other unwritten components are zero by order $((N+1) \times$ $\left.(N+1)^{2}\right)$. Applying boundary conditions on $R=1$ and $\Theta=1$ is similar to rectangular plate and it is done by replacing $e_{1}^{T}$ with $e_{(N+1)}^{T}$.

### 3.4 In plane vibration

In plane vibration consider the in-plane stresses ( $\sigma_{\alpha \alpha}, \sigma_{\beta \beta}, \sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ ) which $\alpha$ and $\beta$ coordinates can be of rectangular, circular and annular plate. In plane vibration is not considered the same as out of plane vibration but it is important in some engineering applications such as seismic, etc. In this type of problem displacement in the thickness direction of plate is not considered and the equations of motion correspond to in-plane problem are similar in all plate's theory. All vibration problem in this section has two coupled equations with respect to $u$ and $v$ such as following

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{11} & K_{12}  \tag{3.164}\\
K_{21} & K_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
v_{0}
\end{array}\right\}=-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
v_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and matrix of boundary conditions for each edge is as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{11} & \mathcal{B}_{12}  \tag{3.165}\\
\mathcal{B}_{21} & \mathcal{B}_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
v_{0}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Above equations are solved like section (3.3.1) with one displacement variable less and two boundary condition for each edge.

### 3.4.1 Annular and circular plates

The governing equations of motion are as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial N_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial N_{r \theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{r}\left(N_{r}-N_{\theta}\right)=\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial N_{r \theta}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial N_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{2}{r} N_{r \theta}=\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{3.166}
\end{align*}
$$

Using below equations and after substitution

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{r r}  \tag{3.167}\\
N_{r \theta} \\
N_{\theta \theta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{r r} \\
\sigma_{r \theta} \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta}
\end{array}\right\} d z \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{r r} \\
\epsilon_{\theta \theta} \\
\gamma_{r \theta}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\
\frac{1}{y}\left(u+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right) \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}-\frac{v}{r}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E_{r}}{1-\nu_{r} \nu_{\theta}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{\nu_{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial r \partial \theta}\right)-\frac{E_{\theta}}{1-\nu_{r} \nu_{\theta}}\left(\frac{u}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right) \\
& +\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right)=\rho \ddot{u} \\
& \frac{E}{1-\nu_{r} \nu_{\theta}}\left(\frac{\nu_{r}}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r \partial \theta}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\right) \\
& +\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r \partial \theta}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta}-\frac{v}{r^{2}}\right)=\rho \ddot{v} \tag{3.168}
\end{align*}
$$

Using above equations, stiffness components are easily obtained and also boundary conditions are the same as in-plane vibration of sector annular plate in section (3.4.5).

### 3.4.2 Rectangular plates

Matrix components of equation of motion for cross-ply and angle-ply laminated rectangular plate is

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}=A_{11}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+2 A_{16}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{66}\left(I \otimes D^{(2)}\right) \\
& K_{12}=\left(A_{12}+A_{66}\right)\left(D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{16}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+A_{26}\left(I \otimes D^{(2)}\right)=K_{21} \\
& K_{22}=2 A_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{66}\left(D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+A_{22}\left(I \otimes D^{(2)}\right) \tag{3.169}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{11}=\rho h(I \otimes I) \quad M_{22}=\rho h(I \otimes I) \tag{3.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Boundary condition at $\zeta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $1\left(N_{x x}=v=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=A_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{12}=A_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) & \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.172}
\end{array}
$$

Simply-supported - type $2\left(u=N_{x y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{21}=A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+A_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=A_{26}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.173}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x x}=N_{x y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=A_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{12}=A_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}=A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+A_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{22}=A_{26}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{66}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.174}
\end{array}
$$

## Boundary condition at $\eta=0$

Clamped ( $u=v=0$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $1\left(u=N_{y y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{21}=A_{12}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+A_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=A_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+A_{26}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.176}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $2\left(N_{x y}=v=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=A_{16}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+A_{66}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=A_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+A_{66}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.177}
\end{align*}
$$

Free at $\left(N_{x y}=N_{y y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=A_{12}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+A_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{12}=A_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+A_{26}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}=A_{16}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+A_{66}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{22}=A_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+A_{66}\left(D \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.178}
\end{array}
$$

BCs for elastic restrained orthotropic plates in both normal and parallel to the edges:
At $x=\mp 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{12} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{16}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{n} u=0 \\
& A_{16} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{26} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{66}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{p} v=0 \tag{3.179}
\end{align*}
$$
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At $y=\mp 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{22} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{26}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{n} v=0 \\
& A_{16} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{26} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{66}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{p} u=0 \tag{3.180}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{n}, K_{p}$ are constrained coefficients normal and parallel to edges, respectively.

## Varying elastic restrained:

According to the different varying elastic coefficients of boundaries such as linear and parabolic, distribution of the elastic restrained along the edges are assumed as $k=$ $k_{n, p}\left(1-x^{2}\right)$ for $x$ side and $k=k_{n, p}\left(1-y^{2}\right)$ for $y$ side.

Thus, the parabolic variation of elastic boundary conditions are as follow
at $x=\mp 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{12} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{16}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{n} \operatorname{diag}\left(1-y^{2}\right) u=0 \\
& A_{16} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{26} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{66}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(1-y^{2}\right) v=0 \tag{3.181}
\end{align*}
$$

at $y=\mp 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{22} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{26}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{n} \operatorname{diag}\left(1-x^{2}\right) v=0 \\
& A_{16} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{26} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+A_{66}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \mp K_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(1-x^{2}\right) u=0 \tag{3.182}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4.3 Rectangular plates with mixed boundary conditions

The number of points which are used discretization the boundary conditions in the mixed boundary case should be equal, although the lengths of the simply supported, clamped or


Figure 3.24: Skew plate
free portions are not equal. For example if one edge is divided in two parts implementing the boundary condition including the first $0.5(N+1)$ rows of the first boundary condition and the last $0.5(N+1)$ rows of the second boundary condition. Number of collocation points should be odd, thus $N$ should be even like (Figure 3.1) and not the same as (Figure 3.23). Because with odd number of collocation points, one point locates in the middle and the other points are in the right and left hand side of this point, symmetrically. During increasing the number of collocation points, location of center point which is being 0.5 is constant and is at the center and location of other points are changed symmetrically and convergence of the problem is fine. With even number of collocation points there is no center point and location of all points are changing during increasing $N$ and there is no good convergence. This problem becomes critical when each edge is divided into more than two parts. In this case even with odd number of collocation points, there is no any symmetry. The convergence in these cases are not fine and graphs of convergence don't reach to converged value and has fluctuation behavior. Overcoming this problem, domain decomposition technique is advised. It means that with vertical and horizontal lines, division points of all edges are connected. i.e. with three division in each edge, there are nine rectangular parts which should be solved separately and continuity conditions should apply among all subparts [76, 77, 78]. Continuity conditions include be equal in displacements, moments and forces.

### 3.4.4 Skew plates

Consider a skew plate (see Figure 3.24) with length $a$ and width $b$ and skew angle $\alpha$ with respect to y in the Cartesian coordinate. For generality and convenience, the present formulation is expressed in dimensionless coordinates using the following relationships

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi & =\frac{1}{a}(x-y \tan \alpha) \\
\eta & =\frac{1}{b}(y \sec \alpha) \tag{3.183}
\end{align*}
$$

The skew plate in the $(x-y)$ physical domain is mapped in to a square plate in the computational $(\xi-\eta)$ domain $(0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq 1)$ by using the following coordinate mapping

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=x(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} N_{i}(\xi, \eta) x_{i} \\
& y=y(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} N_{i}(\xi, \eta) y_{i} \tag{3.184}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{i}=\left(1+\xi_{i} \xi\right)\left(1+\eta_{i} \eta\right)$ is shape function. physical domain coordinates are as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=(0,0) & \left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=(a, 0) \\
\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)=(a+b \sin \alpha, b \cos \alpha) & \left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)=(b \sin \alpha, b \cos \alpha) \tag{3.185}
\end{array}
$$

and also computational domain coordinates are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right)=(0,0), & \left(\xi_{2}, \eta_{2}\right)=(1,0), \\
\left(\xi_{3}, \eta_{3}\right)=(1,1), & \left(\xi_{4}, \eta_{4}\right)=(0,1) \tag{3.186}
\end{array}
$$

The derivatives of variables with respect to non-dimensional variables $(\xi, \eta)$ are written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (.)_{/ \xi}=x_{/ \xi}(.)_{/ x}+y_{/ \xi}(.)_{/ y} \\
& \left.(.)_{/ \eta}=x_{/ \eta}(.)_{/ x}+y_{/ \eta}(.)\right)_{/ y} \\
& (.)_{/ \xi \xi}=\left[x_{/ \xi}(.)_{/ x}+y_{/ \xi}(.)_{/ y}\right]_{/ \xi} \\
& (.)_{/ \xi \eta}=\left[x_{/ \eta}(.)_{/ x}+y_{/ \eta}(.)_{/ y / \xi}\right. \\
& (.)_{/ \eta \eta}=\left[x_{/ \eta}(.)_{/ x}+y_{/ \eta}(.)_{/ y}\right]_{/ \eta} \tag{3.187}
\end{align*}
$$

and in matrix form are as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.))_{/ \xi}  \tag{3.188}\\
(.) / \eta
\end{array}\right\}=J_{11}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.)_{/ x} \\
(.)_{/ y}
\end{array}\right\} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.)_{/ \xi \xi} \\
(.)_{/ \eta \eta} \\
(.)_{/ \xi \eta}
\end{array}\right\}=J_{22}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.)_{/ x x} \\
(.)_{/ y y} \\
(.)_{/ x y}
\end{array}\right\}+J_{21}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.)_{/ x} \\
(.)_{/ y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{/ \xi} & y_{/ \xi} \\
x_{/ \eta} & y_{/ \eta}
\end{array}\right], \quad J_{21}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{/ \xi \xi} & y_{/ \xi \xi} \\
x_{/ \eta \eta} & y_{/ \eta \eta} \\
x_{/ \xi \eta} & y_{/ \xi \eta}
\end{array}\right] \\
J_{22}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{/ \xi}^{2} & y_{/ \xi}^{2} & 2 x_{/ \xi} y_{/ \xi} \\
x_{/ \eta}^{2} & y_{/ \eta}^{2} & 2 x_{/ \eta} y_{/ \eta} \\
x_{/ \xi} x_{/ \eta} & y_{/ \xi} y_{/ \eta} & x_{/ \eta} y_{/ \xi}+x_{/ \xi / \eta} y_{/ \eta}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.189}
\end{gather*}
$$

Inverse relations of the matrix transformation are in the following as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.) / x \\
(.) / y
\end{array}\right\}=J_{11}^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.) / \xi \\
(.) / \eta
\end{array}\right\} \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.) / x x \\
(.) / y y \\
(.) / x y
\end{array}\right\}=J_{22}^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.) / \xi \xi \\
(.) / \eta \eta \\
(.) / \xi \eta
\end{array}\right\}-J_{22}^{-1} J_{21} J_{11}^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(.) / \xi \\
(.) / \eta
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.190}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{11}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{a} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{a} \tan (\alpha) & \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\cos (\alpha)}
\end{array}\right], \quad J_{21}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
J_{22}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{a^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{a^{2}} \tan ^{2}(\alpha) & \frac{1}{b^{2}} \frac{1}{\cos ^{2}(\alpha)} & -\frac{2}{a b} \frac{\tan (\alpha)}{\cos (\alpha)} \\
-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \tan (\alpha) & 0 & \frac{1}{a b} \frac{1}{\cos (\alpha)}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.191}
\end{gather*}
$$

For skew plates all components of matrix $J_{21}$ are zero but for quadrilateral plates they are not all zero. Matrix components of equation of motion are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}=\left(A_{11}+A_{66} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+A_{66} \phi^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 A_{66} \phi \frac{\tan \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \\
& K_{12}=\left(A_{12}+A_{12}\right)\left[\phi \sec \alpha \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}-\tan \alpha \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right] \\
& K_{21}=K_{12} \\
& K_{22}=\left(A_{66}+A_{22} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+A_{22} \phi^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 A_{22} \phi \frac{\tan \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \tag{3.192}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{11}=A_{22}=E /\left(1-\nu^{2}\right), A_{12}=\nu E /\left(1-\nu^{2}\right), A_{66}=E / 2(1+\nu), \phi=a / b$.
After applying spectral collocation method on the operators of equations of motion, yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}=\left(A_{11}+A_{66} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)(D 2 \otimes I)+A_{66} \phi^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha(I \otimes D 2)-2 A_{66} \phi \frac{\tan \alpha}{\cos \alpha}(D 1 \otimes D 1) \\
& K_{12}=\left(A_{12}+A_{66}\right)(\phi \sec \alpha(D 1 \otimes D 1)-\tan \alpha(D 2 \otimes I)) \\
& K_{21}=K_{12} \\
& K_{22}=\left(A_{66}+A_{22} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)(D 2 \otimes I)+A_{22} \phi^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha(I \otimes D 2)-2 A_{22} \phi \frac{\tan \alpha}{\cos \alpha}(D 1 \otimes D 1) \tag{3.193}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{11}=\rho h(I \otimes I) \quad M_{22}=\rho h(I \otimes I) \tag{3.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{2}=\rho a^{2} \omega^{2}\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) / 4 E$.

Boundary conditions at $\zeta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=n_{x}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{12}=n_{y}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{21}=n_{y}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{22}=-n_{x}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x x}=N_{x y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}= {\left[\left(A_{11} n_{x}{ }^{2}+A_{12} n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)-2 A_{66} n_{x} n_{y} \tan \alpha\right]\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+2 \phi \sec \alpha A_{66} n_{x} n_{y}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) } \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=\left[-\tan \alpha\left(A_{12} n_{x}{ }^{2}+A_{22} n_{y}^{2}\right)\right.\left.+2 A_{66} n_{x} n_{y}\right]\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
&+\phi \sec \alpha\left(A_{12} n_{x}{ }^{2}+A_{22} n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\left[\left(A_{22}-A_{11}\right) n_{x} n_{y}-\tan \alpha A_{66}\left(n_{x}^{2}-n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\right]\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
&+\phi \sec \alpha A_{66}\left(n_{x}^{2}-n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left[-\tan \alpha\left(A_{22}-A_{12}\right) n_{x} n_{y}+\right.\left.A_{66}\left(n_{x}{ }^{2}-n_{y}^{2}\right)\right]\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
&+\phi \sec \alpha\left(A_{22}-A_{12}\right) n_{x} n_{y}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \tag{3.196}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $1\left(v=N_{x x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=n_{y}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{12}=-n_{x}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\text {free }}, \mathcal{B}_{22}=\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\text {free }} \tag{3.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $2\left(u=N_{x y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=n_{x}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{12}=n_{y}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right), \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\text {free }}, \mathcal{B}_{22}=\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\text {free }} \tag{3.198}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary conditions at $\eta=0$

Clamped ( $u=v=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=n_{x}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{12}=n_{y}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{21}=n_{y}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{22}=-n_{x}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{x x}=N_{x y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}= {\left[\left(A_{11} n_{x}{ }^{2}+A_{12} n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)-2 A_{66} n_{x} n_{y} \tan \alpha\right]\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+2 \phi \sec \alpha A_{66} n_{x} n_{y}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) } \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=\left[-\tan \alpha\left(A_{12} n_{x}{ }^{2}+A_{22} n_{y}^{2}\right)\right.\left.+2 A_{66} n_{x} n_{y}\right]\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
&+\phi \sec \alpha\left(A_{12} n_{x}{ }^{2}+A_{22} n_{y}^{2}\right)\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\left[\left(A_{22}-A_{11}\right) n_{x} n_{y}-\tan \alpha A_{66}\left(n_{x}^{2}-n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\right]\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
&+\phi \sec \alpha A_{66}\left(n_{x}{ }^{2}-n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left[-\tan \alpha\left(A_{22}-A_{12}\right) n_{x} n_{y}+\right.\left.A_{66}\left(n_{x}{ }^{2}-n_{y}^{2}\right)\right]\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
&+\phi \sec \alpha\left(A_{22}-A_{12}\right) n_{x} n_{y}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \tag{3.200}
\end{align*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $1\left(v=N_{x x}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=n_{y}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{12}=-n_{x}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\text {free }}, \mathcal{B}_{22}=\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\text {free }} \tag{3.201}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported - type $2\left(u=N_{x y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=n_{x}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{12}=n_{y}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right), \mathcal{B}_{21}=\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\text {free }}, \mathcal{B}_{22}=\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\text {free }} \tag{3.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.5 Sector annular plates

Consider a sector annular plate with inner radius $a$ and outer radius $b$ with angle $\alpha$ and aspect ratio $\beta$ which is $a / b$. The governing equations in the matrix form of differential operator are

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{11} & =R^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+R \frac{\partial}{\partial R}-1+\frac{1-\nu}{2 \alpha^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \\
K_{12} & =\frac{1+\nu}{2 \alpha} R \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R \partial \theta}-\frac{3-\nu}{2 \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
K_{21} & =\frac{1+\nu}{2 \alpha} R \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R \partial \theta}+\frac{3-\nu}{2 \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
K_{22} & =\frac{(1-\nu)}{2} R^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{1-\nu}{2} R \frac{\partial}{\partial R}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{1-\nu}{2} \tag{3.203}
\end{align*}
$$

Distribution of the collocation points in the computational domain of sector annular plate is in two direction, one is in radial direction which is in the range of $(\beta, 1)$, and the other one is in circumferential direction which is in the range of $(0,1)$. In-plane forces are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{R R}=\frac{E h}{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial R}+\frac{\nu}{R}\left(u+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right)\right) \\
& N_{\theta \theta}=\frac{E h}{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{R}\left(u+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right)+\nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial R}\right) \\
& N_{R \theta}=\frac{E h}{2(1+\nu)}\left(\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}-v\right)+\frac{\partial v}{\partial R}\right) \tag{3.204}
\end{align*}
$$

After applying spectral collocation method, yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}=\left(R^{2} D R^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right)-(I \otimes I)+\frac{1-\nu}{2 \alpha^{2}}(I \otimes D 2) \\
& K_{12}=\frac{1+\nu}{2 \alpha}\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes D 1\right)-\frac{3-\nu}{2 \alpha}(I \otimes D 1) \\
& K_{21}=K_{12} \\
& K_{22}=\frac{(1-\nu)}{2}\left(R^{2} D R^{(2)} \otimes I\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(R D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}(I \otimes D 2)-\frac{1-\nu}{2}(I \otimes I) \tag{3.205}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{11}=\rho h\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right) \quad M_{22}=\rho h\left(R^{2} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.206}
\end{equation*}
$$

Boundary conditions at $R=\beta$
Clamped ( $u=v=0$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.207}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-Supported $\left(N_{R R}=v=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D R R 1 \otimes I\right)+\nu\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{12}=\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=e_{1}^{T} \tag{3.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{R R}=N_{R \theta}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} D R R 1 \otimes I\right)+\nu\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{12}=\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=-\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right)+\left(e_{1}^{T} R D R^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.209}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary condition at $\Theta=0$
Clamped ( $u=v=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-Supported $\left(u=N_{\theta \theta}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=e_{1}^{T} \quad \mathcal{B}_{21}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+\nu\left(R D R 1 \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \tag{3.211}
\end{align*}
$$

Free $\left(N_{R \theta}=N_{\theta \theta}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{12}=-\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+\left(R D R 1 \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+\nu\left(R D R 1 \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \tag{3.212}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.25: Undeformed and deformed in the thickness direction under third-order shear deformation assumption

### 3.5 Third-order shear deformation plate theory

The third-order shear deformation plate theory (TSDT) which is known to Reddy plate theory is similar to classical and first-order plate theories, except that we relax the assumption for straightness and normality of a transverse normal after deformation by expanding the displacements $(u, v, w)$ as cubic functions of the thickness coordinate (see Figure 3.25). Consider the displacements field as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=u_{0}+z \phi_{x}+z^{2} \theta_{x}+z^{3} \chi_{x} \\
& v=v_{0}+z \phi_{y}+z^{2} \theta_{y}+z^{3} \chi_{y} \\
& w=w_{0} \tag{3.213}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}\right),\left(\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}\right)$ and $\left(\chi, \chi_{y}\right)$ are functions to be determined. Clearly we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{0}=u(x, y, 0, t) & v_{0}=v(x, y, 0, t) & w_{0}=w(x, y, 0, t) \\
\phi_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} & \phi_{y}=\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} & 2 \theta_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}\right)_{z=0} \\
2 \theta_{y}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}\right)_{z=0} & 6 \chi_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial z^{3}}\right)_{z=0} & 6 \chi_{y}=\left(\frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial z^{3}}\right)_{z=0} \tag{3.214}
\end{array}
$$

There are nine dependent unknowns, and the theory derived using the displacement field will result in nine second-order partial differential equations. The number of dependent unknowns can be reduced by imposing certain conditions. Suppose that we wish to impose traction-free boundary conditions on the top an bottom faces of the laminate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x z}(x, y, \pm h, t)=0 \quad \sigma_{y z}(x, y, \pm h, t)=0 \tag{3.215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the above conditions in terms of strains, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\sigma_{x z}(x, y, \pm h, t)=Q_{55} \gamma_{x z}(x, y, \pm h, t)+Q_{45} \gamma_{y z}(x, y, \pm h, t) \\
& 0=\sigma_{y z}(x, y, \pm h, t)=Q_{45} \gamma_{x z}(x, y, \pm h, t)+Q_{44} \gamma_{y z}(x, y, \pm h, t) \tag{3.216}
\end{align*}
$$

which in turn requires, for arbitrary $C_{i j}(i, j=4,5)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\gamma_{x z}(x, y, \pm h, t)=Q_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\left(2 z \theta_{x}+3 z^{2} \chi_{x}\right)_{z= \pm h / 2} \\
& 0=\gamma_{y z}(x, y, \pm h, t)=Q_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\left(2 z \theta_{y}+3 z^{2} \chi_{y}\right)_{z= \pm h / 2} \tag{3.217}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\left(-h \theta_{x}+3 h^{2} / 4 \chi_{x}\right)=0, & Q_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\left(h \theta_{x}+3 h^{2} / 4 \chi_{x}\right)=0 \\
Q_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\left(-h \theta_{y}+3 h^{2} / 4 \chi_{y}\right)=0, & Q_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\left(h \theta_{y}+3 h^{2} / 4 \chi_{y}\right)=0 \tag{3.218}
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{x}=-4 / 3 h^{2}\left(Q_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right), \quad \theta_{x}=0, \quad \chi_{y}=-4 / 3 h^{2}\left(Q_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right), \quad \theta_{y}=0 \tag{3.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supposed displacements field now can be expressed in terms of $u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}, \phi_{x}, \phi_{y}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, y, z, t)=u_{0}(x, y, t)+z \phi_{x}(x, y, t)-c_{1} z^{3}\left(\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right) \\
& v(x, y, z, t)=v_{0}(x, y, t)+z \phi_{y}(x, y, t)-c_{1} z^{3}\left(\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right) \\
& w(x, y, z, t)=w_{0}(x, y, t) \tag{3.220}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}$ is rotation of normal to the middle surface with respect to thickness direction. The equations of motion of the first-order theory can be derived from the present third-order theory by setting $c_{1}=0$, and classical theory also can be derived by replacing $\phi_{x}$ with $-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}$ and also $\phi_{y}$ with $-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}$, and similar to first-order theory $\epsilon_{z z}=0$.

Strain-displacement relations are as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x} \\
\epsilon_{y y} \\
\epsilon_{x y}
\end{array}\right\} & =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+z\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\}+z^{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(3)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(3)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(3)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}+z\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}-c_{1} z^{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial c^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial x}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{y z}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}+z^{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z}^{(2)} \\
\gamma_{y z}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}-c_{2} z^{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.221}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}=4 / 3 h^{2}$ and $c_{2}=3 c_{1}$.
The stress resultants are related to the strains by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{x x} \\
N_{y y} \\
N_{x y} \\
M_{x x} \\
M_{y y} \\
M_{x y} \\
P_{x x} \\
P_{y y} \\
P_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & E_{11} & E_{12} & E_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & E_{12} & E_{22} & E_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & E_{16} & E_{26} & E_{66} \\
& & & & & & & \\
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} & F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} & F_{12} & F_{22} & F_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} & F_{16} & F_{26} & F_{66} \\
E_{11} & E_{12} & E_{16} & F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{16} & H_{11} & H_{12} & H_{16} \\
E_{12} & E_{22} & E_{26} & F_{12} & F_{22} & F_{26} & H_{12} & H_{22} & H_{26} \\
E_{16} & E_{26} & E_{66} & F_{16} & F_{26} & F_{66} & H_{16} & H_{26} & H_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{x x}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_{x x}^{(3)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(3)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(3)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{x} \\
Q_{y} \\
R_{x} \\
R_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{55} & A_{45} & D_{55} & D_{45} \\
A_{45} & A_{44} & D_{45} & D_{44} \\
D_{55} & D_{45} & F_{55} & F_{45} \\
D_{45} & D_{44} & F_{45} & F_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{y z}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{x z}^{(2)} \\
\gamma_{y z}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.222}
\end{align*}
$$

For in-plane or out-of-plane analysis separately all $B_{i j}$ and $E_{i j}$ coefficients should be zero and so the in-plane equations are as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{x x}  \tag{3.223}\\
N_{y y} \\
N_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{y y}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_{x y}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and also out-of-plane equation of motion are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\{M\} \\
\{P\}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[D]} & {[F]} \\
{[F]} & {[H]}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{\epsilon^{(1)}\right\} \\
\left\{\epsilon^{(3)}\right\}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\{Q\} \\
\{R\}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[A]} & {[D]} \\
{[D]} & {[F]}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{\gamma^{(0)}\right\} \\
\left\{\gamma^{(2)}\right\}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.224}
\end{align*}
$$

where elastic coefficients are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}, E_{i j}, F_{i j}, H_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \tilde{C}_{i j}\left(1, z, z^{2}, z^{3}, z^{4}, z^{6}\right) d z \quad(i, j)=1,2,6 \\
& \left(A_{i j}, D_{i j}, F_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \tilde{C}_{i j}\left(1, z^{2}, z^{4}\right) d z \quad(i, j)=4,5 \tag{3.225}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting eqs. (3.220) and (3.221) into the eq. (3.14) and integration by part, Eulerlagrange equations of the theory are obtained by setting the coefficients of $\delta u_{0}, \delta v_{0}$, and $\delta w_{0}$ equal to zero separately as follow

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta u_{0}: & \frac{\partial N_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial y}=I_{0} \ddot{u}+J_{1} \ddot{\phi}_{x}+c_{1} I_{3} \frac{\partial \ddot{w}_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\delta v_{0}: & \frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{y y}}{\partial y}=I_{0} \ddot{v}+J_{1} \ddot{\phi}_{y}+c_{1} I_{3} \frac{\partial \ddot{w}_{0}}{\partial y} \\
\delta w_{0}: & \frac{\partial \bar{Q}_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \bar{Q}_{y}}{\partial y}+c_{1}\left(\frac{\partial P_{x x}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial P_{x y}}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial P_{y y}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+q=I_{0} \ddot{w}_{0}-c_{1}^{2} I_{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \ddot{w}_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \ddot{w}_{0}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \\
& +c_{1}\left(I_{3}\left(\frac{\ddot{u}}{\partial x}+\frac{\ddot{v}}{\partial y}\right)+I_{4}\left(\frac{\ddot{\phi}_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\ddot{\phi}_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right) \\
\delta \phi_{x}: & \frac{\partial \bar{M}_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \bar{M}_{x y}}{\partial y}-\bar{Q}_{x}=J_{1} \ddot{u}+K_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{x}-c_{1} J_{4} \frac{\partial \ddot{w}_{0}}{\partial x} \\
\delta \phi_{y}: & \frac{\partial \bar{M}_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \bar{M}_{y y}}{\partial y}-\bar{Q}_{y}=J_{1} \ddot{v}+K_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{y}-c_{1} J_{4} \frac{\partial \ddot{w}_{0}}{\partial y} \tag{3.226}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha \beta} \\
M_{\alpha \beta} \\
P_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_{\alpha \beta}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
z \\
z^{3}
\end{array}\right\} d z, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{\alpha} \\
R_{\alpha}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_{\alpha z}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
z^{2}
\end{array}\right\} d z \\
& \bar{M}_{\alpha \beta}=M_{\alpha \beta}-c_{1} P_{\alpha \beta}(\alpha, \beta=1,2,6), \quad \bar{Q}_{\alpha}=Q_{\alpha}-c_{1} R_{\alpha}(\alpha=4,5) \\
& J_{i}=I_{i}-c_{1} I_{i+2}, \quad K_{2}=I_{2}-2 c_{1} I_{4}+c_{1}^{2} I_{6} \\
& I_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \rho^{(k)}(z)^{i} d z \quad(i=0,1, \ldots, 6) \tag{3.227}
\end{align*}
$$

The governing equations of motion for out-of-plane symmetric composite laminated based on Reddy plate theory in matrix form is as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & \mathbf{K}_{13}  \tag{3.228}\\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} \\
\mathbf{K}_{31} & \mathbf{K}_{32} & \mathbf{K}_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w_{0}
\end{array}\right\}=-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{M}_{11} & \mathbf{M}_{12} & \mathbf{M}_{13} \\
-\mathbf{M}_{12} & \mathbf{M}_{22} & 0 \\
-\mathbf{M}_{13} & 0 & \mathbf{M}_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
w_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Stiffness and mass components are as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K}_{11} & =\left(A_{45}-6 c_{1} D_{45}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(A_{55}-6 c_{1} D_{55}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{55}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{44}-6 c_{1} D_{44}+9 c_{1}^{2} F_{44}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+\left(A_{45}-6 c_{1} D_{45}-3 c_{1} F_{45}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& -c_{1}^{2}\left(H_{11} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{4}}+2\left(H_{12}+2 H_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+H_{22} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial y^{4}}+4 H_{16} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}\right. \\
& \left.+4 H_{26} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}\right) \\
\mathbf{K}_{12} & =\left(A_{45}-6 c_{1} D_{45}+9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(A_{44}-6 c_{1} D_{44}+9 c_{1}^{2} F_{44}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
& +c_{1}\left(\left(F_{11}-c_{1} H_{11}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}}+\left(2 F_{66}-2 c_{1} H_{66}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.+F_{12}-c_{1} H_{12}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+3\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}\right) \\
& \mathbf{K}_{13}=\left(A_{55}-6 c_{1} D_{55}+9 c_{1}^{2} F_{55}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(A_{45}-6 c_{1} D_{45}+9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
& +c_{1}\left(\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(F_{22}-c_{1} H_{22}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}}+2\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(F_{12}-c_{1} H_{12}+2 F_{66}-2 c_{1} H_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}\right) \\
& \mathbf{K}_{21}=-c_{1}\left(\left(F_{11}-c_{1} H_{11}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(2 F_{66}-c_{1} H_{66}+F_{12}-c_{1} H_{12}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& +3\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}}\right)+\left(-A_{45}+6 c_{1} D_{45}\right. \\
& \left.-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(-A_{55}+6 c_{1} D_{55}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{55}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{22}=\left(\left(D_{11}-c_{1} F_{11}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{11}-c_{1} H_{11}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+2\left(\left(D_{16}-c_{1} F_{16}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1}\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+\left(\left(D_{66}-c_{1} F_{66}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{66}-c_{1} H_{66}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& +\left(-A_{45}+6 c_{1} D_{45}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right) \\
& \mathbf{K}_{23}=\left(\left(D_{16}-c_{1} F_{16}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(\left(D_{12}-c_{1} F_{12}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1}\left(F_{12}-c_{1} H_{12}\right)+\left(D_{66}-c_{1} F_{66}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{66}-c_{1} H_{66}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
& +\left(\left(D_{26}-c_{1} F_{26}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\left(-A_{55}+6 c_{1} D_{55}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{55}\right) \\
& \mathbf{K}_{31}=-c_{1}\left(\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+3\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+\left(F_{12}-c_{1} H_{12}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 F_{66}-2 c_{1} H_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\left(F_{22}-c_{1} H_{22}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}}\right)+\left(-A_{44}+6 c_{1} D_{44}\right. \\
& \left.-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{44}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(-A_{45}+6 c_{1} D_{45}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
& \mathbf{K}_{32}=\left(\left(D_{16}-c_{1} F_{16}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{16}-c_{1} H_{16}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(\left(D_{66}-c_{1} F_{66}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1}\left(F_{66}-c_{1} H_{66}\right)+\left(D_{12}-c_{1} F_{12}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{12}-c_{1} H_{12}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
& +\left(\left(D_{26}-c_{1} F_{26}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\left(-A_{44}+6 c_{1} D_{44}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{44}\right) \\
& \mathbf{K}_{33}=\left(\left(D_{66}-c_{1} F_{66}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{66}-c_{1} H_{66}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+2\left(\left(D_{26}-c_{1} F_{26}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1}\left(F_{26}-c_{1} H_{26}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+\left(\left(D_{22}-c_{1} F_{22}\right)-c_{1}\left(F_{22}-c_{1} H_{22}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& +\left(-A_{45}+6 c_{1} D_{45}-9 c_{1}^{2} F_{45}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{11}=I_{0}-c_{1}^{2} I_{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \quad \mathbf{M}_{22}=K_{2} \quad \mathbf{M}_{12}=c_{1} J_{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \mathbf{M}_{13}=c_{1} J_{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tag{3.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=a / b$ is aspect ratio, $h$ is plate thickness and $\omega$ is natural frequency.
Solving out-of-plane vibration of third-order shear deformation symmetry composite plate follows the solving procedure of first-order shear deformation symmetry composite plate with the same distribution of collocation points for interior and boundary points.

## Boundary conditions at $\zeta=0$

Clamped $\left(\phi_{x}=\phi_{y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported ( $\left.M_{x x}=\phi_{y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)-c_{1}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=\beta D_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)-c_{1} F_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=-c_{1} F_{11} \delta\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} \otimes I\right)-c_{1} F_{12} \gamma\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(2)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{3.231}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions at $\eta=0$

Clamped $\left(\phi_{x}=\phi_{y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simply-supported $\left(\phi_{x}=M_{y y}=w=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=D_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)-c_{1} F_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\beta D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right)-c_{1} F_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=-c_{1} F_{12} \delta\left(D^{(2)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)-c_{1} F_{22} \gamma\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(2)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{3.233}
\end{align*}
$$

For corner points at interaction of two free edges, there is no special condition at the angles like classical plate theory and similar to first-order shear deformation theory of plate fully free condition is like fully simply-supported boundary condition.

Table (3.9) is shown natural frequencies for annular thin plate for many boundary conditions in terms of $\beta$ which inner radius is divided by total radius. Increasing $\beta$ for all boundaries cause to growing the natural frequencies and results compared with GDQ method.

Results for isotropic thick plate and considering many boundary conditions are tabulated in table (3.10). Presented results are compared with the results obtained with boundary characteristics orthogonal polynomials.

Table (3.11) is shown natural frequency of non-symmetric composite laminated moderately thick plate in the case of fully clamped and fully simply supported and results are compared with Ritz method.

Table (3.12) is shown natural frequency of symmetric composite laminated moderately thick plate with constrained boundaries in terms of different stiffness coefficients and results are compared with GDQ method. For constant elastic restrained coefficients with increasing the thickness of plate, natural frequencies decreased and with in the case of constant thickness, natural frequencies increased as elastic restrained coefficients is increased.

In table (3.13) results for non-symmetric composite laminated thick and thin plates for simply supported condition are evaluated for both cross-ply and angle-ply laminated and are compared with Navier solution. Similar to previous table with increasing the thickness of plate, natural frequency decreased.

Natural frequencies with all elastic restrained boundaries and not all edges constrained for non-symmetric both cross-ply and angle-ply lay-up are tabulated in tables (3.14) and (3.15), respectively. For all boundaries,lay-up and thickness, increasing the constrained coefficients cause to increasing the natural frequencies and for constant stiffness coefficients with increasing the thickness, frequencies decreased.

Table (3.16) is shown frequencies of non-symmetric composite laminated thick plate in the case of fully free condition for both cross-ply and angle-ply laminated. Frequencies increased as the number of layers of composite is increased but as seen after six layers there is no any significant change on frequencies.

Results for isotropic thick circular plate of all boundaries are tabulated in table (3.17). Results are compared with pseudospectral [99] and also differential quadrature [98] method. Similar to previous understanding with increasing the thickness, frequencies decreased.

In table (3.18) results for isotropic annular thick plate and all boundary conditions are tabulated and compared with GDQ method and the same results tell us frequency increased as thickness is decreased.

Results for isotropic sector annular thick plate for all boundaries are shown in table (3.19) and compared with GDQ method. As seen they are in a good agreement.

Results for in-plane vibration of rectangular plate for many boundary condition are tabulated in tables (3.20) and (3.21) and compared with Trigonometric Ritz [69] method and analytical solution [68], respectively.

Results for isotropic thick skew plate subjected to fully clamped and fully simplysupported are tabulated in table (3.22) and compared with differential quadrature method and with increasing the skew angle, natural frequencies increased.

Table (3.23) is included results of in-plane isotropic skew plate for fully clamped, CCCF and CFFF which are compared with modified Ritz method and with increasing the skew angle, natural frequencies get increased.

Table (3.24) and (3.25) are included results for isotropic in-plane skew plate for many boundary conditions. Generally, natural frequencies with increasing of skew angle, increased but in the case of simply supported type-1, fundamental frequency decreased, and also for fully free most of them but not all of the frequencies decreased. For the cases of S1CS1C and S2FS2F also fundamental frequency get decreased and for S1CS1F case second frequency get decreased. But in some modes it is oscillated, it means that at first it is decreasing and after that it is increasing or vice-versa.

Results for isotropic in-plane sector annular plate for fully clamped, CCCF and CFCF conditions are shown in table (3.26) and results are compared with modified Ritz method and also with increasing the angle of sector annular plate, natural frequencies decreased.

Table 3.9: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Kirchhoff annular plate, $\lambda=$ $\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | Author | $\beta$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC | Present | 0.1 | 27.281 | 75.366 | 148.21 | 245.48 | 367.18 |
|  | [98] |  | 27.280 | 75.364 | 148.21 | 245.34 | 367.14 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 89.251 | 246.34 | 483.22 | 799.02 | 1193.8 |
|  | [98] |  | 89.248 | 246.33 | 483.16 | 798.89 | 1193.5 |
| CS | Present | 0.1 | 17.789 | 60.144 | 126.88 | 218.06 | 333.65 |
|  | [98] |  | 17.789 | 60.143 | 126.88 | 218.05 | 333.63 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 59.820 | 198.05 | 415.16 | 711.23 | 1086.3 |
|  | [98] |  | 59.819 | 198.04 | 415.12 | 711.12 | 1086.0 |
| SC | Present | 0.1 | 22.701 | 65.639 | 132.90 | 224.42 | 340.28 |
|  | [98] |  | 22.701 | 65.538 | 132.89 | 224.41 | 340.26 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 63.973 | 202.07 | 419.23 | 715.33 | 1090.4 |
|  | [98] |  | 63.972 | 202.06 | 419.19 | 715.22 | 1090.1 |
| SS | Pres | 0.1 | 14.485 | 51.781 | 112.99 | 198.45 | 308.23 |
|  | [98] |  | 14.485 | 51.781 | 112.99 | 198.44 | 308.21 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 40.043 | 158.64 | 356.09 | 632.46 | 987.79 |
|  | [98] |  | 40.043 | 158.64 | 356.06 | 632.39 | 987.60 |
| FF | Present | 0.1 | 8.7745 | 38.236 | 89.026 | 162.85 | 260.49 |
|  | [98] |  | 8.7745 | 38.235 | 89.025 | 162.85 | 260.48 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 9.3135 | 92.308 | 249.39 | 486.20 | 801.96 |
|  | [98] |  | 9.3135 | 92.306 | 249.37 | 486.16 | 801.85 |
| CF | Present | 0.1 | 4.2374 | 25.262 | 73.901 | 146.69 | 243.96 |
|  | [98] |  | 4.2374 | 25.262 | 73.899 | 146.69 | 243.94 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 13.024 | 85.033 | 243.69 | 480.45 | 796.25 |
|  | [98] |  | 13.024 | 85.031 | 243.68 | 480.40 | 796.12 |
| FC | Present | 0.1 | 10.159 | 39.521 | 90.445 | 164.31 | 261.98 |
|  | [98] |  | 10.159 | 39.521 | 90.443 | 164.30 | 261.96 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 17.715 | 93.847 | 252.19 | 488.94 | 804.73 |
|  | [98] |  | 17.714 | 93.845 | 252.18 | 488.89 | 804.59 |
| SF | Present | 0.1 | 3.4497 | 20.889 | 64.202 | 131.40 | 222.91 |
|  | [98] |  | 3.4497 | 20.889 | 64.201 | 131.40 | 222.90 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 4.1210 | 61.009 | 199.35 | 416.47 | 712.56 |
|  | [98] |  | 4.1210 | 61.008 | 199.34 | 416.44 | 712.47 |
| FS | Present | 0.1 | 4.8532 | 29.438 | 74.823 | 142.84 | 234.51 |
|  | [98] |  | 4.8533 | 29.438 | 74.822 | 142.84 | 234.50 |
|  | Present | 0.5 | 5.0768 | 65.842 | 203.84 | 420.90 | 716.94 |
|  | [98] |  | 5.0769 | 65.841 | 203.83 | 420.87 | 716.85 |

Table 3.10: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the square isotropic Mindlin plate, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSSS | Present | 1.9310 | 4.6048 | 4.6048 | 7.0637 | 8.6048 | 8.6048 | 10.792 | 10.792 |
|  | $[125]$ | 1.931 | 4.605 | 4.605 | 7.064 | 8.605 | 8.605 | 10.792 | 10.792 |
| CCCC | Present | 3.2918 | 6.2755 | 6.2755 | 8.7924 | 10.355 | 10.454 | 12.522 | 12.522 |
|  | $[125]$ | 3.292 | 6.276 | 6.276 | 8.792 | 10.356 | 10.455 | 12.523 | 12.523 |
| SCSC | Present | 2.6996 | 4.9710 | 5.9899 | 7.9722 | 8.7866 | 10.248 | 11.332 | 12.022 |
|  | $[125]$ | 2.7 | 4.971 | 5.990 | 7.972 | 8.787 | 10.249 | 11.333 | 12.023 |
| CFCF | Present | 2.0883 | 2.4313 | 3.9012 | 5.3304 | 5.7711 | 6.9288 | 7.2916 | 9.6027 |
|  | $[125]$ | 2.088 | 2.431 | 3.901 | 5.331 | 5.771 | 6.929 | 7.292 | 9.603 |
| SSFF | Present | 0.3328 | 1.6773 | 1.8743 | 3.5568 | 4.7184 | 4.9451 | 6.4716 | 6.6308 |
|  | $[125]$ | 0.333 | 1.677 | 1.874 | 3.557 | 4.718 | 4.945 | 6.472 | 6.631 |
| CFFF | Present | 0.3475 | 0.8163 | 2.0343 | 2.5824 | 2.8595 | 4.8110 | 5.4769 | 5.7717 |
|  | $[125]$ | 0.348 | 0.816 | 2.034 | 2.582 | 2.860 | 4.811 | 5.477 | 5.772 |
| FFFF | Present | 1.2882 | 1.9190 | 2.3626 | 3.2325 | 3.2325 | 5.6043 | 5.6043 | 5.6397 |

Table 3.11: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the non-symmetric composite laminated Mindlin plate, $h / a=0.1, \lambda=\omega a^{2} h / \pi^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}$

| Lay-up | BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0 / 90)_{2}$ | CCCC | Present | 2.3946 | 3.9504 | 3.9558 | 5.0663 | 5.9660 | 5.9771 | 6.7673 |
|  |  | $[116]$ | 2.3947 | 3.9532 | 3.9532 | 5.0665 | 5.9680 | 5.9757 | 6.7679 |
|  | SSSS1 | Present | 1.5118 | 2.4652 | 2.4652 | 3.3890 | 3.3917 | 4.5677 | 4.9285 |
|  |  | $[116]$ | 1.5119 | 2.4656 | 2.4656 | 3.3904 | 3.3904 | 4.5679 | 4.9312 |
| $(45 /-45)_{2}$ | CCCC | Present | 2.2963 | 3.8894 | 3.8942 | 5.3041 | 5.7445 | 5.8193 | 6.9602 |
|  |  | [116] | 2.2964 | 3.8919 | 3.8919 | 5.3042 | 5.7449 | 5.8196 | 6.9647 |
|  | SSSS1 | Present | 1.9169 | 3.4859 | 3.5148 | 3.5297 | 5.1349 | 5.4749 | 5.5249 |
|  |  | $[116]$ | 1.9171 | 3.4869 | 3.5290 | 3.5290 | 5.1351 | 5.4942 | 5.5251 |

Table 3.12: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Mindlin composite plate ( $0,90, \ldots$ ) with elastic edges, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D_{11}}$

| BCs | Layers | $K$ | $\delta$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(E_{R T}\right)_{4}$ | 9 | 100 | 0.2 | Present | 1.1235 | 1.7134 | 1.7635 | 2.1908 | 2.5322 |
|  |  |  |  | $[115]$ | 1.1236 | 1.7134 | 1.7636 | 2.1909 | 2.5323 |
|  |  | 00 | 0.001 | Present | 1.8095 | 2.5702 | 2.7234 | 3.3060 | 4.6721 |
| $\left(\mathrm{~S}-E_{R}\right)_{2}$ | 5 |  | 10 | 0.1 | Present | 1.8096 | 10.867 | 19.381 | 2.7234 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10.867 | 19.382 | 22.796 | 27.992 | 4.6721 |
|  |  | 100 | 0.1 | Present | 11.141 | 19.656 | 22.922 | 27.992 | 30.177 |
|  |  |  |  | $[115]$ | 11.142 | 19.657 | 22.923 | 28.176 | 30.356 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.13: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Mindlin laminated composite plate, simply supported, $E_{1} / E_{2}=40, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / h \sqrt{\rho / E_{2}}$

| Lay-up | $h / a$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 / 90$ | 0.1 | Present | 10.464 | 24.236 | 24.236 | 25.393 | 25.712 | 35.237 |
|  |  | $[111]$ | 10.473 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.01 | Present | 11.298 | 31.428 | 31.439 | 45.080 | 67.225 | 67.286 |
| $45 /-45$ | 0.1 | Present | 11.299 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | [111] | 13.027 | 26.786 | 26.962 | 34.224 | 41.190 | 44.370 |
|  | 0.01 | Present | 14.618 | 33.726 | 33.731 | 58.239 | 62.699 | 62.699 |
|  |  | $[111]$ | 14.618 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.14: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of non-symmetric composite laminated Mindlin plate $(0 / 90)_{3}, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / \pi^{2} h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}$

| Lay-up | $K$ | $\delta$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EEEE | 10 | 0.1 | 2.4286 | 3.9756 | 3.9756 | 5.0844 | 5.9750 | 5.9820 | 6.7734 | 6.7734 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.4048 | 2.2107 | 2.2107 | 2.7991 | 3.2203 | 3.2215 | 3.6512 | 3.6512 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 2.4414 | 4.0065 | 4.0065 | 5.1266 | 6.0274 | 6.0343 | 6.8330 | 6.8330 |
| ESES | 10 | 0.2 | 1.4256 | 2.2489 | 2.2489 | 2.8487 | 3.2755 | 3.2768 | 3.7147 | 3.7147 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.1932 | 1.2842 | 3.6865 | 3.7602 | 4.8499 | 4.8686 | 5.8328 | 5.8424 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 2.0493 | 2.4612 | 3.1413 | 2.1883 | 2.3890 | 2.7818 | 3.1756 | 3.2125 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.2285 | 1.2997 | 2.1768 | 2.2195 | 2.9013 | 4.9225 | 5.8688 | 5.8936 |
| ECEC | 10 | 0.1 | 2.4357 | 3.9801 | 4.0055 | 5.1079 | 5.9813 | 2.8262 | 3.2289 | 3.2619 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.4164 | 2.2181 | 2.2459 | 2.8268 | 3.2260 | 3.2773 | 6.7911 | 6.8221 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 2.4421 | 4.0069 | 4.0095 | 5.1289 | 6.0294 | 6.0381 | 6.8348 | 6.7008 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.4268 | 2.2497 | 2.2525 | 2.8515 | 3.2766 | 3.2820 | 3.7168 | 3.7197 |
| EFEF | 10 | 0.1 | 1.7131 | 1.7369 | 2.3491 | 2.9520 | 3.5749 | 3.6120 | 4.3622 | 4.7446 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.9927 | 1.0086 | 1.1551 | 1.9722 | 1.9810 | 2.0049 | 2.3428 | 2.6332 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 1.7221 | 1.7460 | 2.4000 | 2.9577 | 3.6047 | 3.6414 | 4.3868 | 4.8056 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.0074 | 1.0227 | 1.1961 | 1.9885 | 2.0076 | 2.0385 | 2.3984 | 2.6592 |

Table 3.15: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of non-symmetric composite laminated Mindlin plate $(45 /-45)_{3}, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / \pi^{2} h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}$

| Lay-up | $K$ | $\delta$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EEEE | 10 | 0.1 | 2.3322 | 3.9227 | 3.9227 | 5.3242 | 5.7618 | 5.8386 | 6.9677 | 6.9677 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.3822 | 2.2071 | 2.2071 | 2.8852 | 3.1587 | 3.1916 | 3.6988 | 3.6990 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 2.3445 | 3.9507 | 3.9507 | 5.3641 | 5.8117 | 5.8867 | 7.0241 | 7.0241 |
| ESES | 10 | 0.2 | 1.4022 | 2.2441 | 2.2441 | 2.9322 | 3.2148 | 3.2464 | 3.7608 | 3.7608 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.3224 | 3.6225 | 2.1724 | 2.8993 | 5.2450 | 5.5886 | 5.7999 | 6.8611 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 2.1334 | 3.6326 | 3.9215 | 5.2673 | 3.1743 | 3.1966 | 3.6346 | 3.7182 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.3348 | 2.1823 | 2.2436 | 2.9178 | 3.2031 | 3.8432 | 6.8839 | 7.0110 |
| EFEF | 10 | 0.1 | 1.5511 | 1.9653 | 3.0876 | 3.2807 | 3.8148 | 4.8872 | 5.7394 | 3.7606 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.9373 | 1.2193 | 1.8760 | 1.9636 | 2.1732 | 2.8280 | 2.8830 | 2.2616 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 1.5578 | 1.9741 | 3.0972 | 3.3024 | 3.8353 | 4.8959 | 5.0314 | 5.3048 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.9496 | 1.2310 | 1.9075 | 1.9739 | 2.2000 | 2.8528 | 2.9602 | 2.9865 |
| ECEC | 10 | 0.1 | 2.3390 | 3.9301 | 3.9464 | 5.3464 | 5.7843 | 5.8706 | 6.9891 | 7.0091 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.3933 | 2.2161 | 2.2394 | 2.9115 | 3.1770 | 3.2352 | 3.7213 | 3.7457 |
|  | 100 | 0.1 | 2.3451 | 3.9515 | 3.9531 | 5.3663 | 5.8145 | 5.8895 | 7.0263 | 7.0283 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.4033 | 2.2450 | 2.2474 | 2.9349 | 3.2178 | 3.2497 | 3.7631 | 3.7656 |

Table 3.16: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of fully-free non-symmetric composite laminated Mindlin plate, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} / \pi^{2} h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}$

| Lay-up | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0 / 90)$ | 4.8784 | 15.032 | 15.139 | 17.334 | 17.334 | 26.271 | 30.907 | 35.490 |
| $(0 / 90)_{2}$ | 4.9049 | 22.315 | 22.451 | 23.721 | 23.721 | 31.134 | 34.123 | 46.179 |
| $(0 / 90)_{3}$ | 4.9068 | 23.225 | 23.363 | 24.549 | 24.549 | 31.146 | 35.192 | 46.232 |
| $(0 / 90)_{4}$ | 4.9074 | 23.523 | 23.662 | 24.822 | 24.822 | 31.149 | 35.546 | 46.248 |
| $(0 / 90)_{5}$ | 4.9076 | 23.658 | 23.797 | 24.945 | 24.945 | 31.151 | 35.707 | 46.256 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(45 /-45)$ | 7.5760 | 10.175 | 13.514 | 19.945 | 19.945 | 27.977 | 27.977 | 30.864 |
| $(45 /-45)_{2}$ | 7.7868 | 14.823 | 19.538 | 25.587 | 25.588 | 34.414 | 36.036 | 36.382 |
| $(45 /-45)_{3}$ | 7.8031 | 15.409 | 20.327 | 26.222 | 26.222 | 34.414 | 36.530 | 37.351 |
| $(45 /-45)_{4}$ | 7.8081 | 15.601 | 20.588 | 26.428 | 26.428 | 34.414 | 36.687 | 37.666 |
| $(45 /-45)_{5}$ | 7.8104 | 15.688 | 20.707 | 26.520 | 26.520 | 34.414 | 36.757 | 37.808 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(30 /-30)$ | 6.9490 | 9.1825 | 15.756 | 18.348 | 18.445 | 23.455 | 28.765 | 31.448 |
| $(30 /-30)_{2}$ | 7.1845 | 13.391 | 21.041 | 21.928 | 24.244 | 31.103 | 31.540 | 33.396 |
| $(30 /-30)_{3}$ | 7.2099 | 13.940 | 21.384 | 22.688 | 24.934 | 31.511 | 32.028 | 33.397 |
| $(30 /-30)_{4}$ | 7.2180 | 14.122 | 21.499 | 22.938 | 25.158 | 31.519 | 32.312 | 33.398 |
| $(30 /-30)_{5}$ | 7.2217 | 14.205 | 21.551 | 23.050 | 25.259 | 31.521 | 32.440 | 33.398 |

Table 3.17: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Mindlin circular plate, $\lambda=$ $\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | Author | $h / a$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | Present | 0.05 | 10.144 | 38.855 | 84.995 | 146.40 | 220.72 | 305.71 | 399.31 |
|  | [99] |  | 10.145 | 38.855 | 84.995 | 146.40 | 220.73 | 305.71 | 399.32 |
|  | Present | 0.1 | 9.9408 | 36.478 | 75.664 | 123.31 | 176.41 | 232.96 | 291.70 |
|  | [99] |  | 9.9408 | 36.479 | 75.664 | 123.32 | 176.42 | 232.97 | 291.71 |
|  | Present | 0.15 | 9.6286 | 33.393 | 65.550 | 102.08 | 140.93 | 180.98 | 221.62 |
|  | [99] |  | 9.6286 | 33.393 | 65.551 | 102.09 | 140.93 | 180.99 | 221.62 |
|  | Present | 0.2 | 9.2400 | 30.210 | 56.682 | 85.571 | 115.55 | 145.94 | 174.97 |
|  | [99] |  | 9.2400 | 30.211 | 56.682 | 85.571 | 115.56 | 145.94 | 174.97 |
|  | Present | 0.25 | 8.8068 | 27.252 | 49.420 | 73.054 | 97.197 | 117.90 | 122.42 |
|  | [99] |  | 8.8068 | 27.253 | 49.420 | 73.054 | 97.198 | 117.90 | 122.43 |
| S | Present | 0.05 | 4.9247 | 29.323 | 71.756 | 130.34 | 202.80 | 286.78 | 380.12 |
|  | [99] |  | 4.9247 | 29.323 | 71.756 | 130.35 | 202.81 | 286.79 | 380.13 |
|  | Present | 0.1 | 4.8938 | 28.240 | 65.942 | 113.57 | 167.53 | 225.34 | 285.43 |
|  | [99] |  | 4.8938 | 28.240 | 65.942 | 113.57 | 167.53 | 225.34 | 285.44 |
|  | Present | 0.15 | 4.8440 | 26.714 | 59.062 | 96.774 | 136.97 | 178.23 | 219.85 |
|  | [99] |  | 4.8440 | 26.715 | 59.062 | 96.775 | 136.98 | 178.23 | 219.86 |
|  | Present | 0.2 | 4.7773 | 24.994 | 52.513 | 82.765 | 113.87 | 145.12 | 166.29 |
|  | [99] |  | 4.7773 | 24.994 | 52.514 | 82.766 | 113.87 | 145.13 | 166.29 |
|  | Present | 0.25 | 4.6963 | 23.254 | 46.774 | 71.603 | $96.609$ | 108.27 | 121.49 |
|  | [99] |  | 4.6963 | 23.254 | 46.775 | 71.603 | 96.609 | 108.27 | 121.50 |
| F | Pre | 0.05 | 8.9686 | 37.787 | 84.442 | 146.75 | 222.37 | 308.97 | 404.44 |
|  | [99] |  | 8.9686 | 37.787 | 84.443 | 146.76 | 222.38 | 308.98 | 404.44 |
|  | Present | 0.1 | 8.8679 | 36.040 | 76.675 | 126.27 | 181.46 | 239.98 | 300.38 |
|  | [99] |  | 8.8697 | 36.041 | 76.676 | 126.27 | 181.46 | 239.98 | 300.38 |
|  | Present | 0.15 | 8.7095 | 33.674 | 67.827 | 106.39 | 146.83 | 187.79 | 228.38 |
|  | [99] |  | 8.7095 | 33.674 | 67.827 | 106.40 | 146.83 | 187.79 | 228.39 |
|  | Present | 0.2 | 8.5051 | 31.110 | 59.645 | 90.059 | 120.56 | 149.62 | 171.18 |
|  | [99] |  | 8.5051 | 31.111 | 59.645 | 90.059 | 120.57 | 149.63 | 171.18 |
|  | Present | 0.25 | 8.2674 | 28.605 | 52.584 | 76.935 | 99.545 | 114.52 | 126.34 |
|  | [99] |  | 8.2674 | 28.605 | 52.584 | 76.936 | 99.545 | 114.53 | 126.34 |

Table 3.18: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Mindlin annular plate, $\beta=$ $0.5, \lambda=\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | Author | $h / a$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | Present | 0.1 | 51.219 | 142.71 | 252.22 | 369.85 | 490.92 |
|  | [95] |  | 51.219 | 142.71 | 252.22 | 369.86 | 490.92 |
|  | Present | 0.2 | 38.363 | 93.780 | 152.96 | 173.62 | 213.65 |
|  | $[95]$ |  | 38.363 | 93.781 | 152.96 | 173.63 | 213.66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC | Present | 0.1 | 70.277 | 159.78 | 265.44 | 378.42 | 496.03 |
|  | $[95]$ |  | 70.277 | 159.78 | 265.44 | 378.42 | 496.03 |
|  | Present | 0.2 | 48.310 | 97.388 | 155.46 | 196.79 | 220.19 |
|  | $[95]$ |  | 48.310 | 97.389 | 155.47 | 196.79 | 220.20 |

SS Present $0.1 \quad 37.326 \quad 127.17 \quad 240.54 \quad 362.48 \quad 487.00$

| $[95]$ | 37.326 | 127.17 | 240.54 | 362.48 | 487.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 31.871 & 90.636 & 152.76 & 162.98 & 201.89\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{[95]} & 31.871 & 90.637 & 152.76 & 162.99 & 201.89\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { SC Present } & 0.1 & 55.090 & 145.82 & 254.73 & 371.67 & 492.22\end{array}$
$[95] \quad 55.090 \quad 145.82 \quad 254.73$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 41.623 & 95.269 & 154.24 & 174.52 & 214.77\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[95]} & 41.624 & 95.269 & 154.24 & 174.52 & 214.78\end{array}$

SF Present $0.1 \quad 4.0915 \quad 55.120 \quad 153.61 \quad 270.05 \quad 391.95$
[95] $\quad 4.0915 \quad 55.120 \quad 153.62 \quad 270.05 \quad 391.95$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 4.0077 & 44.644 & 104.94 & 156.56 & 178.69\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[95]} & 4.0077 & 44.644 & 104.95 & 156.57 & 178.70\end{array}$

FS Present $0.1 \quad 5.0321 \quad 59.530 \quad 157.06 \quad 273.13 \quad 394.78$

| $[95]$ | 5.0321 | 59.531 | 157.06 | 273.13 | 394.78 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 4.9063 & 48.561 & 107.48 & 159.81 & 176.41\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[95]} & 4.9063 & 48.562 & 107.48 & 159.81 & 176.41\end{array}$

CF Present $\begin{array}{lllllll}0.1 & 12.567 & 69.583 & 168.72 & 280.47 & 398.43\end{array}$

| $[95]$ | 12.568 | 69.583 | 168.73 | 280.47 | 398.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 11.461 & 49.270 & 108.36 & 157.40 & 196.40\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{[95]} & 11.461 & 49.270 & 108.37 & 157.40 & 196.40\end{array}$

FC Present $\begin{array}{lllllll}0.1 & 17.023 & 77.238 & 174.89 & 285.68 & 402.83\end{array}$

| $[95]$ | 17.024 | 77.239 | 174.90 | 285.69 | 402.84 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 15.397 & 55.273 & 112.29 & 160.61 & 197.53\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[95]} & 15.397 & 55.274 & 112.29 & 160.61 & 197.53\end{array}$

FF $\quad$ Present $\quad 0.1 \quad 9.1019 \quad 81.031 \quad 184.33 \quad 302.86$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{[95]} & 9.1019 & 81.031 & 184.33 & 302.87 & 422.94\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Present } & 0.2 & 8.5531 & 64.011 & 117.99 & 174.18 & 180.85\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[95]} & 8.5531 & 64.011 & 118.00 & 174.18 & 180.86\end{array}$

Table 3.19: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the sector annular mindlin plate, $\beta=0.25, \alpha=120, \delta=0.2, \lambda=\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | Present | 31.056 | 41.813 | 55.950 | 62.419 | 71.127 | 72.862 |
|  | $[105]$ | 31.056 | 41.814 | 55.951 | 62.420 | 71.127 | 72.862 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSSS | Present | 20.611 | 32.633 | 48.185 | 54.668 | 64.697 | 66.211 |
|  | $[105]$ | 20.612 | 32.633 | 48.186 | 54.668 | 64.697 | 66.211 |
| CSCS | Present | 29.161 | 38.136 | 52.247 | 61.628 | 67.946 | 71.004 |
|  | $[105]$ | 29.161 | 38.137 | 52.248 | 61.629 | 67.946 | 71.004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCF | Present | 26.782 | 28.096 | 34.203 | 46.051 | 58.043 | 60.249 |
|  | $[105]$ | 26.783 | 28.096 | 34.204 | 46.051 | 58.044 | 60.249 |
| FCSC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present | 20.779 | 35.808 | 42.009 | 51.555 | 64.148 | 67.563 |
|  |  | 20.780 | 35.808 | 42.010 | 51.556 | 64.148 | 67.564 |
| SCFC | Present | 7.4836 | 16.412 | 27.889 | 29.702 | 40.878 | 43.775 |
|  | $[105]$ | 7.484 | 16.412 | 27.889 | 29.703 | 40.878 | 43.776 |
| SSCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present | 27.7041 | 39.385 | 54.047 | 59.945 | 69.559 | 70.877 |
|  |  | 27.704 | 39.385 | 54.947 | 59.945 | 69.560 | 70.878 |
| FFFF | Present | 9.5465 | 14.512 | 20.773 | 29.123 | 33.611 | 36.328 |
| FCFC | Present | 7.3338 | 16.372 | 25.439 | 28.105 | 40.847 | 42.954 |
| SCSC | Present | 29.161 | 38.136 | 52.247 | 61.628 | 67.946 | 71.004 |

Table 3.20: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the in-plane vibration of the isotropic classical plate theory, $\lambda=\omega a \sqrt{\frac{\rho\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{E}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SSSS1 | Present | 0.9292 | 0.9292 | 1.3142 | 1.8585 | 1.8585 | 2.0779 | 2.0779 | 2.2214 |
| SSSS2 | Present | 1.3142 | 1.5707 | 1.5707 | 2.0779 | 2.0779 | 2.2214 | 2.6284 | 2.9386 |
|  | $[69]$ | 1.3142 | 1.5708 | 1.5708 | 2.0780 | 2.0780 | 2.2214 | 2.6285 | 2.9387 |
| CCCC | Present | 3.7268 | 3.7268 | 4.4394 | 5.4360 | 6.1415 | 6.1790 |  |  |
|  | $[69]$ | 3.7269 | 3.7269 | 4.4395 | 5.4361 | 6.1415 | 6.1790 |  |  |
| CFCF | Present | 1.7748 | 3.1635 | 3.2710 | 3.5125 | 3.9239 | 4.0908 |  |  |
|  | $[69]$ | 1.7751 | 3.1640 | 3.2711 | 3.5127 | 3.9248 | 4.0909 |  |  |

Table 3.21: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the in-plane vibration of the isotropic plate, $\lambda=\omega a \sqrt{\frac{\rho\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{E}}$

| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFFF | Present | 2.3191 | 2.4707 | 2.4707 | 2.6299 | 2.9862 | 3.4280 | 3.6817 | 3.7187 |
|  | $[68]$ | 2.3206 | 2.4716 | 2.4716 | 2.6284 | 2.9874 | 3.4522 | 3.7232 | 3.7232 |
| CCCC | Present | 3.5552 | 3.5552 | 4.2350 | 5.1857 | 5.8586 | 5.8944 | 5.8944 | 6.7077 |
|  | $[68]$ | 3.5552 | 3.5552 | 4.2350 | 5.1859 | 5.8587 | 5.8946 | 5.8946 | 6.7080 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2FS2F | Present | 1.4075 | 2.6284 | 3.1415 | 3.2487 | 3.3639 | 3.4987 | 3.7326 | 5.0348 |
|  | $[68]$ | 1.407 | 2.628 | 3.142 | 3.248 | 3.363 | 3.498 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S1CS1C | Present | 1.8586 | 3.2752 | 3.4946 | 3.7172 | 4.4105 | 4.9572 | 5.5758 | 5.6212 |
|  | $[68]$ | 1.858 | 3.275 | 3.494 | 3.718 | 4.411 | 4.957 |  |  |
| S1FS1F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $[68]$ | 1.408 | 1.8586 | 2.6285 | 3.2486 | 3.3642 | 3.4988 | 3.7146 | 3.7329 |
|  |  |  |  | 2.629 | 3.249 | 3.364 | 3.499 |  |  |
| S2CS2C | Present | 3.1416 | 3.2752 | 3.4946 | 4.4105 | 4.9572 | 5.6212 | 5.6289 | 5.9978 |
|  | $[68]$ | 3.140 | 3.275 | 3.494 | 4.411 | 4.957 | 5.622 |  |  |
| SSSS1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSSS2 |  | 1.8586 | 1.8586 | 2.6284 | 3.7172 | 3.7172 | 4.1559 | 4.1559 | 4.4429 |
| CFCF |  | 2.6284 | 3.1416 | 3.1416 | 4.1559 | 4.1559 | 4.4429 | 5.2569 | 5.8774 |
| CCCF |  | 2.2693 | 3.0178 | 3.1204 | 3.3507 | 3.7432 | 3.9024 | 5.0906 | 5.0937 |
| CCFF |  | 1.4698 | 1.8839 | 3.4089 | 4.3058 | 4.7181 | 4.9576 | 5.2057 | 5.9622 |
| CFFF |  | 0.6278 | 1.5068 | 1.6904 | 3.4024 | 3.6862 | 2.8968 | 4.2320 | 4.3286 |
| 4.9546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S1CS1F | 0.9293 | 1.9775 | 2.7879 | 3.0615 | 3.4391 | 4.1624 | 3.8751 | 4.0796 |  |
| S1CS2F |  | 1.5984 | 1.7596 | 2.6500 | 3.2377 | 4.1247 | 4.2687 | 4.3881 | 4.6465 |

Table 3.22: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the isotropic skew Mindlin plate, $h / a=0.2, \lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | angle | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | 15 | Present | 2.8057 | 4.6296 | 5.0962 | 6.3068 | 7.4051 | 7.7177 |
|  |  | $[87]$ | 2.8058 | 4.6298 | 5.0963 | 6.3070 | 7.4052 | 7.7179 |
|  | 45 | Present | 4.1588 | 5.9019 | 7.5420 | 7.7903 | 9.2156 | 10.091 |
|  |  | $[87]$ | 4.1590 | 5.9021 | 7.5422 | 7.7907 | 9.2159 | 10.0921 |
| SSSS | 15 | Present | 1.8610 | 3.7920 | 4.2803 | 5.5878 | 6.8437 | 7.0728 |
|  |  | [87] | 1.8560 | 3.7856 | 4.2763 | 5.5784 | 6.8385 | 7.0702 |
|  | 45 | Present | 2.9606 | 4.9670 | 6.7741 | 7.0336 | 8.6006 | 9.6533 |
|  |  | $[87]$ | 2.9129 | 4.8736 | 6.6622 | 7.0148 | 8.4831 | 9.5878 |

Table 3.23: Non-dimensional natural frequencies for the in-plane vibration of skew plate with $\nu=0.3, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / \pi \sqrt{\rho / G}$

| Author | $\alpha$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CCCC |  |  |  |
| Present | 0 | 3.7268 | 3.7268 | 4.4394 | 5.4360 | 6.1415 | 6.1790 |
| $[67]$ |  | 3.7272 | 3.7270 | 4.4395 | 5.4363 | 6.1601 | 6.1741 |
| Present | 15 | 3.6813 | 3.9741 | 4.5708 | 5.4860 | 6.1667 | 6.2887 |
| $[67]$ |  | 3.6814 | 3.9743 | 4.5708 | 5.4862 | 6.1674 | 6.2888 |
| Present | 30 | 3.8497 | 4.4855 | 5.0125 | 5.6949 | 6.5249 | 6.7837 |
| $[67]$ |  | 3.8498 | 4.4861 | 5.0125 | 5.6953 | 6.5262 | 6.7847 |
| Present | 45 | 4.3406 | 5.4574 | 5.9590 | 6.2328 | 7.4378 | 7.8430 |
| $[67]$ |  | 4.3407 | 5.4588 | 5.9608 | 6.2334 | 7.4494 | 7.9611 |
| Present | 60 | 5.5368 | 7.5134 | 7.5419 | 8.0486 | 9.3356 | 9.5452 |
| $[67]$ |  | 5.5372 | 7.5428 | 7.5231 | 8.0737 | 9.3394 | 9.7038 |
|  |  |  |  | CCCF |  |  |  |
| Present | 0 | 2.3794 | 3.3156 | 3.5735 | 4.5137 | 4.9459 | 5.1969 |
| $[67]$ |  | 2.3801 | 3.3165 | 3.5742 | 4.5148 | 4.9459 | 5.1970 |
| Present | 15 | 2.4396 | 3.3871 | 3.6721 | 4.5570 | 5.0908 | 5.2670 |
| $[67]$ |  | 2.4390 | 3.3865 | 3.6678 | 4.5572 | 5.0827 | 5.2633 |
| Present | 30 | 2.6430 | 3.6250 | 4.0024 | 4.7483 | 5.4922 | 5.6177 |
| $[67]$ |  | 2.6360 | 3.6174 | 3.9792 | 4.7449 | 5.1358 | 5.6158 |
| Present | 45 | 3.0850 | 4.1273 | 4.6995 | 5.2410 | 6.2092 | 6.4920 |
| $[67]$ |  | 3.0521 | 4.1027 | 4.6204 | 5.2293 | 6.1184 | 6.4821 |
| Present | 60 | 4.0907 | 5.2175 | 6.1764 | 6.4591 | 7.9026 | 7.9026 |
| $[67]$ |  | 3.9428 | 5.1716 | 5.9077 | 6.4405 | 7.6716 | 7.9042 |
|  |  |  |  | CFCF |  |  |  |
| Present | 0 | 1.7748 | 3.1635 | 3.2710 | 3.5125 | 3.9239 | 4.0908 |
| $[67]$ |  | 1.7758 | 3.1653 | 3.2713 | 3.5130 | 3.9266 | 4.0912 |
| Present | 15 | 1.8259 | 3.2316 | 3.3224 | 3.6053 | 4.0046 | 4.1488 |
| $[67]$ |  | 1.8283 | 3.2360 | 3.3225 | 3.6059 | 4.0097 | 4.1498 |
| Present | 30 | 1.9966 | 3.4587 | 3.5191 | 3.8485 | 4.3172 | 4.3553 |
| $[67]$ |  | 2.0040 | 3.4737 | 3.5010 | 3.8486 | 4.3282 | 4.3569 |
| Present | 45 | 2.3576 | 3.9373 | 3.9726 | 4.2483 | 4.8266 | 5.0414 |
| $[67]$ |  | 2.3776 | 3.7975 | 3.9587 | 4.2501 | 4.8358 | 5.0693 |
| Present | 60 | 3.1366 | 4.9109 | 4.9656 | 5.1440 | 5.9045 | 6.4776 |
| $[67]$ |  | 3.1955 | 4.9137 | 5.0550 | 5.1719 | 5.9619 | 6.5640 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.24: Non-dimensional natural frequencies for the in-plane vibration of skew plate with $\nu=0.3, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / \pi \sqrt{\rho / G}$

| $\alpha$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SSSS1 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1.9483 | 1.9483 | 2.7553 | 3.8966 | 3.8966 | 4.3566 | 4.3566 | 4.6574 |
| 15 | 1.7765 | 2.2028 | 2.7021 | 3.9848 | 4.0407 | 4.0805 | 4.7341 | 4.7887 |
| 30 | 1.6577 | 2.5977 | 2.5977 | 3.7966 | 4.2787 | 4.4994 | 5.1955 | 5.1955 |
| 45 | 1.5656 | 2.5015 | 3.2660 | 3.6161 | 4.5576 | 4.8953 | 5.6644 | 5.9112 |
| 60 | 1.4493 | 2.4314 | 3.4742 | 4.4500 | 4.6022 | 5.4752 | 6.2274 | 6.4465 |
|  |  |  |  | SSSS2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2.7553 | 3.2932 | 3.2932 | 4.3566 | 4.3566 | 4.6574 | 5.5107 | 6.1611 |
| 15 | 2.8436 | 3.3269 | 3.4238 | 4.3344 | 4.6276 | 4.6493 | 5.5728 | 6.2732 |
| 30 | 3.1405 | 3.4723 | 3.9242 | 4.4413 | 4.6888 | 5.2540 | 5.8974 | 6.5439 |
| 45 | 3.7678 | 3.8789 | 4.8353 | 4.8353 | 4.9535 | 6.4323 | 6.5963 | 7.2400 |
| 60 | 4.8649 | 5.4973 | 5.7245 | 6.6567 | 6.6567 | 6.7986 | 8.0784 | 8.0784 |
|  |  |  |  | FFFF |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2.4352 | 2.5896 | 2.5896 | 2.7537 | 3.1378 | 3.5965 | 3.9040 | 3.9277 |
| 15 | 2.1468 | 2.4157 | 2.8105 | 2.9878 | 3.1263 | 3.7394 | 3.8519 | 3.8692 |
| 30 | 2.0448 | 2.1913 | 3.2423 | 3.2423 | 3.2464 | 3.2464 | 3.7899 | 3.8225 |
| 45 | 1.3875 | 2.0910 | 2.4129 | 2.9901 | 3.7649 | 3.8327 | 3.8747 | 4.7259 |
| 60 | 0.9519 | 1.4901 | 2.2682 | 2.4089 | 2.9856 | 3.8195 | 3.8525 | 4.6185 |

Table 3.25: Non-dimensional natural frequencies for the in-plane vibration of skew plate with $\nu=0.3, \beta=0.8, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / \pi \sqrt{\rho / G}$

| $\alpha$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S1CS1C |  |
| 0 | 0.8333 | 1.5406 | 1.6667 | 1.7846 | 2.2493 | 2.3915 | 2.5000 | 2.5735 |
| 15 | 0.8627 | 1.5747 | 1.6831 | 1.8534 | 2.3007 | 2.3830 | 2.5938 | 2.6352 |
| 30 | 0.9593 | 1.6890 | 1.7701 | 2.0379 | 2.4494 | 2.4897 | 2.7643 | 2.8328 |
| 45 | 1.1577 | 1.9312 | 1.9915 | 2.3484 | 2.6697 | 2.9271 | 3.0215 | 3.2315 |
| 60 | 1.5603 | 2.4587 | 2.5030 | 2.9391 | 3.2167 | 3.5651 | 3.7280 | 4.0222 |
|  |  |  |  | S2FS2F |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.7974 | 1.2751 | 1.4086 | 1.7284 | 1.7601 | 1.7804 | 1.9249 | 2.4207 |
| 15 | 0.7830 | 1.2828 | 1.4608 | 1.7856 | 1.7856 | 1.8590 | 1.8590 | 2.4636 |
| 30 | 0.7381 | 1.3036 | 1.6432 | 1.8894 | 1.8894 | 1.9086 | 1.9086 | 2.5908 |
| 45 | 0.1294 | 2.2771 | 2.2771 | 2.5649 | 2.9993 | 2.9993 | 3.0479 | 3.0479 |
| 60 | 0.2436 | 1.4130 | 2.2030 | 2.2030 | 2.5996 | 2.5996 | 3.0545 | 3.3464 |
|  |  |  |  | S1CS1F |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.4167 | 0.9970 | 1.2500 | 1.5900 | 1.8388 | 2.0492 | 2.0783 | 2.0833 |
| 15 | 0.4242 | 1.0087 | 1.2458 | 1.6186 | 1.8930 | 1.9766 | 2.1152 | 2.2171 |
| 30 | 0.4453 | 1.0242 | 1.2674 | 1.6990 | 1.9304 | 2.0632 | 2.2650 | 2.4019 |
| 45 | 0.4719 | 0.9903 | 1.4057 | 1.7668 | 2.0260 | 2.2597 | 2.5267 | 2.7489 |
| 60 | 0.4719 | 0.9018 | 1.5691 | 1.8828 | 2.3400 | 2.4711 | 2.8653 | 3.0948 |
|  |  |  |  | S1CS2F |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.7359 | 0.9029 | 1.3971 | 1.5252 | 1.9594 | 2.0398 | 2.2923 | 2.4121 |
| 15 | 0.7246 | 0.9740 | 1.3979 | 1.5857 | 2.0082 | 2.0590 | 2.3224 | 2.4456 |
| 30 | 0.7434 | 1.0870 | 1.4448 | 1.7314 | 2.0335 | 2.2563 | 2.3575 | 2.7027 |
| 45 | 0.7824 | 1.2939 | 1.6250 | 2.0323 | 2.0323 | 2.5534 | 2.5534 | 3.0001 |
| 60 | 0.8241 | 1.7592 | 2.1774 | 2.1774 | 2.4850 | 3.0661 | 3.0661 | 3.5670 |

Table 3.26: Non-dimensional natural frequencies for the in-plane vibration of sector annular plate with $\nu=0.33, \beta=0.5, \lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\rho / E}$

| Author | $\alpha$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Present | 30 | 8.1585 | 8.8369 | 10.1084 | 12.7629 | 13.2113 | 13.3264 |
| [67] |  | 8.1592 | 8.8379 | 10.1089 | 12.7648 | 13.2623 | 13.2555 |
| Present | 45 | 6.6082 | 7.2710 | 8.1844 | 10.3453 | 10.5967 | 10.8608 |
| [67] |  | 6.6113 | 7.2730 | 8.1863 | 10.3517 | 10.6104 | 10.8649 |
| Present | 60 | 5.5885 | 6.9190 | 7.4246 | 8.6194 | 9.0236 | 9.2407 |
| [67] |  | 5.5963 | 6.6935 | 7.4305 | 8.6325 | 9.0380 | 9.2545 |
| Present | 75 | 5.0466 | 6.6967 | 7.0530 | 7.4496 | 8.0645 | 8.5850 |
| [67] |  | 5.0629 | 6.7002 | 7.0684 | 7.4864 | 8.0930 | 8.6033 |
| Present | 90 | 4.7277 | 6.3392 | 6.8381 | 6.9152 | 7.5183 | 8.0357 |
| [67] |  | 4.7576 | 6.3458 | 6.9512 | 6.9793 | 7.5749 | 8.0831 |
| CCCF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Present | 30 | 5.3142 | 6.8471 | 7.4536 | 10.2267 | 10.7836 | 11.5196 |
| [67] |  | 5.3158 | 6.8500 | 7.4560 | 10.2296 | 10.7879 | 11.5210 |
| Present | 45 | 4.4903 | 6.5498 | 6.9076 | 8.5761 | 8.5973 | 9.3191 |
| [67] |  | 4.4936 | 6.5548 | 6.9123 | 8.5881 | 8.5840 | 9.3230 |
| Present | 60 | 4.1863 | 6.3407 | 6.7048 | 7.1367 | 7.7893 | 8.1870 |
| [67] |  | 4.1930 | 6.3518 | 6.7162 | 7.1459 | 7.8030 | 8.1970 |
| Presen | 75 | 4.0493 | 5.8818 | 6.5864 | 6.6484 | 7.2869 | 7.6837 |
| [67] |  | 4.0631 | 5.9032 | 6.6534 | 6.6604 | 7.3121 | 7.7075 |
| Present | 90 | 3.9788 | 5.3974 | 6.4451 | 6.5854 | 6.9786 | 7.3158 |
| [67] |  | 4.0055 | 5.4345 | 6.5046 | 6.6321 | 7.0237 | 7.3563 |
| CFCF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Present | 30 | 3.4462 | 6.2267 | 6.4023 | 8.3276 | 8.3819 | 9.4086 |
| [67] |  | 3.4489 | 6.2700 | 6.4037 | 8.3676 | 8.3358 | 9.4104 |
| Present | 45 | 3.6081 | 5.8848 | 6.4608 | 6.6792 | 7.5550 | 7.6660 |
| [67] |  | 3.6116 | 5.8880 | 6.4635 | 6.6862 | 7.5640 | 7.6711 |
| Present | 60 | 3.6847 | 5.1754 | 6.3967 | 6.4777 | 7.0244 | 7.2447 |
| [67] |  | 3.6912 | 5.1823 | 6.4017 | 6.4919 | 7.0363 | 7.2645 |
| Present | 75 | 3.7292 | 4.7201 | 6.2648 | 6.4724 | 6.7256 | 7.0426 |
| [67] |  | 3.7422 | 4.7354 | 6.3011 | 6.5000 | 6.7543 | 7.0761 |
| Present | 90 | 3.7583 | 4.4493 | 6.0012 | 6.4907 | 6.5517 | 6.7654 |
| [67] |  | 3.7834 | 4.4797 | 6.0711 | 6.5393 | 6.5952 | 6.7786 |

## Chapter 4

## Shells

Shells are three-dimensional bodies bounded by two surfaces or bounded by four surfaces if it is shell panel. The 3D equations of elasticity are complicated when written in curvilinear or shell coordinates. All shell theories such as thin, thick, deep and shallow reduce 3D elasticity problem into the 2D theory. This is done by eliminating the coordinates normal to the shell surface in the shell equations. The accuracy of thin and thick theories are assessed in compared with the 3D theory. In this chapter, the equations of thin, thick shell both deep and shallow are discussed.

A shell is confined by two parallel (unless the thickness is varying) surfaces. Generally, the distance between top and bottom surfaces of shell which is thickness is small compared with other shell parameters such as length, width and radii of curvature.

### 4.1 Thin shells

If the shell thickness is less than $1 / 20$ of the wavelength of the deformation and/or radii of curvature and shear deformation and rotary inertia are negligible, a thin shell theory is acceptable. Depending on various assumptions considered for derivation of the strain-displacements relations, stress-strain relations and equilibrium equations, many thin shell theories can be derived. Among the most common of these Love, Reissner, Naghdi, Sanders and Flugge are well known shell theories. For thin shells, some additional assumptions can simplify the equations: first one is neglecting the term including $z / R$ because it is negligible in compared with unity and the second one is neglecting the shear deformation in the equations. It allows the in-plane displacement to be linearly varying through the thickness.

### 4.1.1 Deep thin shells

Love's theory is fundamental theory of deep shells. Other theories related to this case are Sanders, Flugge, etc. Love's approximation defines in the follows

1- Thickness of the shell is small compared to other dimensions, such as length, width and radii of curvature of the middle surface of the shell. This definition defines a thin shell theory. The ratio of $h / R$ in engineering application is assumed less than $1 / 50$ and the ratio of $z / R_{\alpha, \beta}$ is less than $1 / 100$ and so this ratio is negligible.

2- Normal stress in $z$ direction is zero $\sigma_{z z}=0$.

3- Transverse normal strains are zeros $\epsilon_{13}=\epsilon_{23}=\epsilon_{33}=0$.
Equations of motion according to Love' approximation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B N_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A N_{\beta \alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} N_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} N_{\beta} \\
&+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha}} Q_{\alpha z}+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha \beta}} Q_{\beta z}+A B q_{\alpha}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{1} \ddot{u}_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A N_{\beta}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B N_{\alpha \beta}\right)+\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} N_{\beta \alpha}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} N_{\alpha} \\
&+\frac{A B}{R_{\beta}} Q_{\beta z}+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha \beta}} Q_{\alpha z}+A B q_{\beta}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{1} \ddot{v}_{0}^{2}\right) \\
&-A B\left(\frac{N_{\alpha}}{R_{\alpha}}+\frac{N_{\beta}}{R_{\beta}}+\frac{N_{\alpha \beta}+N_{\beta \alpha}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B Q_{\alpha z}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A Q_{\beta z}\right) \\
&+A B q_{n}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{1} \ddot{w}_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where force resultants are

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{A B}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B M_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A M_{\beta \alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} M_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} M_{\beta}\right) \\
Q_{\beta} & =\frac{1}{A B}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B M_{\alpha \beta}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A M_{\beta}\right)+\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} M_{\beta \alpha}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} M_{\alpha}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and nonzero components of strains are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{\alpha \alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha \alpha}^{0}+z \mathcal{K}_{\alpha \alpha} \\
& \epsilon_{\beta \beta}=\epsilon_{\beta \beta}^{0}+z \mathcal{K}_{\beta \beta} \\
& \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{0}+z \mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the membrane and the bending strains are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{\alpha \alpha}^{0}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{v_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{\alpha}} \\
& \epsilon_{\beta \beta}^{0}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \beta}+\frac{u_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{\beta}} \\
& \gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{0}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \alpha}-\frac{u_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}+\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \beta}-\frac{v_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha}+2 \frac{w_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}} \\
& \mathcal{K}_{\alpha \alpha}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{\psi_{\beta}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} \\
& \mathcal{K}_{\beta \beta}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial \beta}+\frac{\psi_{\alpha}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} \\
& \mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial \alpha}-\frac{\psi_{\alpha}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}+\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \beta}-\frac{\psi_{\beta}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\alpha}=\frac{u}{R_{\alpha}}+\frac{v_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}-\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha} \quad \psi_{\beta}=\frac{v}{R_{\beta}}+\frac{u_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}-\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \beta} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are coefficients of the first fundamental form of reference surface which for cylindrical, spherical and toroidal shells are constant and unity.

Applying Kirchhoff theory and neglecting shear deformation and also $\sigma_{\alpha z}, \sigma_{\beta z}$ and $\sigma_{z z}$, the stress-strain equations for composite laminated thin shell can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\alpha \alpha}  \tag{4.6}\\
\sigma_{\beta \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{C}_{11} & \tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{16} \\
\tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{22} & \tilde{C}_{26} \\
\tilde{C}_{16} & \tilde{C}_{26} & \tilde{C}_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\alpha \alpha} \\
\epsilon_{\beta \beta} \\
\gamma_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}_{k}
$$

where $\sigma_{\alpha \alpha}$ and $\sigma_{\beta \beta}$ are normal stresses, $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ is the in-plane shear stress, $\epsilon_{\alpha \alpha}$ and $\epsilon_{\beta \beta}$ are normal strains and $\gamma_{\alpha \beta}$ is the in-plane shear strain. Evaluation of $\tilde{C}_{i j}$ which is the elastic stiffness coefficients and values of $C_{i j}$ are expressed in section (3.2.1). Stresses over the shell thickness are integrated to obtain the force and moment resultants. For thin shell $z_{k} / R_{\alpha}$ and $z_{k} / R_{\beta}$ are much less than unity and they are neglected. Therefore, the in-plane force resultants are equal $N_{\alpha \beta}=N_{\beta \alpha}$ and also the twisting moments are equal $M_{\alpha \beta}=M_{\beta \alpha}$.

Stress resultants are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha \alpha} \\
N_{\beta \beta} \\
N_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{\alpha \alpha} \\
\sigma_{\beta \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\} d z \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{\alpha \alpha} \\
M_{\beta \beta} \\
M_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\alpha \alpha} \\
\sigma_{\beta \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\} z d z \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Force and moments are related to the strains by the relations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha}  \tag{4.8}\\
N_{\beta} \\
N_{\alpha \beta} \\
M_{\alpha} \\
M_{\beta} \\
M_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{0 \alpha \alpha} \\
\epsilon_{0 \beta \beta} \\
\epsilon_{0 \alpha \beta} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\beta} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}$ are defined as follow

$$
A_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}-h_{k-1}\right)
$$



Figure 4.1: Shallow shell with plate geometry

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i j} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}^{2}-h_{k-1}^{2}\right) \\
D_{i j} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}^{3}-h_{k-1}^{3}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{k}$ is distance from the mid surface to the surface of the $k$ th layer. For shell laminated symmetrically with respect to mid surface all the $B_{i j}$ are zero.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{12}=N_{12}+\frac{M_{12}}{R_{\beta}} \\
& F_{21}=N_{21}+\frac{M_{21}}{R_{\alpha}} \\
& V_{13}=\frac{1}{A B}\left(\frac{\partial\left(M_{11} B\right)}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{\partial\left(M_{21} A\right)}{\partial \beta}+M_{12} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}-M_{22} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha}\right)+\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial M_{12}}{\partial \beta} \\
& V_{23}=\frac{1}{A B}\left(\frac{\partial\left(M_{12} B\right)}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{\partial\left(M_{22} A\right)}{\partial \beta}+M_{12} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha}-M_{11} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}\right)+\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial M_{21}}{\partial \alpha} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{11}, M_{22}, M_{12}$ are moment resultants, $N_{11}, N_{22}, N_{12}$ are force resultants $F_{12}, F_{21}$ are in-plane shear force resultants, $V_{13}, V_{23}$ are transverse shear force resultants.

All of these relations are corresponded to deep thin shell theories, deep thick shell theories will describe in next section.

### 4.1.2 Shallow thin shells

The relations for deep shell theory can be simplified by Donnel-Mushtari-Vlasov theory which is well-known theory for shallow shells (see Figure 4.1). The following assumptions lead to the DMV theory:

1- The contribution of in-plane displacements for bending strains are negligible.
Bending strains are as follow

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{K}_{11}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(-\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha}\right)-\frac{1}{A B^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} \\
& \mathcal{K}_{22}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(-\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \beta}\right)-\frac{1}{A^{2} B} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} \\
& \mathcal{K}_{12}=\frac{A}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(-\frac{1}{A^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha}\right)+\frac{B}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(-\frac{1}{B^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \beta}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

2- The effects of shear terms $Q_{\alpha z} / R_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta z} / R_{\beta}, Q_{\beta z} / R_{\alpha \beta}$, and $Q_{\alpha z} / R_{\alpha \beta}$ in the equations of motion are negligible.

Therefore, shallow cylindrical shell's bending since $\alpha=x, \beta=\theta, R_{\alpha}=\infty, R_{\beta}=R$ are as follow

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}_{11} & =-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \alpha^{2}} \\
\mathcal{K}_{22} & =-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \beta^{2}} \\
\mathcal{K}_{12} & =-2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and also strain relations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{11}^{0} & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{w}{R_{\alpha}} \\
\epsilon_{22}^{0} & =\frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta}+\frac{w}{R_{\beta}} \\
\epsilon_{12}^{0} & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, equations of motion for isotropic shallow cylindrical shells are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1-\nu}{2 R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{v}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\frac{1+\nu}{2 R} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial \theta}=\frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \rho}{E} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1+\nu}{2 R} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial \theta}=\frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \rho}{E} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& -\left(\frac{v}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}+\frac{w}{R^{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{2}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{1}{R^{4}} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \theta^{4}}\right)=\frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \rho}{E} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\zeta=x / a, \eta=y / b, \delta=a / h, \phi=a / b, \beta=a / R_{x}, \gamma=R_{x} / R_{y}$ for doing dimensionless the equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
& 12 \delta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+12 \frac{1-\nu}{2} \delta^{2} \lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \eta^{2}}+12\left(\frac{1+\nu}{2}\right) \delta^{2} \lambda \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}+12 \delta^{2} \beta(1+\nu \gamma) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}+\Omega^{2} U=0 \\
& 12(1+\nu 2) \delta^{2} \lambda \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}+12\left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}\right) \delta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+12 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \eta^{2}}+12 \delta^{2} \beta \lambda(\nu+\gamma) \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \eta}+\Omega^{2} v=0 \\
& 12 \delta^{2} \beta(1+\nu \gamma) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta}+12 \delta^{2} \beta \lambda(1+\gamma) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta}+\left(\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \zeta^{4}}+2 \lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \zeta^{2} \partial \eta^{2}}+\lambda^{4} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial \eta^{4}}\right) \\
& +12 \delta^{2} \beta^{2}\left(1+2 \nu \gamma+\gamma^{2}\right) w-\Omega^{2} w=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

## Boundary conditions:

$\mathrm{C}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}x: u=v=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=0 \\ y: u=v=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}=0\end{array}\right.$
S1(hard): $\left\{\begin{array}{l}x: u=v=w=M_{11}=0 \\ y: u=v=w=M_{22}=0\end{array} \quad\right.$ S2(soft): $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}x: v=w=N_{11}=M_{11}=0 \\ y: u=w=N_{22}=M_{22}=0\end{array}\right.$

Table 4.1: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of shallow shell, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, \phi=1, \beta=$ 0.1

| $\gamma$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CCCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | Present | 46.280 | 74.656 | 79.289 | 110.34 | 132.54 | 135.55 | 165.81 | 166.96 |
|  | $[134]$ | 46.28 | 74.65 | 79.28 | 110.3 | 132.5 | 135.5 | 165.7 | 166.9 |
| 1 | Present | 58.296 | 81.754 | 81.754 | 114.15 | 136.00 | 137.72 | 168.77 | 168.77 |
|  | $[134]$ | 58.30 | 81.75 | 81.75 | 114.1 | 136.0 | 137.7 | 168.7 | 168.7 |
| -1 | Present | 50.750 | 79.151 | 79.151 | 110.69 | 135.25 | 135.73 | 166.75 | 166.75 |
|  | $[134]$ | 50.75 | 79.14 | 79.14 | 110.7 | 135.2 | 135.7 | 166.7 | 166.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | SCSS |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | Present | 29.305 | 52.282 | 64.346 | 87.798 | 100.36 | 117.05 | 134.22 | 142.70 |
|  | $[134]$ | 29.30 | 52.28 | 64.34 | 87.79 | 100.4 | 117.1 | 134.2 | 143.7 |
| 1 | Present | 41.803 | 61.543 | 67.941 | 92.509 | 105.60 | 118.19 | 137.88 | 144.83 |
|  | $[134]$ | 41.80 | 61.54 | 67.94 | 92.51 | 105.6 | 118.2 | 137.9 | 144.8 |
| -1 | Present | 29.379 | 56.632 | 62.888 | 87.178 | 103.95 | 116.47 | 134.82 | 141.81 |
|  | $[134]$ | 29.38 | 56.63 | 62.89 | 87.17 | 104.0 | 116.5 | 134.8 | 141.8 |

$\gamma=0,1,-1$ is correspond to cylindrical, spherical and hyperbolic paraboloidal shells. Procedure for solving thin shell such as shallow and deep are similar to non-symmetric classical composite laminated plate in section (3.2.2). Table (4.1) is shown results for isotropic shallow shell for cylindrical, spherical and hyperbolic paraboloidal. Results are compares with super-position Galerkin method and as seen two methods are very close to each other. Table (4.2) also is shown analysis of shallow shell panel for fully clamped and fully simply supported in terms of $a / R_{x}$ which is the shallowness of the thin shell

Table 4.2: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the cylindrical shallow shell with $\phi=$ $1, a / h=100, \lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$

| BCs | $\beta$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | 0 | 35.985 | 73.393 | 73.393 | 108.21 | 131.58 | 132.20 | 165.00 | 165.00 |
|  | 0.1 | 46.280 | 74.656 | 79.290 | 110.34 | 132.54 | 135.55 | 165.81 | 166.96 |
|  | 0.2 | 67.681 | 78.294 | 94.610 | 116.46 | 134.99 | 145.76 | 168.32 | 172.71 |
|  | 0.3 | 83.923 | 90.396 | 115.047 | 125.86 | 140.52 | 161.25 | 172.80 | 181.82 |
|  | 0.4 | 91.065 | 108.46 | 136.888 | 137.70 | 152.42 | 180.12 | 180.40 | 193.74 |
|  | 0.5 | 99.262 | 118.99 | 151.126 | 156.34 | 172.52 | 192.43 | 201.67 | 207.80 |
| SSSS | 0 | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.956 | 98.696 | 98.696 | 128.30 | 128.30 |
|  | 0.1 | 36.840 | 51.575 | 58.382 | 82.301 | 99.526 | 103.65 | 129.41 | 131.10 |
|  | 0.2 | 57.708 | 63.833 | 79.216 | 91.541 | 102.83 | 117.23 | 132.85 | 139.14 |
|  | 0.3 | 66.573 | 85.624 | 103.770 | 104.95 | 113.69 | 136.67 | 139.33 | 151.49 |
|  | 0.4 | 77.079 | 95.126 | 120.832 | 125.75 | 137.70 | 151.89 | 159.39 | 167.01 |
|  | 0.5 | 88.431 | 99.889 | 137.823 | 140.07 | 166.63 | 171.87 | 174.15 | 182.94 |

from 0 (plate), 0.1 to 0.5 which is not so shallow. $\beta=2$ is so deep and $\beta=1$ is moderately deep. Table (4.3) also is shown composite laminated simply-supported shallow shell for three type, cylindrical, spherical and hyperbolic paraboloidal in terms of varying the shallowness. Results are compared with GDQ method and they are so close.

### 4.2 Thick shells

Thick shells theory are defined using the value of thickness of shell over length or radii of curvature which is between $1 / 10$ to $1 / 20$. It is assumed that normals to the mid surface remains straight during deformation but not normal to the surface. In this theory, shell displacements are expanded in terms of shell thickness which can be of a first-order. Difference of this approach with 3D is in assumption that the normal strain acting on the plane parallel to the mid surface is negligible compared with other strain components. This assumption is valid except within the vicinity of a highly concentrated force. In other words, $\epsilon_{z z}=0$. The displacements can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(\alpha, \beta, z)=u_{0}(\alpha, \beta)+z \psi_{\alpha}(\alpha, \beta) \\
& v(\alpha, \beta, z)=v_{0}(\alpha, \beta)+z \psi_{\beta}(\alpha, \beta) \\
& w(\alpha, \beta, z)=w_{0}(\alpha, \beta) \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}, v_{0}$ and $w_{0}$ are mid surface displacements of the shell and $\psi_{x}$ and $\psi_{y}$ are mid surface rotations. Third part of the above equation leads to $\epsilon_{z z}=0$. This definition is called first-order shear deformation theory which is applied on thick shell whether deep or shallow.

The strains at any points in the shells can be written in terms of mid surface strains

Table 4.3: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the simply-supported cross-ply ( $0 / 90 / 90 / 0$ ) shallow composite shell, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2} h^{2}}}, h / a=0.01 a / b=1, E_{1} / E_{2}=$ $15, \underline{\underline{G_{12}} / E_{2}=0.5, G_{13} / E_{2}=0.5, G_{23} / E_{2}=0.5, \nu_{12}=0.25}$

| $\gamma$ | $\beta$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0.1 | Present | 12.264 | 24.999 | 43.859 | 47.786 | 49.096 | 65.582 | 80.149 |
|  |  | [155] | 12.264 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | Present | 11.977 | 48.800 | 49.354 | 63.805 | 70.162 | 80.545 | 102.49 |
|  |  | [155] | 11.977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.1 | Present | 13.970 | 26.571 | 42.909 | 48.921 | 49.555 | 66.111 | 81.058 |
|  |  | [155] | 13.970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | Present | 35.183 | 45.460 | 59.220 | 66.635 | 81.599 | 94.957 | 95.936 |
|  |  | [155] | 35.183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1 | Present | 18.129 | 28.197 | 45.584 | 49.604 | 50.883 | 66.898 | 81.375 |
|  |  | [155] | 18.129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | Present | 66.577 | 81.304 | 82.489 | 88.705 | 96.195 | 104.20 | 121.57 |
|  |  | [155] | 66.577 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

and curvature changes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{z}{R_{\alpha}}}\left(\epsilon_{0 \alpha}+z \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}\right) \\
\epsilon_{\beta} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{z}{R_{\beta}}}\left(\epsilon_{0 \beta}+z \mathcal{K}_{\beta}\right) \\
\epsilon_{\alpha \beta} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{z}{R_{\alpha}}}\left(\epsilon_{0 \alpha \beta}+z \mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta}\right) \\
\epsilon_{\beta \alpha} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{z}{R_{\beta}}}\left(\epsilon_{0 \beta \alpha}+z \mathcal{K}_{\beta \alpha}\right) \\
\gamma_{\alpha z} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{z}{R_{\alpha}}}\left(\gamma_{0 \alpha z}+z\left(\psi_{\alpha} / R_{\alpha}\right)\right) \\
\gamma_{\beta z} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{z}{R_{\beta}}}\left(\gamma_{0 \beta z}+z\left(\psi_{\beta} / R_{\beta}\right)\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where the midsurface strains are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{0 \alpha}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{v_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{\alpha}} \\
& \epsilon_{0 \beta}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \beta}+\frac{u_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{\beta}} \\
& \epsilon_{0 \alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{u_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}} \\
& \epsilon_{0 \beta \alpha}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \beta}+\frac{v_{0}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}} \\
& \gamma_{0 \alpha z}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \alpha}-\frac{u_{0}}{R_{\alpha}}-\frac{v_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}+\psi_{\alpha} \\
& \gamma_{0 \beta z}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \beta}-\frac{v_{0}}{R_{\beta}}-\frac{u_{0}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}+\psi_{\beta} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and the curvature and twist changes are as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \alpha}+\frac{\psi_{\beta}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}, & \mathcal{K}_{\beta}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial \beta}+\frac{\psi_{\alpha}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial \alpha}-\frac{\psi_{\alpha}}{A B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta}, & \mathcal{K}_{\beta \alpha}=\frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \beta}-\frac{\psi_{\beta}}{A B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} \tag{4.19}
\end{array}
$$

The above equations include the term $\left(1+z / R_{n}\right)$ where $n$ is either $\alpha$ or $\beta$ for thick shallow shells are neglected in all strain components. Carrying out the integration of this term creates difficulties which in the case of plate don't exist. This term ignore in most thin shell theories, but in some theories like Vlasov this term is expand in a geometric series form. Numerical investigations is shown that this expansion does not lead to better results because the value if this term in thin shells are between 0.98 and 1.02 depending on value of $z$. Applying Mindlin theory, stress-strain equations for composite laminated thin shell is written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11}  \tag{4.20}\\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{12} \\
\sigma_{13} \\
\sigma_{23}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{11} \\
\epsilon_{22} \\
\epsilon_{12} \\
\epsilon_{13} \\
\epsilon_{23}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For thick shell, shear deformation should be considered. The normal and shear forces are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha} \\
N_{\alpha \beta} \\
Q_{\alpha}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\alpha \alpha} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha z}
\end{array}\right\}\left(1+\frac{z}{R_{\beta}}\right) d z \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\beta} \\
N_{\alpha \beta} \\
Q_{\beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\beta \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
\sigma_{\beta z}
\end{array}\right\}\left(1+\frac{z}{R_{\alpha}}\right) d z \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The bending and twisting moments and higher-order shear force terms are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{\alpha} \\
M_{\alpha \beta} \\
P_{\alpha}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\alpha \alpha} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha z}
\end{array}\right\}\left(1+\frac{z}{R_{\beta}}\right) d z \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{\beta} \\
M_{\alpha \beta} \\
P_{\beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\beta \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
\sigma_{\beta z}
\end{array}\right\}\left(1+\frac{z}{R_{\alpha}}\right) d z \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

$P_{\alpha}$ and $P_{\beta}$ are higher-order shear force terms, needed only if the radius of twist curvature exists $\left(R_{\alpha \beta} \neq 0\right)$. Although $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=\sigma_{\beta \alpha}$, stress resultants $N_{\alpha \beta} \neq N_{\beta \alpha}$ and $M_{\alpha \beta} \neq M_{\beta \alpha}$ even $R_{\alpha}=R_{\beta}$ which is happened in plates.

Relation between stress resultants and strains are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha \alpha} \\
N_{\alpha \beta} \\
N_{\beta \alpha} \\
N_{\beta \beta} \\
M_{\alpha \alpha} \\
M_{\alpha \beta} \\
M_{\beta \alpha} \\
M_{\beta \beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & B_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & B_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & B_{66} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & B_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} & D_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} & D_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} & D_{66} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} & D_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{0 \alpha \alpha} \\
\epsilon_{0 \beta \beta} \\
\epsilon_{0 \alpha \beta} \\
\epsilon_{0 \beta \alpha} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\beta} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\beta \alpha}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{\alpha} \\
Q_{\beta} \\
P_{\alpha} \\
P_{\beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{55} & A_{45} & B_{55} & B_{45} \\
A_{45} & A_{44} & B_{45} & B_{44} \\
B_{55} & B_{45} & D_{55} & D_{45} \\
B_{45} & B_{44} & D_{45} & D_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\gamma_{0 \alpha z} \\
\gamma_{0 \beta z} \\
\psi_{\alpha} / R_{\alpha} \\
\psi_{\beta} / R_{\beta}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Combination of eqs. (4.23) yields in a well known matrix $12 \times 12$ as following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\alpha \alpha} \\
N_{\alpha \beta} \\
Q_{\alpha} \\
N_{\beta \alpha} \\
N_{\beta \beta} \\
Q_{\beta} \\
M_{\alpha \beta} \\
M_{\alpha \alpha} \\
P_{\alpha} \\
M_{\beta \beta} \\
M_{\beta \alpha} \\
P_{\beta}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
A_{11} & A_{16} & 0 & A_{16} & A_{12} & 0 & B_{16} & B_{11} & 0 & B_{12} & B_{16} & 0 \\
A_{16} & 2 A_{66} & 0 & 0 & A_{26} & 0 & B_{66} & B_{16} & 0 & -B_{26} & B_{66} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{55} & 0 & 0 & A_{45} & 0 & 0 & B_{55} & 0 & 0 & B_{45} \\
A_{16} & 0 & 0 & 2 A_{66} & A_{26} & 0 & B_{66} & -B_{16} & 0 & B_{26} & B_{66} & 0 \\
A_{12} & A_{26} & 0 & A_{26} & A_{22} & 0 & B_{26} & B_{12} & 0 & B_{22} & B_{26} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{45} & 0 & 0 & A_{44} & 0 & 0 & B_{45} & 0 & 0 & B_{44} \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & 0 & B_{66} & B_{26} & 0 & 2 D_{66} & D_{16} & 0 & D_{26} & 0 & 0 \\
B_{11} & B_{16} & 0 & -B_{16} & B_{12} & 0 & D_{16} & D_{11} & 0 & -D_{12} & D_{16} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{55} & 0 & 0 & B_{45} & 0 & 0 & D_{55} & 0 & 0 & D_{45} \\
B_{12} & -B_{26} & 0 & B_{26} & B_{22} & 0 & D_{26} & -D_{12} & 0 & D_{22} & D_{26} & 0 \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & 0 & B_{66} & B_{26} & 0 & 0 & D_{16} & 0 & D_{26} & 2 D_{66} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{45} & 0 & 0 & B_{44} & 0 & 0 & D_{45} & 0 & 0 & D_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{0 \alpha \alpha} \\
\epsilon_{0 \alpha \beta} \\
\epsilon_{0 \alpha z} \\
\epsilon_{0 \beta \alpha} \\
\epsilon_{0 \beta \beta} \\
\epsilon_{0 \beta z} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha \beta} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha \alpha} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha z} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\beta \beta} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\beta \alpha} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\beta z}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

This matrix is symmetric and as seen for equilibrium, the sign of coefficients which are multiplied by $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha \alpha}, \mathcal{K}_{\beta \beta}$ and $\epsilon_{0 \alpha \beta}, \epsilon_{0 \beta \alpha}$ in equations of $N_{\alpha \beta}, N_{\beta \alpha}, M_{\alpha \alpha}, M_{\beta \beta}$ are negative. $A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}$ are defined as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}-h_{k-1}\right), \quad B_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}^{2}-h_{k-1}^{2}\right), \quad D_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}^{3}-h_{k-1}^{3}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j=1,2,6$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i j} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \kappa_{i} \kappa_{j} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}-h_{k-1}\right) \\
B_{i j} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \kappa_{i} \kappa_{j} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}^{2}-h_{k-1}^{2}\right) \\
D_{i j} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \kappa_{i} \kappa_{j} \tilde{C}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(h_{k}^{3}-h_{k-1}^{3}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i, j=4,5$ and also $\kappa_{i} \kappa_{j}=5 / 6$ which both of them are shear correction factor in $i$ and $j$ directions.

The equations of motion are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
\partial \alpha \\
\partial \alpha \\
\left.N_{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A N_{\beta \alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} N_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} N_{\beta} \\
&+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha}} Q_{\alpha}+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha \beta}} Q_{\beta}+A B q_{\alpha}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{1} \ddot{u}_{0}^{2}+\bar{I}_{2} \ddot{\psi}_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A N_{\beta}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B N_{\alpha \beta}\right)+\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} N_{\beta \alpha}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} N_{\alpha} \\
&+\frac{A B}{R_{\beta}} Q_{\beta}+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha \beta}} Q_{\alpha}+A B q_{\beta}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{1} \ddot{v}_{0}^{2}+\bar{I}_{2} \ddot{\psi}_{\beta}^{2}\right) \\
&-A B\left(\frac{N_{\alpha}}{R_{\alpha}}+\frac{N_{\beta}}{R_{\beta}}+\frac{N_{\alpha \beta}+N_{\beta \alpha}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B Q_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A Q_{\beta}\right)+A B q_{n}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{1} \ddot{w}_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B M_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A M_{\beta \alpha}\right)+\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} M_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} M_{\beta} \\
&-A B Q_{\alpha}+\frac{A B}{R_{\alpha}} P_{\alpha}+A B m_{\alpha}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{2} \ddot{u}_{0}^{2}+\bar{I}_{3} \ddot{\psi}_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(A M_{\beta}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(B M_{\alpha \beta}\right)+\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} M_{\beta \alpha}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} M_{\alpha} \\
&-A B Q_{\beta}+\frac{A B}{R_{\beta}} P_{\beta}+A B m_{\beta}=A B\left(\bar{I}_{2} \ddot{v}_{0}^{2}+\bar{I}_{3} \ddot{\psi}_{\beta}^{2}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{\alpha}, N_{\beta}, N_{\alpha \beta}, N_{\beta \alpha}$ are force resultants tangent to the midsurface, $Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}$ are the transverse shear force resultants, $m_{\alpha}, m_{\beta}$ are body couples (moments per unit length), $q_{\alpha}, q_{\beta}$ are external forces (per unit area), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{i}=\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{R_{\beta}}\right)+\frac{I_{i+2}}{R_{\alpha \beta}}\right) \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}, I_{5}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \rho^{(k)}\left(1, z, z^{2}, z^{3}, z^{4}\right) d z \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting eq. (4.23) into eq. (4.26), yields equations of motion in matrix form as follow
$\left[\begin{array}{lllll}K_{11} & K_{12} & K_{13} & K_{14} & K_{15} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} & K_{23} & K_{24} & K_{25} \\ K_{31} & K_{32} & K_{33} & K_{34} & K_{35} \\ K_{41} & K_{42} & K_{43} & K_{44} & K_{45} \\ K_{51} & K_{52} & K_{53} & K_{54} & K_{55}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}U \\ V \\ W \\ \psi_{x} \\ \psi_{y}\end{array}\right\}=-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}M_{11} & 0 & 0 & M_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{22} & 0 & 0 & M_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & M_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ M_{14} & 0 & 0 & M_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{25} & 0 & 0 & M_{55}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}U \\ V \\ W \\ \psi_{x} \\ \psi_{y}\end{array}\right\}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{11}=A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-\beta^{2} \gamma^{2} A_{55} \\
& K_{12}=A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(A_{12}+A_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-\beta^{2} \gamma A_{45} \\
& K_{13}=\beta h A_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\varrho \beta h A_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma h A_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\beta \gamma \varrho h A_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma h A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\beta \gamma \varrho h A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \\
& K_{14}=B_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta \gamma A_{55} \\
& K_{15}=B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(B_{12}+B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta \gamma A_{45} \\
& K_{21}=A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(A_{12}+A_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-\beta^{2} \gamma A_{45} \\
& K_{22}=A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-\beta^{2} A_{44} \\
& K_{23}=\beta h\left(A_{26}+A_{45}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\varrho \beta h\left(A_{22}+A_{44}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma \varrho h A_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma h A_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \\
& K_{24}=B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(B_{12}+B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta A_{45} \\
& K_{25}=B_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta A_{44} \\
& K_{31}=-\beta A_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\varrho \beta A_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma A_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\beta \gamma \varrho A_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\beta \gamma \varrho A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \\
& K_{32}=-\beta\left(A_{26}+A_{45}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\varrho \beta\left(A_{22}+A_{44}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma \varrho A_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma A_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \\
& K_{33}=h A_{55} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho h A_{45} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} h A_{44} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-\beta^{2} h A_{22}-\beta \gamma h A_{11}-2 \beta^{2} \gamma h A_{12} \\
& K_{34}=A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\varrho A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta B_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\varrho \beta B_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma B_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\beta \gamma \varrho B_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \\
& K_{35}=A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\varrho A_{44} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta B_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\beta \varrho B_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma \varrho B_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\beta \gamma B_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \\
& K_{41}=B_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta \gamma A_{55} \\
& K_{42}=B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(B_{12}+B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta A_{45} \\
& K_{43}=\beta h B_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\varrho \beta h B_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-h A_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\varrho h A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma h B_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\beta \gamma \varrho h B_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \\
& K_{44}=D_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-A_{55} \\
& K_{45}=D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(D_{12}+D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-A_{45}\right. \\
& K_{51}=B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(B_{12}+B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta \gamma A_{45}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{52}=B_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho B_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} B_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}+\beta A_{44} \\
& K_{53}=\beta h B_{26} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}+\beta \varrho h B_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-h A_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\varrho h A_{44} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma \varrho h B_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}+\beta \gamma h B_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \\
& K_{54}=D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\varrho\left(D_{12}+D_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-A_{45} \\
& K_{55}=D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+2 \varrho D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}+\varrho^{2} D_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}-A_{44}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
M_{11}=\bar{I}_{1} & M_{22}=\bar{I}_{1} & M_{33}=\bar{I}_{1} & M_{44}=\bar{I}_{3} & M_{55}=\bar{I}_{3} \\
M_{14}=\bar{I}_{2} & M_{25}=\bar{I}_{2} & & & \tag{4.30}
\end{array}
$$

Using $\varrho=a / b, a / R_{\beta}=\beta, R_{\beta} / R_{\alpha}=\gamma$ for doing dimensionless equations of motion.

## Boundary conditions at $\zeta=0$

Clamped $\left(U=V=W=\psi_{x}=\psi_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) & \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) & \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{44}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) & \mathcal{B}_{55}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) & \tag{4.31}
\end{array}
$$

Simply-supported $\left(M_{x x}=V=W=N_{x x}=\psi_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=B_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+B_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}=B_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+B_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}=B_{11} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right)+B_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{14}=D_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{15}=D_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+D_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{41}=A_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{42}=A_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+A_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{43}=A_{11} \beta\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right)+A_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{44}=B_{11}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right)+B_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{45}=B_{12}\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes D^{(1)}\right)+B_{16}\left(e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{55}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions at $\eta=0$

Clamped $\left(U=V=W=\psi_{x}=\psi_{y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{22}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{44}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \mathcal{B}_{55}=\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) & \tag{4.33}
\end{array}
$$

Simply-supported $\left(U=M_{y y}=W=\psi_{x}=N_{y y}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}=B_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+B_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}=B_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+B_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}=B_{12} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+B_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{24}=D_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+D_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{25}=D_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+D_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \quad \mathcal{B}_{44}=\left(e_{1}^{T} \otimes I\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{51}=A_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+A_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{52}=A_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+A_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{53}=A_{12} \beta\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+A_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{54}=B_{12}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right)+B_{26}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{55}=B_{22}\left(I \otimes e_{1}^{T} D^{(1)}\right)+B_{26}\left(D^{(1)} \otimes e_{1}^{T}\right) \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

This problem is solved like problem section (3.3.2). Table (4.4) is shown the results for cylindrical panel shell for non-symmetric composite laminated both cross-ply and angleply for many boundary conditions. Results are compared with differential quadrature method based on the first-order shear deformation theory. As seen results are close.

Table 4.4: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of composite laminated cylindrical shell, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, a / h=10, a / R=2$

| Lay-up | BCs | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,90)_{3}$ | CCCC | 28.787 | 40.716 | 40.740 | 48.720 | 56.302 |
|  | $[156]$ | 28.319 | 40.005 | 40.196 | 47.953 | 55.815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSSS | 14.715 | 25.041 | 32.495 | 36.373 | 42.928 |
|  | $[156]$ | 14.286 | 24.242 | 32.069 | 35.575 | 42.031 |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CSCS } & 17.243 & 26.841 & 34.046 & 37.979 & 43.989\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 17.039 & 26.220 & 33.748 & 37.342 & 43.191\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CFCF } & 14.258 & 15.780 & 21.582 & 22.329 & 29.582\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 14.170 & 15.680 & 21.787 & 29.425 & 31.522\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CFSF } & 12.020 & 13.755 & 20.987 & 28.448 & 30.647 \\ {[156]} & 11.923 & 13.639 & 20.526 & 28.278 & 30.478\end{array}$

FCFS $\quad 6.4404 \quad 12.066 \quad 22.289 \quad 23.700 \quad 24.264$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 6.3302 & 11.691 & 21.817 & 23.266 & 24.229\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}(-45,45)_{3} & \mathrm{CCCC} & 39.578 & 41.011 & 47.437 & 50.069 & 56.787\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 39.462 & 40.872 & 46.916 & 49.538 & 56.500\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { SSSS } & 24.859 & 26.993 & 41.180 & 41.518 & 43.026\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 24.711 & 27.023 & 40.721 & 41.241 & 42.692\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CSCS } & 29.705 & 36.948 & 41.861 & 47.041 & 52.652\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{[156]} & 29.541 & 36.743 & 41.874 & 46.489 & 51.782\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CFCF } & 15.953 & 18.418 & 27.966 & 30.240 & 32.873\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 16.158 & 18.741 & 27.508 & 30.034 & 33.255\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CFSF } & 13.908 & 14.336 & 23.002 & 23.998 & 29.321\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}{[156]} & 14.048 & 14.661 & 22.691 & 23.639 & 29.046\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { FCFS } & 4.9677 & 17.031 & 18.183 & 29.243 & 29.477\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{[156]} & 4.9697 & 16.198 & 18.107 & 28.769 & 29.241\end{array}$

## Chapter 5

## Carrera's Unified Formulation (CUF)

### 5.1 Doubly curved shells and rectangular plates

Carrera's unified formulation is a technique for implementing so higher-order theory of structures such as beam, plate and shell. This analysis is two-dimensional or quasi-3D. In this chapter, spectral collocation method is applied on the (CUF) model for free vibration analysis of composite plates and shells. This technique can cover all the thickness ratio (thin and thick), lay-up of composite laminated such as symmetric and non-symmetric structures and so higher-order expansion of variables. In this technique, all variables $(u, v, w)$ are assumed in higher-order in terms of thickness direction not only $(u, v)$ similar to FSDT or TSDT but also $w$. Implementing of formulation is based on principle of virtual displacement (PVD). Variation of internal work is equal to strain energy. Stresses are obtained from Hook's law and the strains from the geometrical relations, which for each layer $k$ states as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \epsilon^{k^{T}} \sigma^{k} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k}=-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \mathbf{u}^{k^{T}} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sigma^{k}$ and $\epsilon^{k}$ are stresses and strains for each lamina, respectively which are divided into in-plane and out-of-plane (normal) components as

$$
\sigma_{p}^{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{\alpha \alpha}^{k}  \tag{5.2}\\
\sigma_{\beta \beta}^{k} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta}^{k}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \sigma_{n}^{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{\alpha z}^{k} \\
\sigma_{\beta z}^{k} \\
\sigma_{z z}^{k}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \epsilon_{p}^{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\alpha \alpha}^{k} \\
\epsilon_{\beta \beta}^{k} \\
\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{k}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \epsilon_{n}^{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\alpha z}^{k} \\
\epsilon_{\beta z}^{k} \\
\epsilon_{z z}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are curvilinear orthogonal coordinates and are in-plane coordinates, and $z$ is the normal coordinate or out-of-plane coordinate.

After these definitions eq. (5.1) could be rewritten in matrix form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\delta \epsilon_{p G}^{k^{T}} & \left.\delta \epsilon_{n G}^{k^{T}}\right]
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{p H}^{k} \\
\sigma_{n H}^{k}
\end{array}\right\} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} \\
&=\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta \epsilon_{p G}^{k_{G}^{T}} \sigma_{p H}^{k}+\delta \epsilon_{n G}^{k^{T}} \sigma_{n H}^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Omega^{k}=-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \mathbf{u}^{k^{T}} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where subscript $G$ for strains means that strains which are obtained from geometrical relations, subscript $H$ for stresses means stresses which are evaluated using Hooke's law, subscript $p, n$ are related to in-plane and out of plane strains and stresses and superscript $T$ means transpose of array. The following $N$ th-order expansion have been chosen in the thickness direction of each layer $\left(k=1,2, \ldots N_{l}\right)$.

The constitutive equation for each lamina can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{p H}^{k} & =\tilde{C}_{p p}^{k} \epsilon_{p G}^{k}+\tilde{C}_{p n}^{k} \epsilon_{n G}^{k} \\
\sigma_{n H}^{k} & =\tilde{C}_{n p}^{k} \epsilon_{p G}^{k}+\tilde{C}_{n n}^{k} \epsilon_{n G}^{k} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and the relation among displacements and strains are as follow

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{p G}^{k}=D_{p} \mathbf{u}^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}^{k} \\
& \epsilon_{n G}^{k}=D_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k}+\lambda_{D} A_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial_{\alpha}}{H_{\alpha}^{\alpha}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial_{\beta}}{H_{\beta}^{k}} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial_{\beta}}{H_{\beta}^{k}} & \frac{\partial_{\alpha}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}} & 0
\end{array}\right], D_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{z} & 0 & \frac{\partial_{\alpha}}{H_{H}^{k}} \\
0 & \partial_{z} & \frac{\partial_{\beta}}{H_{\beta}^{k}} \\
0 & 0 & \partial_{z}
\end{array}\right] \\
& A_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{H_{\alpha}^{k} R_{\alpha}^{k}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{H_{\beta}^{k} R_{\beta}^{k}}{0} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], A_{n}=-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{H_{\alpha}^{k} R_{\alpha}^{k}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{H_{\beta}^{k} R_{\beta}^{k}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha}^{k}=A\left(1+\frac{z_{k}}{R_{\alpha}^{k}}\right), \quad H_{\beta}^{k}=B\left(1+\frac{z_{k}}{R_{\beta}^{k}}\right), \quad H_{z}^{k}=1 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{\alpha}^{k}, R_{\beta}^{k}$ are radius of curvature in the associated coordinates. Eq. (5.7) is associated to the thick shells because for plates and thin shells $\left(1+\frac{z_{k}}{R_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}}\right)$ are one. $A_{p}, A_{n}$ are just used for shells such as thin or thick. $\lambda_{D}$ is a parameter which is one for general shell and zero for Donnell-Mushtary type shallow shell. $D_{p}$ and $D_{n}$ are differential operator matrix apply on displacements but $A_{p}$ and $A_{n}$ are matrices which are multiplied by displacements. $A, B$ are coefficients of the first fundamental form of $\Omega_{k}$. For simplicity in this section, it is assumed that $A=B=1$. Curvature of cylindrical, spherical and toroidal shell are constant, if curvature or curvatures are not constant $A$ or $B$, or $A$ and $B$ are not constant. For plates also these mentioned coefficients are always identity and the curvatures associated to $\alpha, \beta$ coordinates are infinity.

In-plane and out-of-plane devision is also done for $\tilde{C}_{i j}^{k}$, thus the stiffness coefficients are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{C}_{p p}^{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{C}_{11}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{12}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{16}^{k} \\
\tilde{C}_{12}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{22}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{26}^{k} \\
\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{26}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{66}^{k}
\end{array}\right], \quad \tilde{C}_{p n}^{k}=\tilde{C}_{p n}^{k^{T}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{13}^{k} \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{23}^{k} \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{36}^{k}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \tilde{C}_{n n}^{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{45}^{k} & 0 \\
\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} & \tilde{C}_{44}^{k} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{33}^{k}
\end{array}\right] \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\tilde{C}_{i j}^{k}$ coefficients are evaluated from the below formula as
Hook's law in three-dimensional analysis is

$$
\sigma=C \epsilon \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11}  \tag{5.9}\\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{12} \\
\sigma_{13} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{33}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{13} \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{23} \\
0 & 0 & C_{66} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 \\
C_{13} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{11} \\
\epsilon_{22} \\
\epsilon_{12} \\
\epsilon_{13} \\
\epsilon_{23} \\
\epsilon_{33}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where stiffness coefficients and poison' ratio for an orthotropic material are as:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
C_{11}=E_{1} \frac{1-\nu_{23} \nu_{32}}{\Delta} & C_{22}=E_{2} \frac{1-\nu_{13} \nu_{31}}{\Delta} & C_{33}=E_{3} \frac{1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}}{\Delta} \\
C_{12}=E_{1} \frac{\nu_{21}+\nu_{23} \nu_{31}}{\Delta} & C_{13}=E_{1} \frac{\nu_{31}+\nu_{21} \nu_{32}}{\Delta} & C_{23}=E_{2} \frac{\nu_{32}+\nu_{12} \nu_{31}}{\Delta} \\
C_{44}=G_{23} & C_{55}=G_{13} & C_{66}=G_{12} \\
\nu_{21}=\frac{E_{2}}{E_{1} \nu_{12}} & \nu_{31}=\frac{E_{3}}{E_{1}} \nu_{13} & \nu_{32}=\frac{E_{3}}{E_{2}} \nu_{23} \\
\Delta=1-\nu_{12} \nu_{21}-\nu_{23} \nu_{32}-\nu_{31} \nu_{13}-2 \nu_{12} \nu_{32} \nu_{13} & \tag{5.10}
\end{array}
$$

For composite laminated, stiffness matrix can be obtained as following

$$
\tilde{C}=T C T^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\tilde{C}_{11} & \tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{16} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{13}  \tag{5.11}\\
\tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{22} & \tilde{C}_{26} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{32} \\
\tilde{C}_{16} & \tilde{C}_{26} & \tilde{C}_{66} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{36} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{55} & \tilde{C}_{45} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{45} & \tilde{C}_{44} & 0 \\
\tilde{C}_{13} & \tilde{C}_{23} & \tilde{C}_{36} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where transformation matrix is as

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\cos ^{2} \theta & \sin ^{2} \theta & -2 \sin \theta \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.12}\\
\sin ^{2} \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta & 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\theta$ is orientation angle of each lamina.
According to the Carrera's unified formulation (CUF) and assuming harmonic motion with circular frequency $\omega$, the displacements vector for each layer is expressed as

$$
\mathbf{u}^{k}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u^{k}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right) \\
v^{k}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right) \\
w^{k}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right) u_{\tau}^{k}(\zeta, \eta) \\
F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right) v_{\tau}^{k}(\zeta, \eta) \\
F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right) w_{\tau}^{k}(\zeta, \eta)
\end{array}\right\} e^{i \omega t}=F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^{k}(\zeta, \eta) e^{i \omega t}(5.13)
$$

$\tau=t, b, r\left(r=2, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ is an index related on the chosed theory, $F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right)$ are assumed thickness function and $N_{n}$ is order of theory. $\xi_{k}$ is a local dimensionless layer coordinate $\left(-1 \leq \xi_{k} \leq 1\right)$ related to the global thickness coordinate $z$ and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}=\frac{2}{z_{\text {topk }}-z_{\text {botk }}} z-\frac{z_{\text {topk }}+z_{\text {botk }}}{z_{\text {topk }}-z_{\text {botk }}} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{\text {topk }}$ and $z_{\text {botk }}$ are correspond to top and bottom surface of each layer $k$. It is noted that in (CUF) technique in spite of other theories of plates and shells does not need to do dimensionless over displacements. Consider a plate or shell with $a$ length and $b$ width in $(\alpha, \beta)$ coordinates, only parameter $\beta=a / b$ is used as dimensionless parameter. In eq. (5.13) displacements vector is assumed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}^{k}=\left(F_{t} \mathbf{u}_{t}^{k}+F_{b} \mathbf{u}_{b}^{k}+F_{r} \mathbf{u}_{r}^{k}\right) e^{i \omega t}=F_{\tau} \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^{k} e^{i \omega t} \quad \tau=0,1, \ldots, N_{n} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also the summation convention over indices is as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}^{k}=\left(F_{t} \mathbf{u}_{t}^{k}+F_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{k}+\ldots+F_{N} \mathbf{u}_{N}^{k}+F_{b} \mathbf{u}_{b}^{k}\right) e^{i \omega t} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subscript $t$ is correspond to top layer and subscript $b$ is correspond to bottom layer and subscript $r$ is defined for the layers in between. $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ define functions related to top and bottom layer or vice versa and other functions are correspond to other layers. Thus the above relation can be written in the form below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}^{k}=F_{0} \mathbf{u}_{0}^{k}+F_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{k}+F_{r} \mathbf{u}_{r}^{k} \quad r=2, \ldots, N_{n} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}=1, F_{1}=z, F_{N_{n}}=Z^{N_{n}}$.
Thickness functions $F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right)$ can be arbitrarily chosed for better description of deformed plates and shells in each lamina which can be in power series form $\left(1, z, z^{2}, \ldots, z_{n}^{N}\right)$ or other functions like zig-zag function. Basically with this assumption, displacements assumed in multiplication of two variables $F_{\tau}$ and $u_{\tau}$ which are acting on thickness direction and surface, respectively. Thus, $F_{\tau}$ just has differentiation with respect to $z$ and $u_{\tau}$ has differentiation with respect to surface coordinates. Expansion of eq. (5.17) for all three displacements are (see Figure 5.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}\right)=u_{0}^{k}+z u_{1}^{k}+z^{2} u_{2}^{k}+\ldots+z^{N_{n}} u_{N_{n}}^{k} \\
& v\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}\right)=v_{0}^{k}+z v_{1}^{k}+z^{2} v_{2}^{k}+\ldots+z^{N_{n}} v_{N_{n}}^{k} \\
& w\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}\right)=w_{0}^{k}+z w_{1}^{k}+z^{2} w_{2}^{k}+\ldots+z^{N_{n}} w_{N_{n}}^{k} \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

This type of definition for the thickness function leads to a theory which is called Equivalant single layer (ESL) naming $E D N_{n}$. i.e., expansion of $E D 2$ based on this theory is

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{k} & =u_{t}^{k}+z u_{b}^{k}+z^{2} u_{2}^{k} \\
v^{k} & =u_{t}^{k}+z u_{b}^{k}+z^{2} u_{2}^{k} \\
w^{k} & =u_{t}^{k}+z u_{b}^{k}+z^{2} u_{2}^{k} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

In this theory entire thickness assumes as a layer without changing in displacement and behavior with changing lamina, and the displacement degrees of freedom for a given $E D N_{n}$ is $3\left(N_{n}+1\right)(N+1)^{2}$. But an effective way is to not considering whole thickness as one layer and to satisfy the inter-laminar continuity of the displacements is to choose

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{t}(k)=\frac{1+\xi_{k}}{2} \\
& F_{t}(k)=\frac{1-\xi_{k}}{2} \\
& F_{r}(k)=P_{r}\left(\xi_{k}\right)-P_{r-2}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \quad r=2,3, \ldots, N_{n} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{i}\left(\xi_{k}\right)$ is the Legendre polynomial of $i$ th order, and the inter-laminar continuity among layers is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{t}^{k}=\mathbf{u}_{b}^{k+1} \quad k=1, \ldots, N_{l}-1 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means that the displacement for the top surface of $k$ th lamina should be equal to displacement for the bottom surface of the $(k+1)$ th lamina. This type of formulation for the thickness function proceeds to layer-wise theory and naming $L D N_{n}$ (see Figure 5.5). for example based on this theory formulation of $L D 2$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{k} & =\frac{1+\xi_{k}}{2} u_{t}^{k}+\frac{1-\xi_{k}}{2} u_{b}^{k}+\frac{3 \xi_{k}^{2}-3}{2} u_{2}^{k} \\
v^{k} & =\frac{1+\xi_{k}}{2} v_{t}^{k}+\frac{1-\xi_{k}}{2} v_{b}^{k}+\frac{3 \xi_{k}^{2}-3}{2} v_{2}^{k} \\
w^{k} & =\frac{1+\xi_{k}}{2} w_{t}^{k}+\frac{1-\xi_{k}}{2} w_{b}^{k}+\frac{3 \xi_{k}^{2}-3}{2} w_{2}^{k} \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

For layer-wise description, displacements of each layer considered independently. The properties of the chosen functions, compatibility of the displacement and equilibrium of the transverse stress components and the additional $C_{z}^{0}$ requirements are as

$$
\zeta_{k}=\left\{\begin{array} { r c c } 
{ 1 : F _ { t } = 1 , } & { F _ { b } = 0 , } & { F _ { r } = 0 }  \tag{5.23}\\
{ - 1 : F _ { t } = 0 , } & { F _ { b } = 1 , } & { F _ { r } = 0 }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
u_{t}^{k}=u_{b}^{(k+1)} & k=1, N_{l}-1 \\
\sigma_{n t}^{k}=\sigma_{n b}^{(k+1)} & k=1, N_{l}-1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Displacement degrees of freedom for a given $L D N_{n}$ is $\left(3\left(N_{n}+1\right) N_{l}-3\left(N_{l}-1\right)\right)(N+1)^{2}$.

Substituting eqs. (5.4), (5.5) and (5.15) into eq. (5.3), yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta\left(D_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T}\left[\tilde{C}_{p p}\left(D_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{p n}\left(D_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}\right)\right]\right) d z_{k} d \Omega^{k}+\ldots \\
& =\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau} k^{T}\left(D_{p}^{T}+A_{p}^{T}\right)\left[\tilde{C}_{p p}\left(D_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{p n}\left(D_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}\right)\right]\right) d z_{k} d \Omega^{k}+\ldots \\
& =-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k^{T}} D_{p}^{T}\left[\tilde{C}_{p p}\left(D_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{p n}\left(D_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}\right)\right]\right) d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k}{ }^{T}\left[\tilde{C}_{p p}\left(D_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{p n}\left(D_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}\right)\right]\right) d z_{k} d \Gamma^{k} \\
& +\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k^{T}} A_{p}^{T}\left[\tilde{C}_{p p}\left(D_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{p} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{p n}\left(D_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{k}+A_{n} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}\right)\right]\right) d z_{k} d \Omega^{k}+\ldots \\
& =-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k^{T}} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{s}} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

It is to be noted that, multiplication of $D_{p}$ and $D_{n}$ which are $3 \times 3$ matrices by $\mathbf{u}$ which is $3 \times 1$ vector yields a $3 \times 1$ vector. $\sigma_{p}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ also are obtained from multiplication of strains which are $(3 \times 1)$ matrices by determined coefficients which yield $3 \times 1$ matrices. Regarding eq. (5.3) transpose of strains which are $1 \times 3$ multiply by stresses which are $3 \times 1$ yields ( $1 \times 1$ ) matrix including many terms such as equations of motion and natural boundary conditions after doing integration by parts.

After doing integration by parts for all terms, Eq. (5.24) is simplified in the form below as

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k^{T}} \mathcal{L}^{k \tau s} \mathbf{u}_{s}^{k} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} & +\int_{\Gamma^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k^{T}} \mathcal{B}^{k \tau s} \mathbf{u}_{s}^{k} d z d \Gamma^{k} \\
& =-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\tau}{ }^{k^{T}} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{s}^{k} d z_{k} d \Omega^{k} \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{k \tau s}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{k \tau s}$ are the nuclei of stiffness and boundary condition matrices, respectively. Apply integral in the thickness direction using the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(J^{k \tau s}, J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s}, J_{\beta}^{k \tau s}, J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s}, J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s}, J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau s}\right)=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} F_{s}\left(1, H_{\alpha}^{k}, H_{\beta}^{k}, \frac{H_{\alpha}^{k}}{H_{\beta}^{k}}, \frac{H_{\beta}^{k}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}}, H_{\alpha}^{k} H_{\beta}^{k}\right) d z \\
& \left(J^{k \tau_{z} s}, J_{\alpha}^{k \tau_{z} s}, J_{\beta}^{k \tau_{z} s}, J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau_{z} s}, J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau_{z} s}, J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau_{z} s}\right)=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau_{z}} F_{s}\left(1, H_{\alpha}^{k}, H_{\beta}^{k}, \frac{H_{\alpha}^{k}}{H_{\beta}^{k}}, \frac{H_{\beta}^{k}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}}, H_{\alpha}^{k} H_{\beta}^{k}\right) d z \\
& \left(J^{k \tau s_{z}}, J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}, J_{\beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}, J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s_{z}}, J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s_{z}}, J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}\right)=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} F_{s z}\left(1, H_{\alpha}^{k}, H_{\beta}^{k}, \frac{H_{\alpha}^{k}}{H_{\beta}^{k}}, \frac{H_{\beta}^{k}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}}, H_{\alpha}^{k} H_{\beta}^{k}\right) d z \\
& \left(J^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}, J_{\alpha}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}, J_{\beta}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}, J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}, J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}, J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}\right)=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau_{z}} F_{s z}\left(1, H_{\alpha}^{k}, H_{\beta}^{k}, \frac{H_{\alpha}^{k}}{H_{\beta}^{k}}, \frac{H_{\beta}^{k}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}}, H_{\alpha}^{k} H_{\beta}^{k}\right) d z \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and simplified form for plates are as

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{k \tau s}=J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s}=J_{\beta}^{k \tau s}=J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau s}=J_{\alpha / \beta}^{k \tau s}=J_{\beta / \alpha}^{k \tau s}=E^{k \tau s} \\
& J^{k \tau s_{z}}=J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}=J_{\beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}=J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}=J_{\alpha / \beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}=J_{\beta / \alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}=E^{k \tau s_{z}} \\
& J^{k \tau_{z} s}=J_{\alpha}^{k \tau_{z} s}=J_{\beta}^{k \tau_{z} s}=J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau_{z} s}=J_{\alpha / \beta}^{k \tau_{z} s}=J_{\beta / \alpha}^{k \tau_{z} s}=E^{k \tau_{z} s} \\
& J^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}=J_{\alpha}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}=J_{\beta}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}=J_{\alpha \beta}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}=J_{\alpha / \beta}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}=J_{\beta / \alpha}^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}}=E^{k \tau_{z} s_{z}} \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (5.25) is simplified as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}^{k \tau s} \mathbf{u}_{s}^{k}=\rho^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau s k} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{s}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}^{k \tau s} \mathbf{u}_{s}^{k}=0 \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{L}^{k r s}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{k r s}$ are the nuclei with $3(N+1)^{2} \times 3(N+1)^{2}$ size. In Ritz or finite element methods each of these elements are scalar and so nuclei is $(3 \times 3)$. After expansion through the $\tau$ and $s$, assemblage based on ESL or LW description, new matrix repeats through rows and columns in a big matrix. The number of these rows and columns are equal and also equal to order of Ritz expansion or number of nodes of the considered 2D structural elements, respectively.

But in the presented method each of the elements of nuclei are a matrix by order $(N+1)^{2} \times(N+1)^{2}$ (see Figure 5.1) and so nuclei itself is $3(N+1)^{2} \times 3(N+1)^{2}$. Nuclei is expanded via $\tau$ and $s$ which comes from the chosed theory, i.e., for $E D 1$ or $L D 1, N_{n}=1$ and $(\tau, s=0,1)$ or in $E D 2$ or $L D 2, N_{n}=2$ and $(\tau, s=0,1,2)$. Finally, according to equivalent single layer theory or layer-wise theory matrix is assembled. Therefore, finite element discretization is applied at the end of procedure for analysis of (CUF) based on finite element but discretization based on the chebyshev polynimial is applied at first during (CUF) analysis based on chebyshev spectral method.

Generally, for all structures after multiplication of matrices and vectors inside of integrals and doing integration by parts and factorization with respect to $\delta u^{k^{T}}, \delta v^{k^{T}}$ and $\delta w^{k^{T}}$, it is summarized as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta u^{k^{T}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{12}^{s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Omega_{k}+\int_{\Gamma^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta u^{k^{T}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau^{s^{k}}} u^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{12}^{s^{k} v^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{13}^{s^{k}} w^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Gamma_{k} \\
& -\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta v^{k^{T}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{23}^{\tau^{k}} w^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Omega_{k}+\int_{\Gamma^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta v^{k^{T}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau \xi^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{23}^{s^{k}} w^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Gamma_{k} \\
& -\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta w^{k^{T}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{32}^{\tau \xi^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Omega_{k}+\int_{\Gamma^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta w^{k^{T}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{31}^{s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau k^{k}} w^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Gamma_{k} \\
& =-\int_{\Omega^{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta u^{k^{T}} \rho^{k} \dot{u}^{k}+\delta v^{k^{T}} \rho^{k} \dot{v}^{k}+\delta w^{k^{T}} \rho^{k} \ddot{w}^{k}\right) d z_{k} d \Omega_{k} \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the equations result into nuclei of stiffness and nuclei of natural boundary conditions, respectively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta u^{k^{T}}: \mathcal{L}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}=\rho^{k} \ddot{u}^{k} \\
& \delta v^{k^{T}}: \mathcal{L}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{22}^{\tau^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{23}^{\tau k^{k}} w^{k}=\rho^{k} \ddot{v}^{k} \\
& \delta w^{k^{T}}: \mathcal{L}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{L}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}=\rho^{k} \ddot{w}^{k} \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta u^{k^{T}}: \mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}=0 \\
& \delta v^{k^{T}}: \mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}=0 \\
& \delta w^{k^{T}}: \mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} u^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} v^{k}+\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} w^{k}=0 \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

## Nuclei of shell' stiffness

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\tilde{C}_{11}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \alpha}+2 \tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \beta}+\tilde{C}_{66}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta \beta}\right)+\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau_{z} s_{z}} \\
& +\lambda \tilde{C}_{55}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{2}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s}-\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}-\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\beta}^{\tau s_{z}}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\left(\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}+\tilde{C}_{66}^{k}\right) J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \beta}+\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau_{s}} \partial_{\alpha \alpha}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau_{s}^{s}} \partial_{\beta \beta}\right)+\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau_{z} s z} \\
& +\lambda \tilde{C}_{45}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}-\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\beta}^{\tau s_{z}}-\frac{1}{R_{\beta}} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{11}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{13} J_{\beta}^{\tau s_{z}}-\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \partial_{\alpha} \\
& -\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{16}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{26}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{36}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau s_{z}}-\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \partial_{\beta} \\
& -\lambda\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{55}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{45}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\left(\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}+\tilde{C}_{66}^{k}\right) J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \beta}+\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \alpha}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau_{s}} \partial_{\beta \beta}\right)+\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau_{z} s_{z}} \\
& +\lambda \tilde{C}_{45}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}-\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}-\frac{1}{R_{\beta}} J_{\alpha}^{\tau s_{z}}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\tilde{C}_{22}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta \beta}+2 \tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \beta}+\tilde{C}_{66}^{k}{ }_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \alpha}-\tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau_{z} s_{z}}\right) \\
& +\lambda \tilde{C}_{44}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\beta}^{2}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s}-\frac{1}{R_{\beta}} J_{\alpha}^{\tau s_{z}}-\frac{1}{R_{\beta}} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{16}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{26}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{36} J_{\beta}^{\tau s_{z}}-\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \partial_{\alpha} \\
& -\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{22}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau_{\beta}^{s}}+\tilde{C}_{23}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{s}}-\tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \partial_{\beta} \\
& -\lambda\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{45}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{44}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{11}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{13} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}-\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\beta}^{\tau s_{z}}\right) \partial_{\alpha} \\
& +\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{16}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{26}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{36}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{z} s}-\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau s_{z}}\right) \partial_{\beta} \\
& +\lambda\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{55}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{45}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{16}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{26}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{36} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}-\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\beta}^{\tau s_{z}}\right) \partial_{\alpha} \\
& +\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J^{\tau s}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{22}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{23}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{z} s}-\tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau s_{z}}\right) \partial_{\beta} \\
& +\lambda\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{45}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\frac{\tilde{C}_{44}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}= & -\left(\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \alpha}+2 \tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J^{\tau s} \partial_{\alpha \beta}+\tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s} \partial_{\beta \beta}\right)+\tilde{C}_{33}^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau_{z} s_{z}} \\
& +\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{11}^{k}}{R_{\alpha}} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{\tau s}+2 \frac{\tilde{C}_{12}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{13}^{k} J_{\beta}^{\tau s z}+\tilde{C}_{13}^{k} J_{\beta}^{\tau_{z} s}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{R_{\beta}}\left(\frac{\tilde{C}_{22}^{k}}{R_{\beta}} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\tau s}+\tilde{C}_{23}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau s s_{z}}+\tilde{C}_{23}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{\tau_{\alpha} s}\right) \\
& \mathcal{M}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\rho^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau s k}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} \tag{5.32}
\end{align*}
$$

## Nuclei of shell's natural boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{11}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{66}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{12}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{66}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}=} & n_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{11}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s}+\frac{1}{R_{\beta}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{12}^{k} J^{k \tau s}+\tilde{C}_{13}^{k} J_{\beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}\right) \\
& +n_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J^{k \tau s}+\frac{1}{R_{\beta}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s}+\tilde{C}_{36}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau \tau^{k}}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{66}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{12}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{66}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{22}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{36}^{k} J_{\beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}+\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{16}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}^{k \tau s}+\tilde{C}_{26}^{k} J^{k \tau s}\right) \\
& +n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{23}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}+\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{12}^{k} J^{k \tau s}+\frac{1}{R_{\beta}^{k}} \tilde{C}_{22}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}-\left(\frac{\lambda}{R_{\alpha}}\right) \tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}^{k \tau}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J^{k \tau s}-\left(\frac{\lambda}{R_{\alpha}}\right) \tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J_{\beta}^{k \tau s_{z}}-\left(\frac{\lambda}{R_{\beta}}\right) \tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J^{k \tau s}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\alpha}^{k \tau s_{z}}-\left(\frac{\lambda}{R_{\beta}}\right) \tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{k \tau s}\right) \\
\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & n_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{C}_{55}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{k \tau s}}^{k \tau} \partial_{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\beta}\right)+n_{\beta}\left(\tilde{C}_{44}^{k} J_{\frac{\beta}{\beta}}^{k \tau} \partial_{\beta}+\tilde{C}_{45}^{k} J^{k \tau s} \partial_{\alpha}\right) \tag{5.33}
\end{align*}
$$

For composite structures in ESL (Equivalent Single Layer) theory for layer expansion, all the layers accumulate (see Figure 5.2) but in layer-wise approach all the layers expand like finite element assemblage with considering the continuity condition between the layers (see Figure 5.3).

Note that the first-order shear deformation theory (FSDT) can be easily recovered from $E D 1$ theory after imposing the condition of null transverse normal stresses $\sigma_{z z}^{k}$ and introducing a shear $z z$ correction factor $\kappa$. The corresponding modified elastic coefficients are given by:


Figure 5.1: Assemblage of nuclei via $\tau$ and $s$

## LAYER 2



Figure 5.2: Assemblage from layer to multi-layered level in ESL description

## LAYER 2



Figure 5.3: Assemblage from layer to multi-layered level in layer-wise description


Figure 5.4: ESLM assumption. Linear and cubic cases


Figure 5.5: LWM assumption. Linear and cubic cases

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\tilde{C_{i j}^{k}}=C_{i j}^{k}-\frac{C_{i 3}^{k} C_{j 3}^{k}}{C_{33}^{k}} & (i, j)=1,2 \\
\tilde{C_{i i}^{k}}=C_{i i}^{k} & i=4,5 \tag{5.34}
\end{array}
$$

In the case of isotropic thin rectangular plates for evaluation of natural frequencies of fully clamped, fully simply supported and CSCS boundary condition theory of ED3 is enough for CFCF and SFSF boundaries theory of ED4 is enough and for SFFF it is worth to use theory of ED5. For cantilever plate, in the case of SSFF and CCFF boundaries it is better using eq. (5.34) to recover them from ED1 because in thin plates results don't converge to good results.

### 5.2 Sector annular plates

Assume a sector annular plate with inner radius $R_{i}$, outer radius $R_{0}$ and thickness $h$. Radial direction is defined by $R_{i} \leq r \leq R_{0}$ which is after normalization by $\gamma=R_{0}, \zeta=r / \gamma$ and using $\beta=R_{i} / R_{o}$ becomes $\beta \leq \zeta \leq 1$ and in circumferential direction which is defined by $0 \leq \theta \leq \phi$ and $0<\phi<2 \pi$ which is after normalization by $\eta=\frac{\theta}{\phi}$ becomes $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and thickness direction is $-\frac{h}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}$ which is after normalization becomes $-1 \leq \xi_{k} \leq 1$.

The displacements vector $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)$ of a generic point of the plate is given by:

$$
\mathbf{u}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\zeta}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)  \tag{5.35}\\
u_{\eta}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right) \\
u_{z}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Strain components are grouped into an in-plane strain vector $\epsilon_{p}$ and out-of-plane strain vector $\epsilon_{n}$ as follow

$$
\epsilon_{p}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\zeta \zeta}  \tag{5.36}\\
\epsilon_{\eta \eta} \\
\epsilon_{\zeta \eta}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \epsilon_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\zeta z} \\
\epsilon_{\eta z} \\
\epsilon_{z z}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In linear framework, strains vector are related to displacements through the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{p} & =D_{p} u^{k} \\
\epsilon_{n} & =D_{n} u^{k} \tag{5.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
D_{p}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial_{\zeta}} & 0 & 0  \tag{5.38}\\
\frac{1}{\zeta} & \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\eta}} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\eta}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\zeta}}-\frac{1}{\zeta} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad D_{n}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{z} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\zeta}} \\
0 & \partial_{z} & \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\eta}} \\
0 & 0 & \partial_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Three-dimensional Hook's law and stiffness coefficients are similar to previous section. According to the approach developed by Carrera, an entire class of two-dimensional higher-order plate theories can be described through the following indicial notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)=F_{\tau}(z) \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^{k}(\zeta, \eta, t) \quad\left(\tau=0,1, \ldots, N_{n}\right) \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\tau}(\zeta, \eta, t)$ is the displacements vector containing the unknown kinematic variables related to the specific plate theory, $\tau$ is an integer index related to the order $N_{n}$ of the theory and $F_{\tau}(z)$ are selected functions in the thickness directions. Therefore, displacements vector can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{u}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)=F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u^{k}(\zeta, \eta)  \tag{5.40}\\
v^{k}(\zeta, \eta) \\
w^{k}(\zeta, \eta)
\end{array}\right\} e^{i \omega t}
$$

Principle of virtual displacement describes as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left(\delta\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)^{T}\left(\tilde{C}_{p p}^{k} \epsilon_{p}+\tilde{C}_{p n}^{k} \epsilon_{n}\right)+\delta\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)^{T}\left(\tilde{C}_{n p}^{k} \epsilon_{p}+\tilde{C}_{n n}^{k} \epsilon_{n}\right)\right) d z_{k} \zeta d \zeta d \eta \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left(\delta\left(u^{k}\right) \ddot{u}^{k}+\delta\left(v^{k}\right) \ddot{v}^{k}+\delta\left(w^{k}\right) \ddot{w}^{k}\right) d z_{k} \zeta d \zeta d \eta \tag{5.41}
\end{align*}
$$

After some substitution and integrations by part, nuclei of stiffness matrix and natural boundary condition, respectively are as follow

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}= & -\left(C_{11}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right)-\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2}}+\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\frac{2 C_{16}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{55} E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}}= & \left(-\frac{\left(C_{12}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}+\frac{\left(C_{22}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}-C_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+C_{26}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}-\frac{1}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{45} E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{13}^{\tau^{k}}= & -\left(C_{13}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)-\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta}+\frac{C_{36}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+\left(C_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{45}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}}= & -\left(\frac{\left(C_{12}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}+\frac{\left(C_{22}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{16}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+\frac{2}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+C_{26}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\right)\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{45} E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}= & -\left(\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+C_{66}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\right)+2 C_{26} \frac{1}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{44} E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{23}^{\tau^{k}=}= & -\left(\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{36}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)\right) E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+\left(\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{45} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & \left(C_{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta}+\frac{C_{36}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}-\left(C_{55}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right)+\frac{C_{45}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & \left(\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{36}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)\right) E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}-\left(\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{45}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)\right) E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{L}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}=}= & -\left(\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+C_{55}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right)-C_{45}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+\frac{1}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}\right)\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{33} E_{\tau_{z} s s_{z}}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\rho^{k} E_{\tau s}^{k}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Natural boundary condition on straight sides

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(C_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta}+\frac{C_{16}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{16}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}}=C_{13} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+C_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{26}}{\zeta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(C_{66}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)+\frac{C_{26}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}}=C_{36} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}}=C_{55} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}}=C_{45} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(C_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{45}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \tag{5.43}
\end{align*}
$$

And also natural boundary condition on curved sides

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\left(\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{C_{16}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{26}}{\zeta^{2}}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\left(\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)+\frac{C_{26}}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{36}}{\zeta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\left(\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2}}+\frac{C_{26}}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\left(\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{C_{26}}{\zeta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{45}}{\zeta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\left(\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{C_{45}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \tag{5.44}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\phi=2 \pi$ (complete circular annular plate) continuity condition should be apply on $(\phi=0 \& \phi=2 \pi)$. It means that natural boundary conditions at $\phi=0$ minus natural boundary conditions at $\phi=2 \pi$ in the straight sides direction should be zero as follow

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{3}^{\tau s^{k}}  \tag{5.45}\\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}
\end{array}\right]_{\phi=0}-\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{2 s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}
\end{array}\right]_{\phi=2 \pi}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{s}^{k} \\
v_{s}^{k} \\
w_{s}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It means that instead of sector annular plate which there are four set of equations for four sides, for complete annular plate there are just three set of equations, two set equations for two curved sides and one set equation for applying continuity conditions between two straight sides.

Thickness integrals are similar to rectangular plates as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{\tau s}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau} F_{s} d z & E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau} F_{s z} d z \\
E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau_{z}} F_{s} d z & E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau_{z}} F_{s z} d z \tag{5.46}
\end{array}
$$

### 5.3 Conical shells

Assume a conical shell with length $a$ which is $a=s_{o}-s_{i}$ and thickness $h$ (see Figure 5.6). Length direction is defined by $s_{i} \leq s \leq s_{o}$ which is after normalization by $\zeta=s / \delta, \delta=s_{o}$ and using $\beta=s_{i} / s_{o}$ becomes $\beta \leq \zeta \leq 1$ and the angle between the length direction and axes of conical shell is defined by ( $0<\alpha<\pi / 2$ ) and in circumferential direction which is defined by $0 \leq \theta \leq \phi$ and $0<\phi<2 \pi$ which is after normalization by $\eta=\frac{\theta}{\phi}$ becomes $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and in thickness direction be $-\frac{h}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}$ which is after normalization becomes $-1 \leq \xi_{k} \leq 1$. Half of distance between two opposite points inside of conical shell in each point is evaluated using $R(x)=R_{o}+x \sin \alpha$ or $R_{A}=R_{o}+a \sin \alpha$ and also the coefficients of first fundamental form of $\Omega_{k}$ are $A=1, B=\zeta \sin \alpha$. As seen in conical shell parameters $A, B$ are not constant.

The displacements vector $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}(\zeta, \eta, z, t)$ of a generic point of the shell is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}(\zeta, \eta, z, t)=F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u(\zeta, \eta)  \tag{5.47}\\
v(\zeta, \eta) \\
w(\zeta, \eta)
\end{array}\right\} e^{i \omega t}
$$

Strain components are grouped into an in-plane strain vector $\epsilon_{p}$ and out-of-plane strain vector $\epsilon_{n}$ are as follow

$$
\epsilon_{p}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\zeta \zeta}  \tag{5.48}\\
\epsilon_{\eta \eta} \\
\epsilon_{\zeta \eta}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \epsilon_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{\zeta z} \\
\epsilon_{\eta z} \\
\epsilon_{z z}
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Figure 5.6: Conical shell geometry

In linear framework, strains vector are related to displacements through the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{p}=D_{p} u^{k}+A_{p} u^{k} \\
& \epsilon_{n}=D_{n} u^{k}+A_{n} u^{k} \tag{5.49}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{p}=\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial_{\zeta}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\zeta} & \frac{1}{\zeta \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\eta}} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\zeta \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \partial_{\eta}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\zeta}}-\frac{1}{\zeta} & 0
\end{array}\right], D_{n}=\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{z} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\zeta}} \\
0 & \partial_{z} & \frac{1}{\zeta \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\eta}} \\
0 & 0 & \partial_{z}
\end{array}\right] \\
& A_{p}=\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\zeta \tan \alpha} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], A_{n}=-\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\zeta \tan \alpha} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \tag{5.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Three-dimensional Hook's law and stiffness coefficients are similar to previous sections. According to the approach developed by Carrera, an entire class of two-dimensional higher-order plate theories can be described through the following indicial notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)=F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right) u_{\tau}^{k}(\zeta, \eta, t) \quad\left(\tau=0,1, \ldots, N_{n}\right) \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\tau}(\zeta, \eta, t)$ is the displacements vector containing the unknown kinematic variables related to the specific plate theory, $\tau$ is an integer index related to the order $N_{n}$ of the theory anf $F_{\tau}(z)$ are selected functions in the thickness directions. Therefore, displacements vector can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{u}\left(\zeta, \eta, \xi_{k}, t\right)=F_{\tau}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(\zeta, \eta)  \tag{5.52}\\
v(\zeta, \eta) \\
w(\zeta, \eta)
\end{array}\right\} e^{i \omega t}
$$

Principle of virtual displacement is described as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left(\delta\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)^{T}\left(\tilde{C}_{p p}^{k} \epsilon_{p}+\tilde{C}_{p n}^{k} \epsilon_{n}\right)+\delta\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)^{T}\left(\tilde{C}_{n p}^{k} \epsilon_{p}+\tilde{C}_{n n}^{k} \epsilon_{n}\right)\right) d z_{k}\left(\zeta \sin \alpha+z_{k} \cos \alpha\right) d \zeta d \eta \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}}\left(\delta\left(u^{k}\right) \ddot{u}^{k}+\delta\left(v^{k}\right) \ddot{v}^{k}+\delta\left(w^{k}\right) \ddot{w}^{k}\right) d z_{k}\left(\zeta \sin \alpha+z_{k} \cos \alpha\right) d \zeta d \eta \tag{5.53}
\end{align*}
$$

After some substitution and integrations by part, nuclei of stiffness matrix and natural boundary condition, respectively are as follow

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(C_{11} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{11} \cos \alpha \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}} E z_{\tau s}^{k}-\frac{C_{22} \sin \alpha}{\zeta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}\right. \\
& +\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2} \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n}{ }^{k}+C_{55} \sin \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s z_{z}}^{k}+C_{55} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\frac{\left(C_{12}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{k}+\frac{\left(C_{22}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(C_{12} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{13} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{1}{\zeta}\right) E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+C_{13} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{C_{22} \cos \alpha}{\zeta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}-\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta} \sin \alpha E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}-C_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \sin \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}+C_{55} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\frac{\left(C_{12}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{k}-\frac{\left(C_{22}+C_{66}\right)}{\zeta^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2} \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}+C_{66} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}-C_{66} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}} \sin \alpha E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}\right. \\
& +C_{66} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{{ }^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s}^{k}-C_{44} \sin \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k}-C_{44} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k}+C_{44} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k} \\
& +C_{44} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}-C_{44} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}} \frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{\sin \alpha} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}}=-\left(\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}-\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}+C_{44} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2} \tan \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}+C_{22} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2} \tan \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(C_{12} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau s}^{k}-C_{55} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{1}{\zeta}\right) E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}-C_{55} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{C_{22} \cos \alpha}{\zeta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}+\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta} \sin \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}+C_{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \sin \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}+C_{13} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}-\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+C_{44} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2} \tan \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}+C_{22} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2} \tan \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}\right) \\
& \mathcal{L}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}=\left(\frac{C_{22} \cos ^{2} \alpha}{\zeta^{2} \sin \alpha}-\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta^{2} \phi^{2} \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right) E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}-C_{55} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right) E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
& { }_{-} C_{55} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \zeta^{2}} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s}^{k}+C_{23} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+C_{33} \sin \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
& +C_{23} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}+C_{33} \frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k} \\
& \mathcal{M}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\rho^{k}\left(\sin \alpha E_{\tau s}^{k}+\frac{1}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s}^{k}\right) \quad \mathcal{M}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} \tag{5.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Natural boundary condition on straight sides

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{s^{k}} & =\left(C_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \sin \alpha+\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta} \sin \alpha\right) E_{\tau s}^{k}+\frac{C_{11}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s} \\
\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} & =C_{13} \sin \alpha E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+\frac{C_{13}}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s_{z}}+\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E_{\tau s} \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & =C_{66}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right) \sin \alpha E_{\tau s}^{k}+\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s} \\
\mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} & =0 \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & =C_{55} \sin \alpha E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}+\frac{C_{55}}{\zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s_{z}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & =0 \\
\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} & =C_{55} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \sin \alpha E_{\tau s}^{k}+\frac{C_{55}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \cos \alpha E z_{\tau s}^{k} \tag{5.55}
\end{align*}
$$

Natural boundary condition on curved sides

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta^{2} \phi \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E_{\tau s}^{k}-\frac{C_{66}}{\zeta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} & =0 \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{12}}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E_{\tau s}^{k}+\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2}} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2} \phi \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{22}}{\zeta^{2} \tan \alpha} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{c o n^{k}}+\frac{C_{23}}{\zeta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k} \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & =0 \\
\mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta} E_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}-\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta^{2} \tan \alpha} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}} & =\frac{C_{44}}{\zeta^{2} \phi \sin \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}} \tag{5.56}
\end{align*}
$$

where thickness integrals of conical shell are as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E z_{\tau s}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau} F_{s} z d z & E z_{\tau s_{z}}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau} F_{s z} z d z \\
E z_{\tau_{z} s}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau_{z}} F_{s} z d z & E z_{\tau_{z} s_{z}}^{k}=\int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} F_{\tau_{z}} F_{s z} z d z \\
E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}=E_{\tau s}^{k}-\frac{\cot \alpha}{\zeta} E z_{\tau s}^{k} \tag{5.57}
\end{array}
$$

According to above equations some thickness integrals for conical shells in comparison with sector annular plates has additional $z$ multiplied by the integrand and some of them like $E_{\tau s}^{c o n^{k}}$ are vary with $\zeta$ in the length direction. Based on the Taylor series,

$$
\frac{1}{1+(z / \zeta)}=1-(z / \zeta)+(z / \zeta)^{2}+\ldots
$$

Thickness integrals are assumed in an expansion but just with two first terms. Conical shell panel with $\alpha=\pi / 2$ becomes an annular sector plate, and with $\alpha=0$ becomes a cylindrical shell panel with $\zeta \tan \alpha=\zeta \sin \alpha=R_{\beta}$. For solving complete conical shell problem we can also use eq. (5.45).

Generally, with increasing $N_{n}$ more accurate results can be obtained in both approaches and results are near to the three-dimensional analysis. Because this technique is quasi-3D and with increasing the order of theory they reach to results of 3D analysis. But in some cases it does n't need to evaluate higher-order theories. However, it depends on how many digits accuracy we want to have. In this thesis, five digits accuracy is assumed. Most of the uniform boundary conditions such as fully clamped, simply-supported and free has a good convergence without very high-order theory and sometimes they just need increasing points. But non-uniform boundaries and boundaries including free condition or conditions need higher-order theory rather than the uniform ones.

Solving problems using CUF technique in the Chebyshev spectral collocation framework is similar to first-order shear deformation theory just with more variables, and the number of variables depends on the theories which are expressed before. For single layer theory number of variables are $3\left(N_{n}+1\right)$ and for layer-wise theory number of variables are $3\left(N_{n} N_{l}+1\right)$.

For conical isotropic shell in the case of fully clamped as seen in the table (5.1) ED3 theory is enough and the presented results are very close to hp-finite element analysis based on the three-dimensional theory rather than the other methods. If $\beta, \phi$ are constant, with increasing $\alpha$ frequencies decreased. If $\beta, \alpha$ are constant, with increasing $\phi$ frequencies decreased. If $\alpha, \phi$ are constant, with increasing $\beta$ frequencies increased.

In table (5.2) CUF technique analysis based on spectral collocation method is compared with first-order shear deformation theory based on the GDQ method and threedimensional theory for evaluation of natural frequencies of isotropic spherical shell panel. As seen, results for spectral method are very close to 3 D analysis. For thin isotropic spherical panel shell results are more close to 3D results rather than thick spherical shell panel and for thin panel ED5 theory is enough but for thick and moderately thick panel ED6 theory with more collocation points is needed. Table (5.8) is also shown results for isotropic moderately thick spherical shell panel for some boundary conditions.

For the results of isotropic sector plate which is tabulated in table (5.3), as seen using ED6 is essential for calculating good results. For isotropic sector plate for all considered boundary conditions, fully clamped, fully simply supported, CFCF and FCFC for $\phi$ is constant with increasing $\beta$ frequency decreased. If $\beta$ is constant with increasing of $\phi$ in all boundary condition except CFCF frequency decreased. In table (5.4) ED6 theory with different number of collocation points are presented. one can see convergence of
presented is very fast and with fifteen collocation points four digits accuracy is available for all boundary conditions which are surveyed in this table.

Laminated composite sector annular plate is considered in table (5.5) and ED6 and LD4 theories are shown and as seen for $\beta=0.1$ changing the frequencies from ED1 to ED6 is not so much. Results are compared with analytical solution using Chebyshev polynomials based on the FDST.

In the case of fully simply-supported isotropic cylindrical shells panel which is shown in table (5.9) for all $a / R$ and $a / h$ for evaluating good results theory of ED3 is enough. For fully clamped composite cylindrical shell panel as seen in table (5.10) even the higherorder equivalent single layer theory ED6 can not given good results and for accurate results using higher-order layer-wise theory like ED4 is advised. (CUF) results were compared with 3D analysis, FSDT and FSDT with some modification by Qatu. As seen, presented results are so close to the three-dimensional analysis rather than 2D analysis based on the first-order and first-order-like shear deformation theory.

Table (5.11) is shown results for many boundaries of isotropic moderately thick plates. In the case of fully simply supported, fully free and CSCS ED3 theory is enough for good results but increasing the number of points is recommended. Evaluated results in the case of SSSS, FFFF and CFFF are compared with 3D analysis based on B-Spline Ritz method and results which are obtained for CFCF and CSCS are compared with (CUF) technique based on trigonometric Ritz method. For CFFF, CFCF and SFFF using higher-order rather than ED3 is better. For other boundaries, there are no results for 3D and they are compared with first-order shear deformation theory and as seen FSDT theory can not cover all natural frequencies such as axisymmetric modes like CUF technique. Fully clamped case is shown in table (5.6) and as seen by ED6 theory good results can be obtained. The purpose of author by writing FSDT in this table is FSDT results obtained by the author.

Table (5.12) is shown results for many boundaries of isotropic thin plates. In the case of fully simply supported ED3 theory is really enough but for fully clamped and fully free higher-order theory rather than ED3 should be used. Obtained results for CCCC and SSSS are compared with 3D analysis based on B-Spline Ritz method. For CSCS, CFCF, SFSF, FFFF and SFFF results are compared with classical plate theory. For CFFF, CCFF and SSFF higher-order theories don't show us good converge and good results and it is recommended to use modified elastic coefficients (see eq. (5.34)) and recover them to ED1 theory. The purpose of author by writing CLPT in this table is CLPT results obtained by the author.

In tables (5.7) and (5.13) composite laminated thick rectangular plate is considered and a comparison between applying CUF technique on spectral collocation method and Ritz method using trigonometric functions were presented. As seen results are more close to each other for both approaches such as ESL and LW description.

In table (5.14) results for cylindrical shells panel non-symmetric composite laminated both cross-ply and angle-ply considering many boundary conditions are tabulated and compared with GDQ method.

In table (5.15) results for isotropic moderately thick and shallow spherical shells panel of fully simply-supported, CSCS and SFSF are tabulated and they are compared with FSDT based on analytical solution and 3D based on finite element method.

Three following tables were presented results for isotropic thin plates which are compared with analytical solution and differential quadrature methods, isotropic in-plane vibration of sector annular plates for various boundary conditions from angle $30^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ were investigated in the next table and as seen with increasing sector angle, natural frequencies get decreased, and also results based on the third-order shear deformation theory were tabulated in the last tables for symmetric composite laminated and results just for fundamental frequency are compared with Reddy results.

### 5.4 CUF results

Table 5.1: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of fully clamped isotropic conical shell, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, a / s=0.6, \alpha=60, \phi=30, h / a=0.01$

| Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED1 | 221.8769 | 275.2954 | 323.8748 | 371.8919 |
| ED2 | 209.1731 | 255.4765 | 306.6505 | 349.5340 |
| ED3 | 209.1086 | 255.3170 | 306.5358 | 349.3015 |
| ED4 | 209.1082 | 255.3165 | 306.5352 | 349.3005 |
| ED5 | 209.1081 | 255.3163 | 306.5350 | 349.3002 |
| ED6 | 209.1081 | 255.3163 | 306.5350 | 349.3002 |
| $[170]$ | 209.84 | 257.11 | 307.90 | 351.90 |
| $[172]$ | 207.53 | 266.96 | 318.53 | 367.95 |
| $[171]$ | 213.4 | 262.5 | 314.7 | 358.6 |
| Present FSDT | 205.3911 | 249.8287 | 295.6223 | 337.1081 |

Table 5.2: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic fully clamped spherical panel, $\lambda=\omega a \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}}, R_{/} a=2$

| $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{a}$ | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | ED4 | 0.5803 | 0.5803 | 0.5950 | 0.6343 | 0.6529 | 0.7310 |
|  | $[169]$ | 0.5809 | 0.5809 | 0.5959 | 0.6353 | 0.6542 | 0.7329 |
|  | $[161]$ | 0.5763 | 0.5763 | 0.5913 | 0.6303 | 0.6476 | 0.7260 |
| 0.1 | ED4 | 1.2047 | 1.9451 | 1.9451 | 2.6956 | 3.1561 | 3.2018 |
|  | $[169]$ | 1.1886 | 1.9122 | 1.9122 | 2.6625 | 3.1059 | 3.1579 |
|  | $[161]$ | 1.1988 | 1.9301 | 1.9340 | 2.6828 | 3.1288 | 3.1774 |
| 0.2 | ED4 | 1.7625 | 2.8499 | 2.8499 | 3.7794 | 3.7794 | 3.8449 |
|  | $[169]$ | 1.7360 | 2.8062 | 2.8062 | 3.7322 | 3.7322 | 3.8044 |
|  | $[161]$ | 1.7405 | 2.8036 | 2.8091 | 3.7504 | 3.7572 | 3.7904 |

Table 5.3: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic annular sector plate, $\lambda=$ $\omega R_{0}^{2} \xlongequal{\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}}{D}}, \beta=0.25, \alpha=120, h /\left(R_{o}-R_{i}\right)=0.25, N=10$

| BCs | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | ED1 | 35.3986 | 47.7797 | 62.7673 | 64.1837 | 71.9614 | 80.6985 |
|  | ED2 | 33.6573 | 45.5026 | 61.3768 | 62.7186 | 69.2809 | 78.6371 |
|  | ED3 | 32.7619 | 44.2491 | 59.5719 | 62.6967 | 67.0613 | 76.2618 |
|  | ED4 | 32.7020 | 44.1729 | 59.4590 | 62.6873 | 66.8765 | 76.0791 |
|  | ED5 | 32.6732 | 44.1387 | 59.4125 | 62.6866 | 66.8005 | 76.0269 |
|  | ED6 | 32.6717 | 44.1374 | 59.4109 | 62.6849 | 66.7975 | 76.0251 |
|  | [132] | 32.649 | 44.109 | 59.368 | 62.677 | 66.765 | 75.8690 |

3 | SSSS | ED1 | 23.1489 | 26.2510 | 36.9344 | 39.8405 | 48.6116 | 54.8371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ED2 | 21.3039 | 26.2147 | 34.1419 | 39.8253 | 48.6116 | 51.1613 |
|  | ED3 | 21.0572 | 26.2396 | 33.6369 | 39.8379 | 48.6116 | 50.1696 |
|  | ED4 | 21.0532 | 26.2314 | 33.6268 | 39.8342 | 48.6116 | 50.1382 |
|  | ED5 | 21.0524 | 26.2304 | 33.6264 | 39.8336 | 48.6116 | 50.1377 |
|  | ED6 | 21.0523 | 26.2302 | 33.6263 | 39.8336 | 48.6116 | 50.1377 |
|  | $[132]$ | 21.069 | 26.194 | 33.633 | 39.806 | 48.611 | 50.1450 |

| CFCF | ED1 | 30.3367 | 31.4445 | 38.2895 | 45.5080 | 52.0806 | 56.5740 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ED2 | 29.1072 | 30.4584 | 36.8175 | 45.4947 | 49.5717 | 56.5183 |
|  | ED3 | 28.2890 | 29.6087 | 35.8923 | 45.4913 | 48.3638 | 56.4947 |
|  | ED4 | 28.2344 | 29.5671 | 35.8541 | 45.4900 | 48.3203 | 56.4851 |
|  | ED5 | 28.2067 | 29.5438 | 35.8321 | 45.4898 | 48.3115 | 56.4839 |
|  | ED6 | 28.2059 | 29.5480 | 35.8357 | 45.4900 | 48.3230 | 56.4873 |
|  | $[132]$ | 28.181 | 29.502 | 35.816 | 45.545 | 48.301 | 56.486 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FCFC | ED1 | 7.9138 | 17.5928 | 28.8405 | 29.5850 | 30.5367 | 34.1218 |
|  | ED2 | 7.5333 | 17.0891 | 27.0622 | 29.5739 | 29.7722 | 34.0975 |
|  | ED3 | 7.4718 | 16.8350 | 26.5176 | 29.1703 | 29.5703 | 34.0822 |
|  | ED4 | 7.4694 | 16.8302 | 26.4922 | 29.1560 | 29.5699 | 34.0772 |
|  | ED5 | 7.4677 | 16.8269 | 26.4802 | 29.1506 | 29.5694 | 34.0761 |
|  | ED6 | 7.4679 | 16.8273 | 26.4806 | 29.1507 | 29.5696 | 34.0752 |
|  | [132] | 7.4547 | 16.806 | 26.472 | 29.111 | 29.591 | 34.0820 |

Table 5.4: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic annular sector plate, ED6, $\lambda=\omega R_{0}^{2} / \pi^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, \beta=0.4, \alpha=90, h /\left(R_{o}-R_{i}\right)=1 / 6$

| BCs | Theory | points | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | ED6 | 5 | 6.1022 | 12.4784 | 14.5416 | 17.0971 | 19.2707 | 20.4228 |
|  |  | 10 | 5.9344 | 7.9000 | 10.9296 | 13.1516 | 14.4776 | 14.5668 |
|  |  | 15 | 5.9294 | 7.8911 | 10.9136 | 13.1393 | 14.4850 | 14.5641 |
|  | $[132]$ | 20 | 5.9282 | 7.8896 | 10.9112 | 13.1376 | 14.4822 | 14.5634 |
|  |  |  | 5.9274 | 7.8885 | 10.910 | 13.135 | 14.480 | 14.563 |
| SSSS | ED6 | 5 | 3.3962 | 6.0694 | 9.0964 | 10.9577 | 11.1684 | 14.3688 |
|  |  | 10 | 3.4487 | 5.5706 | 6.0716 | 8.6915 | 9.9610 | 10.2450 |
|  |  | 15 | 3.4469 | 5.5697 | 6.0829 | 8.6811 | 9.9634 | 10.2479 |
|  |  | 20 | 3.4477 | 5.5700 | 6.0802 | 8.6811 | 9.9621 | 10.2473 |
|  | $[132]$ |  | 3.4476 | 5.5699 | 6.0807 | 8.6811 | 9.9620 | 10.248 |
| CSCS | ED6 | 5 | 5.8255 | 10.2205 | 11.1684 | 14.0378 | 17.6629 | 18.6434 |
|  |  | 10 | 5.6682 | 7.1045 | 9.8119 | 11.1946 | 13.0175 | 13.3632 |
|  |  | 15 | 5.6643 | 7.1014 | 9.7995 | 11.1946 | 13.0060 | 13.3056 |
|  |  | 20 | 5.6633 | 7.1005 | 9.7988 | 11.1946 | 13.0044 | 13.3048 |
|  | $[132]$ |  | 5.6625 | 7.0999 | 9.7982 | 11.194 | 13.002 | 13.304 |
| CFCF | ED6 | 5 | 5.4121 | 6.1030 | 6.3060 | 10.2239 | 10.2239 | 11.0141 |
|  |  | 10 | 5.2794 | 5.4918 | 6.5669 | 8.6003 | 10.5850 | 12.0155 |
|  |  | 15 | 5.2633 | 5.5012 | 6.5191 | 8.6298 | 10.5802 | 11.7286 |
|  |  | 20 | 5.2621 | 5.4988 | 6.5180 | 8.6268 | 10.5834 | 11.7292 |
|  | $[132]$ |  | 5.2615 | 5.4983 | 6.5172 | 8.6262 | 10.584 | 11.728 |
| SFSF | ED6 | 5 | 2.4166 | 2.6017 | 3.3787 | 4.4025 | 5.7078 | 5.7078 |
|  |  | 10 | 2.6832 | 3.0558 | 3.2128 | 4.9372 | 5.7993 | 7.4574 |
|  |  | 15 | 2.6593 | 3.1078 | 3.2124 | 4.8665 | 5.8230 | 7.4744 |
|  |  | 20 | 2.6605 | 3.1024 | 3.2109 | 4.8670 | 5.8187 | 7.4714 |
|  | $[132]$ |  | 2.6606 | 3.1058 | 3.2107 | 4.8665 | 5.8203 | 7.4713 |

Table 5.5: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of composite laminated annular sector plate $(0,90), \lambda=\omega R_{0}^{2} / h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}, \beta=0.1, \alpha=60, h / R_{o}=0.2$

| BCs | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | ED1 | 16.1094 | 24.7174 | 24.8425 | 33.9087 | 34.2128 | 34.3279 |
|  | [133] | 16.113 | 24.720 | 24.846 | 33.913 | 34.216 | 34.331 |
|  | ED6 | 15.8618 | 24.3087 | 24.8344 | 33.5711 | 33.7167 | 33.9794 |
|  | LD4 | 16.0183 | 24.5666 | 25.0621 | 33.8683 | 34.1555 | 34.3579 |
| SSSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ED1 | 12.3632 | 12.6608 | 16.7998 | 22.9968 | 23.0005 | 23.1540 |
|  | E133] | 12.363 | 12.695 | 16.962 | 22.997 | 23.021 | 23.176 |
|  | ED6 | 11.8404 | 12.3632 | 16.3722 | 21.7090 | 21.8228 | 22.9969 |
|  | LD4 | 11.9518 | 12.3632 | 16.4511 | 21.9456 | 22.0521 | 22.9968 |
| CSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ED1 | 12.3632 | 13.9785 | 22.9968 | 23.1404 | 24.1258 | 32.7192 |
|  | [133] | 12.363 | 13.983 | 22.997 | 23.141 | 24.130 | 32.722 |
|  | ED6 | 12.3632 | 13.3205 | 22.1404 | 22.9968 | 23.0517 | 31.5083 |
|  | LD4 | 12.3632 | 13.4133 | 22.3318 | 22.9968 | 23.3013 | 31.8956 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FCFC | ED1 | 8.9157 | 16.3227 | 17.8928 | 25.3442 | 25.6553 | 27.7412 |
|  | [133] | 8.918 | 16.323 | 17.906 | 25.352 | 25.688 | 27.767 |
|  | ED6 | 8.5433 | 15.8179 | 17.6881 | 24.5587 | 24.6480 | 27.3918 |
|  | LD4 | 8.6238 | 15.9855 | 17.8008 | 24.6746 | 24.8778 | 27.4883 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSFS | ED1 | 0.8991 | 5.4611 | 15.0103 | 15.1506 | 16.7246 | 22.3287 |
|  | [133] | 0.899 | 5.460 | 15.022 | 15.151 | 16.725 | 22.375 |
|  | ED6 | 0.8995 | 5.1803 | 13.9355 | 14.1580 | 16.7246 | 22.0869 |
|  | LD4 | 0.9002 | 5.1735 | 13.9467 | 14.2799 | 16.7244 | 22.1309 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSFS | ED1 | 0.8991 | 5.3782 | 14.3496 | 15.1332 | 16.7246 | 17.6225 |
|  | [133] | 0.899 | 5.377 | 14.388 | 15.133 | 16.725 | 17.681 |
|  | ED6 | 0.8993 | 5.0778 | 13.4483 | 14.1407 | 16.7244 | 17.1805 |
|  | LD4 | 0.9002 | 5.0740 | 13.4296 | 14.2632 | 16.7244 | 17.2194 |

Table 5.6: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic fully clamped square plate, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, h / a=0.1$

| Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED1 | 35.926 | 68.464 | 68.464 | 95.873 | 112.93 | 114.04 |
| [180] | 35.927 | 68.465 | 68.465 | 95.874 | 112.94 | 114.04 |
| ED2 | 33.122 | 63.611 | 63.611 | 89.503 | 105.78 | 106.76 |
| [180] | 33.127 | 63.619 | 63.619 | 89.519 | 105.80 | 106.78 |
| ED3 | 32.782 | 62.651 | 62.651 | 87.918 | 103.68 | 104.67 |
| [180] | 32.785 | 62.655 | 62.655 | 87.924 | 103.69 | 104.67 |
| ED4 | 32.754 | 62.589 | 62.589 | 87.824 | 103.56 | 104.54 |
| [180] | 32.768 | 62.610 | 62.610 | 87.862 | 103.60 | 104.58 |
| ED5 | 32.745 | 62.569 | 62.569 | 87.793 | 103.52 | 104.50 |
| [180] | 32.759 | 62.592 | 62.592 | 87.831 | 103.56 | 104.54 |
| [191] | 32.743 | 62.562 | 62.562 | 87.783 | 103.51 | 104.49 |
| [131] | 32.749 | 62.577 | 62.577 | 87.801 | 103.60 | 104.59 |

Table 5.7: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of composite fully clamped square plate, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} / h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}}, h / a=0.25, E_{1} / E_{2}=25, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.5 E_{2}, G_{23}=0.2 E_{2}, \nu=0.25$

| Lay-up | Theory | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(-30 / 45)$ | LD1 | Present | 9.1092 | 14.0432 | 15.2005 | 19.2441 |
|  |  | $[181]$ | 9.103 | 14.043 | 15.191 | 19.246 |
|  | LD2 | Present | 8.9607 | 13.7798 | 14.9869 | 18.8701 |
|  |  | $[181]$ | 8.954 | 13.777 | 14.979 | 18.865 |
|  | LD3 | Present | 8.7491 | 13.4836 | 14.6418 | 18.4747 |
|  |  | $[181]$ | 8.740 | 13.480 | 14.630 | 18.467 |
|  | LD4 | Present | 8.7445 | 13.4740 | 14.6307 | 18.4588 |
|  |  | $[181]$ | 8.736 | 13.471 | 14.620 | 18.452 |

Table 5.8: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic spherical panel shell, ED3, $\lambda=\omega a \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}}, a / h=10, a / R=0.2$

| BCs | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSSS | 6.06 | 13.88 | 13.88 | 19.25 | 19.25 | 21.22 | 25.84 | 25.84 |
| CSCS | 8.38 | 15.01 | 18.10 | 19.25 | 24.03 | 26.40 | 30.94 | 34.00 |
| SFSF | 2.87 | 4.64 | 10.39 | 11.03 | 12.97 | 14.65 | 18.86 | 19.25 |

Table 5.9: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic cylindrical panel shell, $\lambda=$ $\omega a \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}}$

| $a / h$ | $a / R$ | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | ED1 | 11.3328 | 16.0687 | 22.2990 | 26.8150 | 31.1816 |
|  |  | ED2 | 11.0456 | 14.6575 | 21.3004 | 24.7001 | 28.3594 |
|  |  | ED3 | 11.0168 | 14.4443 | 21.1659 | 24.3685 | 27.8845 |
|  |  | $[155]$ | 11.017 | 14.444 | 21.166 | 24.368 | 27.884 |
| 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ED1 | 15.8645 | 18.0008 | 29.8369 | 30.1451 | 33.9856 |
|  |  | ED3 | 14.5617 | 17.9045 | 27.178453 | 26.7273 | 28.5443 |
|  |  | [155] | $14-.562$ | 17.945 | 26.727 | 28.324 | 33.4551 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.5 | ED1 | 8.0896 | 16.1370 | 17.9155 | 25.8598 | 31.5286 |
|  |  | ED2 | 7.6166 | 14.6503 | 16.5872 | 23.5739 | 28.6643 |
|  |  | ED3 | 7.5523 | 14.4231 | 16.3896 | 23.2063 | 28.1834 |
|  |  | [155] | 7.5523 | 14.423 | 16.390 | 23.206 | 28.183 |
| 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ED1 | 7.5363 | 14.8044 | 16.7495 | 19.4833 | 19.4912 |
|  |  | ED3 | 7.1361 | 13.5547 | 15.6516 | 19.4833 | 19.4912 |
|  |  | [155] | 7.0810 | 13.3097 | 15.4528 | 19.8692 | 19.8771 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.309 | 15.452 |
| 19.869 | 19.877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 2 | ED1 | 9.7346 | 13.6845 | 19.4833 | 23.2538 | 26.7183 |
|  |  | ED2 | 9.5723 | 12.6115 | 19.4833 | 21.7269 | 24.6695 |
|  |  | ED3 | 9.5791 | 12.3982 | 19.6570 | 21.4038 | 24.1363 |
|  |  | [155] | 9.5791 | 12.397 | 19.657 | 21.401 | 24.131 |
| 10 | 0.5 | ED1 | 6.7037 | 15.1106 | 15.6176 | 19.4833 | 19.4853 |
|  |  | ED2 | 6.1750 | 13.8133 | 14.3646 | 19.4833 | 19.4853 |
|  |  | ED3 | 6.0921 | 13.5601 | 14.1245 | 19.8692 | 19.8712 |
|  |  | [155] | 6.0921 | 13.560 | 14.124 | 19.869 | 19.871 |

Table 5.10: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of composite fully clamped cylindrical panel, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} / h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}, h / a=0.1, R / a=0.5, E_{1} / E_{2}=25, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.5 E_{2}, G_{23}=$ $0.2 E_{2}, \nu=0.25$

| Lay-up | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0 / 90)_{3}$ | ED1 | 30.0179 | 42.3381 | 42.4674 | 50.9338 | 58.8817 |
|  | ED2 | 29.8503 | 42.1047 | 42.2398 | 50.6407 | 58.5555 |
|  | ED3 | 27.9026 | 39.4615 | 39.7030 | 47.3786 | 55.2173 |
|  | ED4 | 27.8579 | 39.4010 | 39.6305 | 47.2839 | 55.1055 |
|  | ED5 | 27.8361 | 39.3607 | 39.6054 | 47.2393 | 55.0671 |
|  | ED6 | 27.6163 | 39.0386 | 39.3285 | 46.8647 | 54.6645 |
|  | LD1 | 26.5404 | 37.4972 | 38.0157 | 45.0429 | 52.9214 |
|  | LD2 | 26.3778 | 37.2541 | 37.8167 | 44.7640 | 52.6438 |
|  | LD3 | 26.3446 | 37.1903 | 37.7761 | 44.6925 | 52.5785 |
|  | LD4 | 26.3444 | 37.1899 | 37.7759 | 44.6922 | 52.5781 |
|  | [156] | 26.249 | 37.072 | 37.624 | 44.511 | 52.327 |
|  | [195] | 28.319 | 40.005 | 40.196 | 47.953 | 55.815 |
|  | [195] | 28.417 | 40.157 | 40.346 | 48.160 | 56.039 |
| $(45 /-45)_{3}$ | ED1 | 40.8623 | 42.4399 | 48.2068 | 51.7877 | 58.7900 |
|  | ED2 | 40.7293 | 42.3110 | 48.0840 | 51.6059 | 58.5805 |
|  | ED3 | 39.1107 | 40.5967 | 46.6800 | 49.2976 | 55.9288 |
|  | ED4 | 39.0344 | 40.5094 | 46.6179 | 49.1983 | 55.8203 |
|  | ED5 | 39.0198 | 40.4848 | 46.6055 | 49.1703 | 55.7955 |
|  | ED6 | 38.8423 | 40.2739 | 46.4503 | 48.9275 | 55.4854 |
|  | LD1 | 37.7460 | 38.9171 | 45.7545 | 47.8411 | 53.9360 |
|  | LD2 | 37.5960 | 38.7264 | 45.6185 | 47.6556 | 53.6998 |
|  | LD3 | 37.5828 | 38.6984 | 45.6079 | 47.6308 | 53.6697 |
|  | LD4 | 37.5828 | 38.6982 | 45.6078 | 47.6306 | 53.6696 |
|  | [156] | 37.562 | 38.711 | 45.369 | 47.324 | 53.543 |
|  | [195] | 39.462 | 40.872 | 46.916 | 49.538 | 56.500 |
|  | [195] | 39.578 | 41.011 | 47.437 | 50.070 | 56.788 |
| (30/60/45) | ED1 | 31.0278 | 38.2398 | 47.0649 | 48.4109 | 52.2447 |
|  | ED2 | 30.8300 | 37.9586 | 46.7812 | 48.0276 | 51.9510 |
|  | ED3 | 29.9638 | 36.8070 | 45.6621 | 46.8861 | 51.0476 |
|  | ED4 | 29.8869 | 36.7278 | 45.5507 | 46.7917 | 50.8401 |
|  | ED5 | 29.8520 | 36.6726 | 45.4993 | 46.7301 | 50.7885 |
|  | ED6 | 29.8348 | 36.6550 | 45.4704 | 46.7075 | 50.7497 |
|  | LD1 | 30.3188 | 37.4267 | 46.1967 | 47.5967 | 51.7574 |
|  | LD2 | 29.8627 | 36.7875 | 45.5764 | 46.8988 | 51.1529 |
|  | LD3 | 29.8021 | 36.6964 | 45.4793 | 46.7904 | 51.1068 |
|  | LD4 | 29.7997 | 36.6927 | 45.4757 | 46.7856 | 51.1048 |
|  | [156] | 29.778 | 36.579 | 45.383 | 46.621 | 50.667 |
|  | [195] | 29.832 | 36.505 | 45.445 | 46.441 | 51.166 |
|  | [195] | 29.908 | 36.690 | 45.599 | 46.551 | 51.587 |

Table 5.11: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic plate $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, h / a=0.1$

| BCs | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSSS | ED1 | 21.089 | 50.279 | 50.279 | 64.383 | 64.383 | 77.112 | 91.052 | 93.925 |
|  | ED2 | 19.149 | 45.938 | 45.938 | 64.383 | 64.383 | 70.817 | 86.513 | 86.513 |
|  | ED3 | 19.090 | 45.621 | 45.621 | 64.383 | 64.383 | 70.112 | 85.501 | 85.501 |
|  | [131] | 19.090 | 45.619 | 45.619 | 64.383 | 64.383 | 70.104 | 85.487 | 85.487 |
| CFFF | ED1 | 3.7242 | 8.2872 | 21.248 | 21.828 | 27.675 | 29.546 | 50.115 | 52.365 |
|  | ED2 | 3.3569 | 8.0628 | 20.326 | 21.817 | 25.647 | 28.637 | 48.236 | 52.329 |
|  | ED3 | 3.4271 | 8.0753 | 20.146 | 21.820 | 25.541 | 28.337 | 47.689 | 52.356 |
|  | [131] | 3.4387 | 8.0746 | 20.152 | 21.796 | 25.541 | 28.325 | 47.677 | 52.302 |
| FFFF | ED1 | 12.839 | 19.325 | 26.293 | 32.974 | 32.978 | 58.107 | 60.377 | 60.377 |
|  | ED2 | 12.796 | 18.983 | 23.398 | 32.167 | 32.167 | 55.684 | 56.004 | 56.374 |
|  | ED3 | 12.739 | 18.949 | 23.343 | 31.959 | 31.959 | 55.480 | 55.590 | 55.898 |
|  | [131] | 12.723 | 18.954 | 23.345 | 31.955 | 31.955 | 55.490 | 55.490 | 55.821 |
| CFCF | ED1 | 22.477 | 25.590 | 41.576 | 57.406 | 58.715 | 61.293 | 74.948 | 77.680 |
|  | ED2 | 20.938 | 24.405 | 39.117 | 53.935 | 58.406 | 58.704 | 69.507 | 73.808 |
|  | ED3 | 20.768 | 24.167 | 38.719 | 53.159 | 57.523 | 58.700 | 68.765 | 72.634 |
|  | [180] | 20.779 | 24.179 | 38.730 | 53.182 | 57.540 | 58.722 | 68.785 |  |
| CSCS | ED1 | 29.469 | 54.255 | 64.383 | 65.359 | 86.962 | 95.884 | 111.795 | 113.713 |
|  | ED2 | 27.060 | 49.830 | 60.616 | 64.383 | 80.688 | 88.516 | 104.594 | 113.680 |
|  | ED3 | 26.831 | 49.363 | 59.745 | 64.383 | 79.487 | 87.392 | 102.560 | 113.067 |
|  | [180] | 26.840 | 49.368 | 59.764 | 64.383 | 79.502 | 87.395 | 102.59 |  |
| SFSF | ED1 | 10.097 | 15.898 | 36.372 | 39.337 | 45.356 | 48.764 | 64.382 | 66.548 |
|  | ED2 | 9.4635 | 15.468 | 34.112 | 36.658 | 43.226 | 48.755 | 62.973 | 64.383 |
|  | ED3 | 9.4461 | 15.407 | 33.909 | 36.438 | 42.899 | 48.755 | 62.337 | 64.383 |
|  | FSDT | 9.4406 | 15.389 | 33.859 | 36.357 | 42.792 |  | 62.146 |  |
| SSFF | ED1 | 3.3102 | 17.066 | 20.182 | 37.225 | 40.194 | 49.648 | 53.507 | 68.701 |
|  | ED2 | 3.2948 | 16.628 | 18.585 | 35.429 | 40.191 | 47.029 | 49.312 | 64.781 |
|  | ED3 | 3.2885 | 16.572 | 18.523 | 35.190 | 40.193 | 46.708 | 48.968 | 64.138 |
|  | FSDT | 3.2859 | 16.560 | 18.505 | 35.127 |  | 46.601 | 48.842 | 63.936 |
| CCFF | ED1 | 7.0581 | 23.168 | 27.030 | 44.772 | 51.041 | 58.728 | 63.018 | 65.403 |
|  | ED2 | 6.7444 | 22.370 | 24.987 | 42.641 | 51.028 | 55.839 | 58.424 | 65.388 |
|  | ED3 | 6.6775 | 22.210 | 24.819 | 42.191 | 51.072 | 55.248 | 57.779 | 65.411 |
|  | FSDT | 6.6731 | 22.143 | 24.721 | 41.998 |  | 54.903 | 57.425 |  |
| SFFF | ED1 | 6.4142 | 15.317 | 24.519 | 26.405 | 46.140 | 50.071 | 55.102 | 56.585 |
|  | ED2 | 6.3925 | 14.518 | 23.868 | 24.702 | 44.341 | 46.547 | 53.121 | 56.583 |
|  | ED3 | 6.3719 | 14.487 | 23.738 | 24.629 | 44.122 | 45.940 | 52.846 | 56.590 |

Table 5.12: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of isotropic plate $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}, h / a=$

| 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BCs | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| CCCC | ED1 | 39.780 | 81.057 | 81.057 | 119.40 | 145.12 | 145.82 | 181.83 | 181.83 |
|  | ED2 | 35.993 | 73.349 | 73.349 | 108.06 | 131.35 | 131.98 | 164.60 | 164.60 |
|  | ED3 | 35.987 | 73.329 | 73.329 | 108.02 | 131.30 | 131.93 | 164.52 | 164.52 |
|  | [131] | 35.977 | 73.315 | 73.315 | 108.00 | 131.39 | 132.02 | 164.56 | 164.56 |
| SSSS | ED1 | 21.839 | 54.567 | 54.567 | 87.260 | 109.03 | 109.03 | 141.66 | 141.66 |
|  | ED2 | 19.733 | 49.309 | 49.309 | 78.857 | 98.540 | 98.540 | 128.04 | 128.04 |
|  | ED3 | 19.732 | 49.304 | 49.304 | 78.846 | 98.523 | 98.523 | 128.01 | 128.01 |
|  | [131] | 19.732 | 49.305 | 49.305 | 78.846 | 98.525 | 98.525 | 128.01 | 128.01 |
| FFFF | ED1 | 13.454 | 21.720 | 27.584 | 35.786 | 35.873 | 61.248 | 66.380 | 67.078 |
|  | ED2 | 13.390 | 19.609 | 24.301 | 34.744 | 34.755 | 61.081 | 61.081 | 63.738 |
|  | ED3 | 13.783 | 19.581 | 24.260 | 34.784 | 34.809 | 61.000 | 61.000 | 62.934 |
|  | [31] | 13.419 | 19.589 | 24.258 | 34.669 | 34.669 | 61.016 | 61.016 | 63.355 |
| CSCS | ED1 | 32.012 | 60.509 | 76.581 | 104.43 | 112.89 | 142.41 | 154.68 | 170.65 |
|  | ED2 | 28.954 | 54.704 | 69.285 | 94.463 | 102.05 | 128.88 | 139.89 | 154.42 |
|  | ED3 | 28.970 | 54.713 | 69.341 | 94.509 | 102.04 | 128.95 | 139.91 | 154.47 |
|  | [31] | 28.950 | 54.743 | 69.327 | 94.585 | 102.21 | 129.09 | 140.20 | 154.77 |
| CFCF | ED1 | 24.227 | 28.087 | 46.810 | 66.812 | 72.084 | 86.742 | 94.171 | 131.14 |
|  | ED2 | 22.195 | 26.358 | 43.578 | 61.187 | 67.028 | 79.505 | 87.505 | 119.97 |
|  | ED3 | 22.186 | 26.654 | 43.367 | 61.172 | 67.567 | 79.681 | 87.159 | 119.91 |
|  | CLPT | 22.165 | 26.402 | 43.591 | 61.170 | 67.166 | 79.813 | 87.587 | 120.09 |
|  | [31] | 22.272 | 26.529 | 43.664 | 61.466 | 67.549 | 79.904 |  |  |
| SFSF | ED1 | 10.357 | 16.607 | 39.284 | 42.308 | 49.483 | 75.559 | 81.888 | 95.897 |
|  | ED2 | 9.6529 | 16.082 | 36.716 | 38.966 | 46.615 | 70.692 | 75.003 | 87.926 |
|  | ED3 | 9.6236 | 16.404 | 36.489 | 38.887 | 47.122 | 70.259 | 75.138 | 87.773 |
|  | CLPT | 9.6314 | 16.134 | 36.725 | 38.945 | 46.738 | 70.740 | 75.283 | 87.986 |
|  | [31] | 9.6314 | 16.134 | 36.725 | 38.945 | 46.738 | 70.740 | 75.283 | 87.986 |
| SFFF | ED1 | 6.6618 | 15.837 | 26.124 | 27.901 | 50.984 | 54.817 | 61.319 | 71.146 |
|  | ED2 | 6.6290 | 14.925 | 25.301 | 26.007 | 48.411 | 50.545 | 58.541 | 65.064 |
|  | ED3 | 6.8310 | 14.880 | 25.696 | 25.962 | 48.211 | 50.410 | 58.974 | 65.106 |
|  | CLPT | 6.6797 | 14.904 | 25.453 | 26.047 | 48.457 | 50.699 | 58.767 | 65.275 |
|  | [31] | 6.6480 | 15.023 | 25.492 | 26.126 | 48.711 | 50.849 |  |  |
| CFFF | ED1 | 3.4696 | 8.5079 | 21.261 | 27.144 | 30.907 | 54.924 | 61.145 | 63.980 |
|  | [131] | 3.4712 | 8.4826 | 21.273 | 27.150 | 30.861 | 53.951 | 61.278 | 64.078 |
| CCFF | ED1 | 6.9325 | 23.865 | 26.564 | 47.497 | 62.582 | 65.424 | 85.324 | 88.018 |
|  | [31] | 6.4921 | 24.034 | 26.681 | 47.785 | 63.039 | 65.833 |  |  |
| SSFF | ED1 | 3.3792 | 17.303 | 19.290 | 38.134 | 50.965 | 53.425 | 72.714 | 74.414 |
|  | [31] | 3.3687 | 17.407 | 19.367 | 38.291 | 51.324 | 53.738 |  |  |

Table 5.13: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of composite laminated plate, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} / h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}$

| Case | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC | ED1 | 9.1684 | 14.154 | 15.337 | 19.431 | 20.333 | 22.577 |
| $(-30,45), a / h=4$ | ED2 | 9.1094 | 14.046 | 15.213 | 19.257 | 20.164 | 22.361 |
|  | ED3 | 8.8940 | 13.701 | 14.895 | 18.765 | 19.684 | 21.948 |
|  | ED4 | 8.8692 | 13.664 | 14.849 | 18.723 | 19.637 | 21.865 |
|  | [181](ED4) | 8.8590 | 13.660 | 14.837 | 18.715 |  |  |
|  | LD1 | 9.1092 | 14.043 | 15.200 | 19.244 | 20.162 | 22.333 |
|  | LD2 | 8.9606 | 13.779 | 14.986 | 18.869 | 19.775 | 22.048 |
|  | LD3 | 8.7490 | 13.483 | 14.642 | 18.474 | 19.381 | 21.562 |
|  | [181](LD3) | 8.740 | 13.480 | 14.630 | 18.467 |  |  |
| FCCF | ED1 | 2.8606 | 7.766 | 8.0184 | 11.373 | 15.620 | 15.653 |
| $(0,90), a / h=4$ | ED2 | 2.8425 | 7.701 | 7.9465 | 11.305 | 15.310 | 15.430 |
|  | ED3 | 2.8113 | 7.566 | 7.8014 | 11.132 | 14.949 | 15.084 |
|  | ED4 | 2.8040 | 7.523 | 7.7576 | 11.093 | 14.829 | 14.910 |
|  | [181](ED4) | 2.804 | 7.510 | 7.748 | 11.106 |  |  |
|  | LD1 | 2.8454 | 7.673 | 7.9282 | 11.313 | 15.256 | 15.280 |
|  | LD2 | 2.8210 | 7.587 | 7.8266 | 11.184 | 14.980 | 14.988 |
|  | LD3 | 2.7875 | 7.434 | 7.6663 | 10.983 | 14.653 | 14.663 |
|  | [181](LD3) | 2.788 | 7.435 | 7.667 | 10.986 |  |  |
| FCFC | ED1 | 5.2478 | 6.366 | 10.949 | 11.351 | 12.619 | 12.947 |
| $(0,45), a / h=4$ | ED2 | 5.2039 | 6.111 | 10.822 | 11.338 | 12.103 | 12.875 |
|  | ED3 | 5.0380 | 5.869 | 10.497 | 11.027 | 12.045 | 12.471 |
|  | ED4 | 5.0270 | 5.917 | 10.505 | 10.928 | 12.272 | 12.272 |
|  | [181](ED4) | 4.946 | 5.956 | 10.018 | 10.591 |  |  |
|  | LD1 | 5.1096 | 6.148 | 10.168 | 10.810 | 11.556 | 13.143 |
|  | LD2 | 4.9629 | 5.986 | 10.030 | 10.604 | 11.235 | 12.955 |
|  | LD3 | 4.8995 | 5.910 | 9.9491 | 10.533 | 11.085 | 12.729 |
|  | [181](LD3) | 4.903 | 5.913 | 9.954 | 10.541 |  |  |
| SSSS | ED1 | 10.665 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 26.415 | 26.415 | 36.576 |
| $(0,90), a / h=4$ | ED2 | 10.463 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 25.395 | 25.395 | 35.199 |
|  | ED3 | 10.431 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 25.214 | 25.214 | 34.908 |
|  | ED4 | 10.364 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 24.757 | 24.757 | 34.332 |
|  | [181](ED4) | 10.364 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LD1 | 10.453 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 25.177 | 25.177 | 34.937 |
|  | LD2 | 10.414 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 25.065 | 25.065 | 34.754 |
|  | LD3 | 10.336 | 24.334 | 24.334 | 24.590 | 24.590 | 34.109 |
|  | [181](LD4) | 10.336 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.14: Non-dimensional natural frequencies of composite laminated shell, $\lambda=$ $\frac{\omega a^{2}}{h} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}, a / h=10, a / R=2$

| Lay-up | BCs | Theory | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(-45,45)_{3}$ | CCCC | LD2 | 26.719 | 37.853 | 38.238 | 45.391 | 52.993 |
|  |  | $[156]$ | 26.249 | 37.072 | 37.624 | 44.511 | 52.327 |


|  | SSSS | LD2 | 22.510 | 26.135 | 37.383 | 39.601 | 41.207 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [156] | 22.842 | 26.432 | 37.744 | 38.975 | 41.111 |
|  | CSCS | LD2 | 27.515 | 35.046 | 38.139 | 44.516 | 49.094 |
|  |  | [156] | 27.675 | 35.182 | 38.499 | 44.261 | 48.880 |
|  | CFCF | LD2 | 15.156 | 17.636 | 26.840 | 28.633 | 30.885 |
|  |  | [156] | 15.344 | 17.798 | 26.640 | 28.518 | 31.178 |
|  | CFSF | LD2 | 11.358 | 13.268 | 19.794 | 26.367 | 28.726 |
|  |  | [156] | 11.251 | 13.155 | 19.310 | 26.175 | 28.562 |
|  | FCFS | LD2 | 5.870 | 11.777 | 20.315 | 22.092 | 23.994 |
|  |  | [156] | 5.846 | 11.398 | 19.907 | 21.586 | 24.115 |
| $(0,90){ }_{3}$ | CCCC | LD2 | 37.487 | 38.597 | 45.676 | 47.692 | 53.624 |
|  |  | [156] | 37.562 | 38.711 | 45.369 | 47.324 | 53.543 |
|  | SSSS | LD2 | 14.445 | 22.983 | 31.169 | 33.616 | 38.710 |
|  |  | [156] | 14.018 | 22.265 | 30.663 | 32.825 | 37.790 |
|  | CSCS | LD2 | 16.581 | 24.562 | 32.640 | 35.181 | 39.624 |
|  |  | [156] | 16.338 | 24.020 | 32.222 | 34.513 | 38.824 |
|  | CFCF | LD2 | 13.287 | 15.061 | 20.798 | 27.454 | 29.723 |
|  |  | [156] | 13.185 | 14.946 | 20.394 | 27.278 | 29.563 |
|  | CFSF | LD2 | 12.950 | 13.931 | 21.850 | 23.089 | 27.703 |
|  |  | [156] | 13.127 | 14.149 | 21.550 | 23.253 | 27.606 |
|  | FCFS | LD2 | 4.6021 | 15.610 | 16.849 | 27.363 | 28.443 |
|  |  | [156] | 4.733 | 15.322 | 17.011 | 27.282 | 28.683 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 08＊$\ddagger$ I | ¢0＇EL | 60＇LI | ¢10 0 | モ9．$\ddagger$ | $88^{\circ} 7$ | （व¢）NGA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L9．6I | 98．81 | 78＇圴 | 86\％ ZL | 80＇LI | 0ヵ．01 | 79＇ஏ | 28.7 | ［891＇29I］ |
| Lでも¢ | ZI＇te | ¢1＇¢¢ | 7900¢ | ¢0：87 | 92：2\％ | 96．も\％ | LI＇¢6 | 26．61 | 89＇61 | 28．81 | 62＇もI | 96\％ CL | 9600 | LEOL | $99^{\circ} \mathrm{\square}$ | 98.7 | ¢GA |
| LZ゙も¢ | 2I＇现 | 99．8¢ | 60＇t¢ | 07．88 | 9L：2\％ | 97＇9\％ | 88．86 | 衡07 | 89＇61 | 2061 | 62＇もI | 90 ¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \＆0＇LI | ¢T0I | $89^{\circ}$ | 98.7 | 2，GH |
| 2T：GE | ¢7＇现 | \＆1＇も¢ | 2I＇78 | $97 \times 6$ | LL＇LZ | \＆1．9\％ | 88＇もち | 20＇ti | 89＇61 | 89＇6I | 62＇tI | 97 ¢ $¢$ | 69＇LI | 1800 | 92＇も | 26.7 | LGH |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HSAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［9．97 | 91．も\％ | 67．6I | 8I＇8I | 90．gi | LV．8 | （व¢）NGA |
|  |  |  | 0才＇ct |  |  | 02．98 |  | モ¢ $\ddagger$ |  | 02．0¢ |  |  | $67^{\circ} 6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 00＊8I |  | 98：8 | ［891＇29I］ |
| 81．27 | LC．97 | \＆99t | 00．97 | 99＊0才 | L2：68 | ¢7＇98 | L0．98 | T\＆゙も¢ | $88^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ¢ | 720¢ | 81＇93 | 78．86 | ¢9 61 | 76：LI | 98＇tI | 87＇8 | 8GH |
| 71．87 | GL．97 | 79．97 | L6．GT | 88： | L2＇68 | L8．98 | ¢7．98 | $97 . \downarrow$ ¢ | 78．$\dagger 8$ | 98． LE | 7¢ 97 | 02＇もち | 89\％6I | 07＊8L | $66^{\circ} \mathrm{tI}$ | ¢¢ 8 | 2GH |
| 71．09 | 71＊87 | 82： 27 | 79．97 | $07^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 7$ | L2＇68 | $87^{\circ 88}$ | ¢7．98 | 26．98 | ¢¢＇も¢ | L9＇78 | 08： 27 | $67^{\prime} 9$ | ¢9 6 L | 7061 | GLGI | 72．8 | LGA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SPSD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7¢ L L | 67＊61 | 67．61 | ¢6．$¢ 1$ | ¢6．$¢ 1$ | 809 | （C\＆）NGH |
| $99^{\circ} ¢ 7$ |  |  | $97^{\circ} 07$ |  |  |  |  | 99．27 |  | 82：96 |  |  | 87＇61 |  | $88^{\circ} \mathrm{EL}$ | 209 | ［89I＇29I］ |
| 0687 | โ๕゙ $7 \downarrow$ | c8：07 | c8：07 | L7＊68 | L2＇68 | 91＇7\％ | 91＇7\％ | 92： 27 | 19．96 | L9 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | L0＇LZ | 89＇61 | 89 61 | \＆L＇EL | \＆L＇EL | 66.9 | ¢GH |
| $068 \pm$ | 90＇\＆も | ち0＇It | も0 It | 27\％68 | L2＇68 | 7978 | 7978 | 9L： 27 | 76： $\mathrm{c}^{6}$ | $76^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ | ¢7＇LZ | 89\％ 61 | 89 61 | $78 \cdot 81$ | $78 \cdot 81$ | $00 \cdot 9$ | 2GH |
| $068 \downarrow$ | 0687 | 28.77 | $28^{\prime} 77$ | $27^{\circ} 68$ | L2＇68 | 91．も¢ | 91＇も¢ | 9L： 27 | 61：2\％ | 6T＇27 | L¢ $7 \%$ | 8965 | ¢9＇61 | 99＇tI | 9¢＇tI | L\＆ 9 | LGA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SSSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{21} \mathrm{~m}$ | ${ }^{91} \mathrm{~m}$ | ${ }^{91} \mathrm{~m}$ | ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{m}$ | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Im}$ | ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~m}$ | ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ | 8 m | $2 m$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~m}$ | ${ }^{9} m$ | Im | 8m | ${ }^{2} m$ | Im | К．ооәЧL |



| Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the Square Kirchhoff plate theory, $\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BCs | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| CCCC | Present | 35.985 | 73.393 | 73.393 | 108.21 | 131.58 | 132.20 | 165.00 | 165.00 |
|  | [31] | 35.992 | 73.413 | 73.413 | 108.27 | 131.64 | 132.24 |  |  |
|  | [37] | 35.986 | 73.399 | 73.399 | 108.23 | 131.41 |  |  |  |
| SSSS | Present | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.956 | 98.696 | 98.696 | 128.30 | 128.30 |
|  | [31] | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.956 | 98.696 | 98.696 | 128.30 | 128.30 |
|  | [37] | 19.739 | 49.349 | 49.349 | 78.958 | 98.415 |  |  |  |
| CSCS | Present | 28.950 | 54.743 | 69.327 | 94.585 | 102.21 | 129.09 | 140.20 | 154.77 |
|  | [31] | 28.950 | 54.743 | 69.327 | 94.585 | 102.21 | 129.09 | 140.20 | 154.77 |
|  | [37] | 28.951 | 54.745 | 69.329 | 94.589 | 101.95 |  |  |  |
| CCSS | Present | 27.054 | 60.538 | 60.786 | 92.836 | 114.55 | 114.70 | 145.78 | 146.08 |
|  | [31] | 27.056 | 60.544 | 60.791 | 92.865 | 114.57 | 114.72 |  |  |
|  | [37] | 27.054 | 60.540 | 60.788 | 92.834 | 114.20 |  |  |  |
| CFCF | Present | 22.165 | 26.402 | 43.591 | 61.170 | 67.166 | 79.813 | 87.588 | 120.09 |
|  | [31] | 22.272 | 26.529 | 43.664 | 61.466 | 67.549 | 79.904 |  |  |
|  | [37] | 22.237 | 26.594 | 43.871 | 61.407 | 67.659 |  |  |  |
| SFSF | Present | 9.6314 | 16.134 | 36.725 | 38.945 | 46.738 | 70.740 | 75.283 | 87.986 |
|  | [31] | 9.6314 | 16.134 | 36.725 | 38.945 | 46.738 | 70.740 |  |  |
|  | [37] | 9.631 | 16.135 | 36.726 | 38.945 | 46.739 |  |  |  |
| CFFF | Present | 3.4349 | 8.6160 | 21.272 | 27.352 | 30.999 | 54.224 | 61.317 | 64.186 |
|  | [31] | 3.4917 | 8.5246 | 21.429 | 27.331 | 31.111 | 54.443 |  |  |
|  | [37] | 3.485 | 8.604 | 21.586 | 27.230 | 31.358 |  |  |  |
| SFFF | Present | 6.6917 | 14.905 | 25.478 | 26.062 | 48.459 | 50.736 | 58.774 | 65.307 |
|  | [31] | 6.6480 | 15.023 | 25.492 | 26.126 | 48.711 | 50.849 |  |  |
|  | [37] | 6.636 | 14.901 | 25.388 | 26.003 | 48.469 |  |  |  |
| FFFF | Present | 13.620 | 19.596 | 24.325 | 34.977 | 34.990 | 61.140 | 61.160 | 64.001 |
|  | [31] | 13.468 | 19.596 | 24.271 | 34.801 | 34.801 | 61.111 | 61.111 | 69.279 |
|  | [37] | 13.454 | 19.597 | 24.271 | 34.815 | 34.817 |  |  |  |

Non-dimensional natural frequencies for the in-plane vibration of sector annular plate,
$\lambda=\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}}, \nu=0.33, \beta=0.5$

| $\alpha$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SSSS1 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 3.9198 | 4.2857 | 7.1534 | 7.7406 | 8.2282 | 9.6151 | 10.774 | 11.440 |
| 45 | 2.9825 | 3.9198 | 5.6094 | 5.7644 | 7.7406 | 8.2282 | 8.5126 | 8.5878 |
| 60 | 2.3779 | 3.9198 | 4.2857 | 5.1111 | 6.2682 | 7.1534 | 7.7406 | 7.8930 |
| 75 | 2.0534 | 3.4962 | 3.9198 | 4.7476 | 5.0805 | 6.3109 | 6.6621 | 7.5359 |
| 90 | 1.8624 | 2.9825 | 3.9198 | 4.2857 | 4.5254 | 5.6094 | 5.7644 | 6.9241 |
|  |  |  |  | SSSS2 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 6.1118 | 6.7725 | 7.7608 | 9.2674 | 10.158 | 12.039 | 12.733 | 12.797 |
| 45 | 4.7603 | 5.6021 | 6.7725 | 7.4362 | 8.4225 | 9.6103 | 9.9957 | 10.158 |
| 60 | 3.8027 | 4.8741 | 6.1118 | 6.7725 | 7.7608 | 8.0269 | 8.1133 | 8.6481 |
| 75 | 3.1037 | 4.5984 | 5.3324 | 6.4181 | 6.7725 | 6.9003 | 7.7122 | 8.2796 |
| 90 | 2.6101 | 4.4609 | 4.7603 | 5.6021 | 6.1118 | 6.7725 | 7.4362 | 7.4704 |
|  |  |  |  | FFFF |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 4.8464 | 5.6189 | 5.6785 | 6.0716 | 7.7172 | 8.5038 | 9.2103 | 9.6426 |
| 45 | 3.9516 | 4.5785 | 4.7464 | 5.3662 | 6.3297 | 6.8614 | 6.9257 | 7.6830 |
| 60 | 2.8185 | 3.7550 | 4.0170 | 5.3325 | 5.6191 | 5.8646 | 6.2471 | 6.5695 |
| 75 | 2.0687 | 3.1003 | 3.4998 | 4.8642 | 5.1433 | 5.1969 | 5.6156 | 5.9452 |
| 90 | 1.5608 | 2.6721 | 2.9624 | 4.4167 | 4.4376 | 4.6210 | 5.5091 | 5.5917 |
|  |  |  |  | S1CS1C |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 4.9617 | 7.5080 | 8.5318 | 9.9073 | 10.6550 | 11.8665 | 12.9769 | 13.2176 |
| 45 | 3.4926 | 5.2923 | 7.2431 | 7.2887 | 8.3676 | 8.8092 | 9.9373 | 10.5923 |
| 60 | 2.7576 | 4.2218 | 5.9242 | 6.0710 | 7.1480 | 7.8751 | 8.3615 | 8.8992 |
| 75 | 2.3338 | 3.5739 | 5.0402 | 5.1742 | 6.5946 | 6.6237 | 7.7871 | 7.8661 |
| 90 | 2.0690 | 3.1224 | 4.3185 | 4.7382 | 5.6409 | 6.0900 | 6.9810 | 7.1982 |
|  |  |  |  | S2FS2F |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 2.8739 | 5.8308 | 6.5960 | 7.0846 | 8.0896 | 8.5094 | 9.7528 | 10.3170 |
| 45 | 3.2971 | 4.6365 | 5.5711 | 6.6478 | 7.1224 | 7.1636 | 7.7245 | 9.0620 |
| 60 | 3.5138 | 3.6876 | 4.7016 | 5.9752 | 6.6815 | 7.1667 | 7.3023 | 7.3557 |
| 75 | 3.0480 | 3.6325 | 4.2456 | 5.3073 | 6.2937 | 6.3970 | 7.1081 | 7.1929 |
| 90 | 2.5830 | 3.6967 | 4.0297 | 4.7260 | 5.5864 | 5.9957 | 6.8404 | 6.8424 |
| 30 |  |  |  | S1CS2F |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 3.6889 | 4.6148 | 5.9073 | 8.7098 | 9.3844 | 9.4403 | 10.5557 | 12.0189 |
| 45 | 2.5659 | 4.1016 | 5.3536 | 6.7821 | 6.9963 | 8.3148 | 8.8595 | 9.7370 |
| 60 | 2.0287 | 3.9026 | 5.1693 | 5.4703 | 5.6916 | 7.0764 | 8.0003 | 8.2896 |
| 75 | 1.7338 | 3.7748 | 4.4813 | 4.9488 | 5.2883 | 6.3398 | 6.9092 | 7.0416 |
| 90 | 1.5579 | 3.5473 | 4.0040 | 4.5907 | 5.2217 | 5.7919 | 5.9877 | 6.4493 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Non-dimensional natural frequencies of the fully simply-supported TSDT composite laminated plate, $(0 / 90 / 90 / 0), h / a=0.1, \lambda=\omega a^{2} / h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}$

| $E 1 / E 2$ | Author | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Present | 15.1438 | 18.7674 | 26.5480 | 29.3436 | 29.3436 | 32.2886 | 37.6659 | 39.2598 |
|  | [194] | 15.143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Present | 9.85339 | 18.8813 | 27.7476 | 33.2736 | 33.9522 | 44.6962 | 49.8431 | 49.9142 |
|  | $[194]$ | 9.853 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Present | 7.25211 | 15.6289 | 19.4222 | 26.3661 | 28.7860 | 36.9583 | 37.9758 | 42.7148 |
|  | $[194]$ | 7.247 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Conclusion and future development

An efficient numerical technique for studying natural frequencies of beams, plates and shells has been introduced by applying Chebyshev polynomial on collocation method using Kronecker product operator which is easy for implementation of the differential equations. Plates with constrained boundaries was studied in which the constrained boundaries were modeled using torsional and translational springs. The governing equations and boundary conditions were expressed in terms of Chebyshev polynomials and Kronecker product. It was concluded that Chebyshev polynomials can be used to solve 1-D, 2-D and quasi 3-D linear vibration problems, since they show fast convergence and accurate results compared to other techniques available in the literature. This property comes from using non-uniform points instead of uniform points. For increasing the accuracy, increasing the order of polynomial $N$ is essential but this leads to increasing the error. But with this type of polynomial without increasing the error can reach to more accurate results.

This proposed method was applied to rod problem, Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko beams, in-plane vibration, Classical, first-order and third-order shear deformation theories of plates both isotropic and composite symmetric and non-symmetric, rectangular, annular, circular, sector annular and skew plates. Effect of constrained boundaries is considered on both thin and thick plates, isotropic and composite. The natural frequencies of several cases were calculated and compared with the well-known results of Leissa [31]. Thin shallow shell was considered in cylindrical, spherical and hyperbolic-paraboloidal geometry also thin shell is studied from shallow to deep. Thick shell such as shallow or deep was considered and for thick deep non-symmetric composite laminated shell, many boundary conditions were studied.

Finally, the quasi 3-D technique so called (CUF) was studied for composite laminated doubly curved shells and plates, sector annular plates and conical shells. Results for thin, thick and moderately thick plates both isotropic and laminated composites are evaluated for higher-order theories and comparison according to layer-level theories between equivalent single layer and layer-wise theory were studied. Results for plates are very close to 3D-Ritz method results. For shells most of the results are correspond to the isotropic results for the lack of information and the obtained results are close to 3D results. For isotropic sector annular plates results are so close to the 3D-Chebyshev Ritz method and in the case of isotropic conical shells results are close to 3D hp-fem. In the case of composite laminated sector annular plates results are so close to the analytical method using Chebyshev polynomials based on the first-order shear deformation theory. It is to be noted that ESL theory is useful for isotropic plates and LW is also useful for composite laminated plates.

## Ideas for Future Work

It is recommended to apply the Chebyshev collocation method to continuous systems with viscoelastic and piezoelectric properties, since in many engineering applications these properties could exist. Moreover, studying the free vibration of continuous systems with constrained boundaries and internal cracks is highly recommended, since internal crack is possible to exist. Furthermore, it is specified that the collocation method could also be applied to nonlinear problems, as in [9], where this method was applied to reduce the dimensions of nonlinear delay differential equations with periodic coefficients and also it is advised to applying the proposed method to study the inverse problems in which the frequencies and mode shapes are known. Finally, it is so useful to apply this method on the beam vibration analysis using (CUF) technique under presented method and solving complicated cross section of beam. Aeroelastic analysis of beam with airfoil cross section using presented method can be of interest.

## Appendix

Derivation of CUF nuclei from principal of virtual work for doubly curved shells and rectangular plates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left(\delta \epsilon_{p G}^{k_{G}^{T}} \sigma_{p H}^{k}+\delta \epsilon_{n G}^{k^{T}} \sigma_{n H}^{k}\right) d z d \Omega=-\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \delta u^{k^{T}} \rho^{k} \ddot{u}^{k} d z d \Omega \\
& u^{k}=F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k} \\
& \epsilon_{p G}^{k}=D_{p} u^{k}+A_{p} u_{k}=D_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+A_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k} \\
& \epsilon_{n G}^{k}=D_{n} u^{k}+\lambda_{D} A_{n} u_{k}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} u^{k}=D_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+\lambda_{D} A_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+F_{\tau, z} u_{\tau}^{k} \\
& \sigma_{p H}^{k}=\tilde{C}_{p p}^{k} \epsilon_{p}^{k}+\tilde{C}_{p}^{k} \epsilon_{n}^{k}=\tilde{C}_{p p}^{k}\left(D_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+A_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{p n}^{k}\left[D_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+\lambda_{D} A_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+F_{\tau, z} u_{\tau}^{k}\right] \\
& \sigma_{n H}^{k}=\tilde{C}_{n p}^{k} \epsilon_{p}^{k}+\tilde{C}_{n n}^{k} \epsilon_{n}^{k}=\tilde{C}_{n p}^{k}\left(D_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+A_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)+\tilde{C}_{n n}^{k}\left[D_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+\lambda_{D} A_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}+F_{\tau, z} u_{\tau}^{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}}\left[\delta\left(D_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{p H}^{k}+\delta\left(A_{p} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{p H}^{k}+\delta\left(D_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{n H}^{k}\right. \\
& \left.+\delta\left(\lambda A_{n} F_{\tau} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{n H}^{k}+\delta\left(F_{\tau, z} u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{n H}^{k}\right] d z d \Omega=-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \delta u_{\tau}^{k^{T}} \rho^{k} F_{s} \ddot{u}_{s}^{k} d z d \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}}\left[\left(D_{p} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \sigma_{p H}^{k} d z+\left(A_{p} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \sigma_{p H}^{k} d z\right.
$$

$$
+\left(D_{n} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \sigma_{n H}^{k} d z+\left(\lambda A_{n} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \sigma_{n H}^{k} d z
$$

$$
\left.\left.+\left(\delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau, z} \sigma_{n H}^{k} d z\right] d \Omega=-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} F_{s} \rho^{k} d z \ddot{u}_{s}^{k} d \Omega
$$

$$
R_{p \tau}^{k}=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \sigma_{p H}^{k} d z
$$

$$
R_{n \tau}^{k}=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} \sigma_{n H}^{k} d z
$$

$$
R_{n \tau, z}^{k}=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau, z} \sigma_{n H}^{k} d z
$$

$$
J_{\alpha \beta}^{k}=\int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} F_{\tau} F_{s} H_{\alpha}^{k} H_{\beta}^{k} d z
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}}\left[\left(D_{p} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}+\left(A_{p} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}+\left(D_{n} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}+\left(\lambda A_{n} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}+\left(\delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} R_{n \tau, z}^{k}\right] d \Omega \\
& \left.=-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} J_{\alpha \beta}^{k} \rho^{k} \ddot{u}_{s}^{k} d \Omega \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega}\left(D_{\zeta} \delta u_{\tau}^{k}\right)^{T} v^{k} d \Omega=-\int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T}\left(D_{\zeta}^{T} v^{k}\right) d \Omega+\int_{\Gamma^{k}} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} I_{\zeta}^{T} v^{k} d \Gamma^{k} \quad \zeta=p, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
I_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{n_{\alpha}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{n_{\beta}}{H_{\beta}^{k}} & 0 \\
\frac{n_{\beta}}{H_{\beta}^{k}} & \frac{n_{\alpha}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}} & 0
\end{array}\right], I_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{n_{\alpha}}{H_{\alpha}^{k}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{n}{H_{\beta}^{k}} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$I_{p}$ and $I_{n}$ are depend on the boundary geometry. For each side of the geometry normal vector to the edge is zero and shear vector is identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} D_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k} d \Omega-\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} D_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k} d \Omega+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} R_{n \tau, z}^{k} d \Omega \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} A_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k} d \Omega+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} A_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k} d \Omega+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Gamma^{k}} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} I_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k} d \Gamma^{k} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Gamma^{k}} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} I_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k} d \Gamma^{k}=-\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} J_{\alpha \beta}^{k} \rho^{k} \ddot{u}_{s}^{k} d \Omega \\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T}\left[-D_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}-D_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}+A_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}+\lambda A_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}+R_{n \tau, z}^{k}\right] d \Omega \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Gamma^{k}} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T}\left[I_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}+I_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}\right] d \Gamma^{k}=-\sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int_{\Omega} \delta u_{\tau}^{k T} J_{\alpha \beta}^{k} \rho^{k} \ddot{u}_{s}^{k} d \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation of motion:
$\delta u_{\tau}^{k}: D_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}+D_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}-A_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}-\lambda A_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}-R_{n \tau, z}^{k}=J_{\alpha \beta}^{k} \rho^{k} \ddot{u}_{s}^{k} \quad k=1, \ldots, N_{l}, \tau=0, \ldots, N$
Boundary condition on $\Gamma$ :
Geometric boundary condition: $u_{\tau}^{k}=0 \quad k=1, \ldots, N_{l}, \tau=0, \ldots, N$ (Clamped edge)
Natural boundary condition: $\quad I_{p}^{T} R_{p \tau}^{k}+I_{n}^{T} R_{n \tau}^{k}=0 \quad k=1, \ldots, N_{l}, \tau=0, \ldots, N$ (Free edged)

After substitution Nuclei of equation of motion and natural boundary condition can be derived. Operator matrix form of equation of motion is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{L}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{L}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{L}_{21}^{s^{k}} & \mathcal{L}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{L}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{L}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{L}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{L}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{s}^{k} \\
v_{s}^{k} \\
w_{s}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}=\rho^{k} J_{\alpha \beta}^{k}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\ddot{u}_{s}^{k} \\
\ddot{u}_{s}^{k} \\
\ddot{w}_{s}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and also, operator matrix form of natural boundary conditions (free edge) is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{12}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{31}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{32}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{33}^{\tau s^{k}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{s}^{k} \\
v_{s}^{k} \\
w_{s}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For simply supported at $\alpha$ side: $\left(M_{\alpha \alpha}=v=w=0\right)$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}_{11}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{12}^{s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{13}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
0 & I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{s}^{k} \\
v_{s}^{k} \\
w_{s}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For simply supported at $\beta$ side: $\left(u=M_{\beta \beta}=w=0\right)$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I & 0 & 0 \\
\mathcal{B}_{21}^{s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{22}^{\tau s^{k}} & \mathcal{B}_{23}^{\tau s^{k}} \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{s}^{k} \\
v_{s}^{k} \\
w_{s}^{k}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$I$ is unity matrix by order $\left(N_{n}+1\right) \times(N+1)^{2}$.

